

Abstract: We start with the question, whether and in how far the distinction between “unqualified” and “qualified” predication of change (i.e. predication of “coming to be” and of “becoming”) provides a sound clue for understanding of reality. Firstly, we focus on methodological assumptions of Aristotle’s natural philosophy and science, namely on their relation to everyday language. In the next step we ask, whether and how the general conclusions about the character of any change made in Physics are valid also for any change (i.e. for “qualified” and “unqualified” change) separately. Last two chapters, then, are concerned with some consequences of Aristotle’s endeavor to make justice to everyday distinction of “unqualified” and “qualified” changes for his conception of nature, namely with the character of matter and the circularity of change.