

## **Abstract**

This thesis deals with the protection against irregular practises of the contracting authority in awarding public contracts. I analyzed part of the Government Procurement Act on Review Procedures, including some of the regulatory impacts.

The introductory part of the thesis is followed by a chapter explaining the basic concepts of the issue. In the chapter three, I deal mainly with the institute of objections and blocking deadlines that prevent the contracting authority from entering into a contract. Next chapter is dedicated to the proceedings before the Office for the Protection of Competition, from the submission of the petition, through the deposit institution or the newly established obligation to pay the claim fee, the specific differences in the proceedings before the Office against the standard administrative procedure are highlighted, up to the possible ways and reasons of the termination of the administrative procedure. Appeals against the Office's decision, including possible appeals to the administrative court, are the subject of chapter five. In the end, I summarize the lessons learned, I respond to the questions asked in the introduction and I come up with considerations about legislation.

Personally, I consider the analyzed legislation to be quite good. I consider the strengthen role of objections and the improved conditions for accelerating the decision-making activities of the Office among its greatest benefits, on the contrary, I assess very negatively the introduction of a fee for filing an action against the Office. Greater emphasis should also be placed on the review of negotiated procedures without publication or that the ban on performance of the contract should be able to be enforced officially.