

# REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS - opponent

**Opponent's name:** PhDr. Tereza Nováková, PhD.

**Leadership's name:** Mgr. Helena Vomáčková

**Student's name:** Michael Edwin Bramham

**Title of diploma thesis:**

Case Study of Physiotherapy Treatment of a Patient with the Diagnosis of Anterior Cruciate Reconstruction

**Goal of thesis:**

Case Study of Physiotherapy Treatment of a Patient with the Diagnosis of Anterior Cruciate Reconstruction. The initial section presents a related theoretical framework including surgical management and intention of the postoperative rehabilitation program. The final section is a single-subject case study including initial and final exam, therapy and evaluation.

**1. Volume:**

* pages of text	35
* literature	11 + 6 oral sources
* tables, graphs, appendices	16 tables, 2 figures, 2 appendices

**2. Seriousness of topics:**

	above average	average	under average
* theoretical knowledges			X
* input data and their processing			X
* used methods		X	

**3. Criteria of thesis classification**

	evaluation			
	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
degree of aim of work fulfilment		X		
depth of analysis of thesis			X	
<i>missing theoretical background for physiotherapeutic (diagnostic and therapeutic) procedures</i>				
logical construction of work			X	
work with literature and citations				X
<i>the low number of professional literary sources and the inappropriate use of lectures as sources</i>				
adequacy of used methods		X		
<i>missing status praesens informations in every unit</i>				
design of work (text, graphs, tablels)			X	
<i>tables on multiple pages reduces clarity, inconsistent text formatting</i>				
stylistic level	X			

**4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:**

	under-average	average
--	---------------	---------

**5. Comments and questions to answer:**

*On the basis of knowledge of Michael's study prerequisites I assume that the content deficiencies in his bachelor thesis were caused by lack of time for profound processing and non-use of consultation with the supervisor.*

*Questions:*

*Which therapeutical aproach was the most effective and how the result was measured? Is there any physiotherapeutical method not tolerated by your patient? Make proposal of PNF and physical therapy (hydro, mechano or electrotherapy) for your patient.*

**6. Recommendation for defence:**

YES	NO
-----	----

*I propose the result to decide according to the presentation and subsequent discussion*

**7. Designed classificatory degree**

good/unsatisfactory
---------------------

**Date: 27.4.2018**

*T. N. L.*

---

**signature of the oponent**

