

This essay chiefly discusses the most controversial consequences of Peter Singer's preference utilitarianism in these three areas: 1) Singer's critique of human attitude towards animals and his demand of vegetarianism; 2) Singer's rejection of sanctity of life ethics and his advocacy of abortion, infanticide and euthanasia; 3) Singer's conviction that we have a moral obligation to help financially poor people in third world countries to such an extent that giving more would cause us comparable amount of suffering as that we are trying to reduce. I also attempt to summarize main arguments of Singer's critics. In my own criticism I tend to be quite generous to Singer and attack his opinions from within in most cases. I do my best to share not only my own arguments and examples, but also my personal experience and emotions, because this topic deserves nothing less in my opinion.