REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS

REPORT OF BACHELOR HILSIS						
Leadership's name:	Mgr. Lenka Satrapová					
•	- igit zoima outrapora					
Student's name:	Lars Naess					
Title of diploma thesis name:						
Case study of physiotherapy treatment of a patient	with sacral, lumb	oar and lower tho	oracic lumbago			
Goal of thesis:						
Through one practical and one theoretical part, I w	ill discuss the reh	abilitation of lum	hago I emphasi:	ze the practical		
part, where I worked with a middle aged nurse who			-	•		
describe examination, therapy and conclusion on he						
describe what I think is the most important aspects						
epidemiology.	3	,,	37.			
1. Volume:						
* pages of text	55					
* literature	23					
* tables, graphs, appendices	13 tables, 5 figu	re, 5 appendices				
2. Seriousness of topics:	above average	average	under avarage			
* theroretical knowledges		Х				
	•		1			
* input data and their processing		X				
	T		1			
* used methods		X				
3. Criteria of thesis classification	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory		
degree of aim of work fulfilment	X	very good	Satisfactory	unsatisfactory		
degree of aim of work fullilinetic	^		aim of wo	rk was fulfilled		
independence of student during process of thesis		Х		N Was rannea		
S p						
logical construction of work		X				
chapter 2.4 Etiology and epidemiology consits	of a lot of chantu	rer hetter to nut si	ome of them senar	ately (Treatement		
Chapter 2.11 Eurology and epidermiology consits	or a for or chaptar	er, better to put so	-	approachesetc.)		
work with literature and citations			Х	,,,,		
less number of literary sources; citation norm in the	e list of literature	is not proper	•			
(different style author to author); citation of pictur						
adequacy of used methods		Х				
design of world (hout supplies tables)				.,		
design of work (text, graphs, tables)	France observations 2)	, bonding 1 Inter	advation is with a	X		
different style of headlines - some are underlined (from chapture 3); headline 1 Introduction is with doubledot;						
pictures are in bad quality; whole work is aligned to the left not to the block; headline 3.3 Therapy course is not on						
the top of the page; also the chapture 3.5 Evaluation of therapy effectivness and headlines in Supplement are not on the top of the page; list of literature is named as Appendicies; 5th and 6th therapeutical unit have the same date						
14.2.2014	ppenuicies, sun a	ани онт инегарей	ucai uiiit iidVC lii	c saine uale		
stylistic level	Х					
small mistake in the title of the work Case study for		y treatment of a	patient with sacr	al, lumbar		

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:

and lower thoracic lumbago

under average	average	above average
---------------	---------	---------------

5. Comments and questions to answer:

procedure.			
6. Recomendation for defence:	yes no		
7. Designed classificatory degree	very good - good according to defence		
Date: 11.5.2014	Mgr. Lenka Satrapová		