

REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS - opponent

Opponent's name:

Mgr. Lenka Satrapová, PhD.

Leadership's name:

Achilleas Liapis

Student's name:

Mgr. Romana Kozderková, Cert.MDT

Title of diploma thesis:

Case study of a physiotherapy treatment of patient with Arthritis of the wrist joints.

Goal of thesis:

To analyze the diagnosis of the patient and find a proper therapeutic plan for his health care and to prevent any further complication. Moreover to be able to understand the function and the structure of wrist joint.

1. Volume:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| * pages of text | 74 |
| * literature | 19 (+ 8 are not stated in bibliofraphy) |
| * tables, graphs, appendices | 38 |

2. Seriousness of topics:

| | above average | average | under average |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| * theroretical knowladges | | x | |
| * input data and their processing | | x | |
| * used methods | | x | |

3. Criteria of thesis classification

| | evaluation | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| | excellent | very good | satisfactory | unsatisfactory |
| degree of aim of work fulfilment | | x | | |
| depth of analysis of thesis | | x | | |
| logical constutruction of work | | x | | |
| work with literature and citations | | x | | |
| adequacy of used methods | | x | | |
| design of work (text, graphs, tablels) | | x | | |
| stylistic level | | x | | |

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------|
| | under average | average |
|--|---------------|---------|

5. Comments and questions to answer:

The extention of the Bachelor thesis is appropriate and the goal of the thesis was achieved. Theoretical part is excellent done except for the part of Physioterapists examination which should be more general. I have several comments to the Bachelors thesis: I found citations of eight autors in the text who are not stated in bibliography (Agur, Daniel, Jennings, Jones, Mayo, Miller, Shankar, Taylor). The bibliography is not in alphabetical order. In the table of antropometric measures are not stated units of measurement. In anamnesis and differential diagnosis is not important whether the information was obtained directly from the patient or through the translator (supervizor). The copy of X-ray examination (part 3.2.12.) that author wasn't able to see is on page 20. At evaluation of the effect of the therapy (3.7.) is stated improvement in ROM of the neck - it is not mentioned in examination part. There is a discrepancy between the strength of fingers especially thumbs (bilateral!!) and the fact that the patieth is sportsmen and plays tennis (it is clear from anamnesis). I have several questions: Would you explane the discrepancy between strength of the patients fingers and the fact he plays tennis? What was the pain level of the wrist and the neck at the end of the therapy (it was 5/10 in the first assesment)? Do you know what it is Thomayer test?

6. Recomendation for defence:

| | |
|-----|----|
| YES | NO |
|-----|----|

7. Designed classificatory degree

| |
|-----------|
| very good |
|-----------|

according defence

Date: 13.5.2015

signature of the oponent

