## POSUDEK VEDOUCÍHO BAKALÁŘSKÉ DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE SUPERVISOR'S REPORT OF THE BACHELOR THESIS

Supervisor's name:	Mgr. Helena Vomáčková			
Student's name:	Michael Bramham			
The title of the bachelor thesis:  Case study of physiotherapy treatment of a patient with the diagnosis of anterior cruciate reconstruction				
The goal of the bachelor thesis:				
The aim of the general part of the thesis is to summarise the theoretical information about anatomy, kinesiology and biomechanics of the knee joint as well as the LCA. The aim of the special part is case study of physiotherapeutic treatment of a patient after LCA reconstruction.				
1. Volume:				
pages of text / pages of the thesis		43/47		
		2	_	.1
number of references	articles 0	monographs 11	electronic sources	other
	figures	tables	graphs	appendices
others	2	16	0	2
2. Seriousness of topics:	above average	average	under average	unsatisfactory
theoretical knowledge			X	
input data and their processing used methods		X	Х	
usea memous		۸		
degree of evaluation				
3. Criteria of thesis classification:	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
degree of aim of work fulfilment				X
				thesis was fulfilled.
independence of student during process of thesis  Student worked independently without any contact with supervisor therefore he was not able to make any correction in the content				
of the work. The text is not therefore based on the consultation recommendation.				
logical construction of work	the work. The text is	not therejore bused	Y	recommendation.
The general part contents information about the knee anatomy, shortly kinesiology and biomechanics; detailed description of the				
	physiotherapeutic methods used in management of this diagnosis are missing.			
work with literature and citations				X
Student has used only monographs resources, nor information from actual articles neither electronic sources.				
adequacy of used methods	rupris resources, nor i	njormation jrom ac	X	electronic sources.
adequacy of asea methods			Α	
design of work (text, graphs, tables)			Χ	
	Chapt	er 2.1.5. (page 4-10	)) is written in differ	ent text alignment.
stylistic level		X		
4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes: under average average				
4. Oseraniess of the thesis outcomes.	under average		<del>average</del>	
5. Comments and questions to answer:				
The thesis doesn't fully responds to the requirement	s for the bachelor the	esis and successful p	assing of this diplor	na work will be
considered according the student defence. The description of actual as a STP is unfortunately missing in every therapeutic unit.				
Question: Which used therapy was maximal effective for your patient? Set up the therapy proposal to fulfil your short and long				
therapeutic plan with the usage of the therapy based on neurofacilitating technigues.				
6. Recommendation for defence:	N	9	Y	ES
7. Designed classificatory degree	good/unsatisfactory - according to student's presentation/defence			
Date: in Prague 1.5.2018 signature of the supervisor				