REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS - opponent

Opponent´s name: Mgr. Markéta Mikulášová

Leadership´s name: Mgr. Kateřina Maršáková

Student´s name: Mahera Mukhtar

Title of diploma thesis:

Physiotherapy Case Study of Rheumatoid Arthritis

Goal of thesis:

,,The main objective… is to focus on the rehabilitation/ physiotherapy approach that has been applied on the patient with diagnose of Rheumatoid arthritis, along with brief look in to the etiologics, evaluation and the effect of the diagnose on the patient" from chapter 1. Introduction, page 1

1. Volume:

* pages of text	88
* literature	32
* tables, graphs, appendices	76, 0, 2

2. Seriousness of topics:

	above average	average	under avarage
* theroretical knowladges		x	
* input data and their processing	x		
* used methods	x		

3. Criteria of thesis classification

	evaluation			
	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
depth of analysis of thesis		x		
logical constutruction of work		x		
work with literature and citations			x	
adequacy of used methods	x			
design of work (text, graphs, tablels)	x			
stylistic level		x		

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:

~~under average~~	average

5. Comments and questions to answer:

The work is clearly structured, giving a comprehensive view of the issue. The translation of Abstract to the Czech language is not grammatically correct, some sentences do not make a sense. Well worked short and long term goals (s. 42), interesting therapy units with using a variety of tools, excellent work with the patient -a comprehensive view of the patient, very good results of the therapy. Two thirds of the used literature is older than 10 years, for example the latest literature used in chapter 2.8 is from 2005 - it is not very actuall, but it is the less important chapter in the thesis. (small discrepancy: Postural examination: s. 23 Posterior: the left thigh is wider then the right, but s. 24 Anterior: Shape of the thigh muscles: Right is wider than the left.) Brief but sufficient conclusion at the end, maybe should be more detailed. The goal of the thesis was achieved.

6. Recomendation for defence:

YES	~~NO~~

7. Designed classificatory degree

2 - very good

according defence

_____

signature of the oponent