

# Report on Bachelor Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

<b>Student:</b>	<b>Nguyen Quang Dung</b>
<b>Advisor:</b>	<b>Pavel Mertlík</b>
<b>Title of the thesis:</b>	<b>The Institutional Analysis of Banking Reforms in Vietnam</b>

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

The topic of the bachelor thesis of Nguyen Quang Dung is an uneasy one because of critical lack of reliable statistical data about the Vietnamese banking sector. In spite of this basic obstacle the author provides rather comprehensive picture of Vietnamese banking and its developments. The study is concentrated on the evolution of the institutional setup and structure of Vietnamese banking, applying methods of descriptive economic analysis and critical examination of available facts. The author refers to appropriate theoretical underpinning (new institutionalism) for such research approach.

The thesis suffers from minor formal shortcomings. The bibliography doesn't contain various fact sources like State Bank of Vietnam Annual Reports or Doing Business database used passim in the thesis, and also sources from which the graphs or tables were copied (see e.g. the source of the diagram in Appendix One – in this case, also, the page from which it's taken is missing). Also, some books, papers, and reports referred to in the bibliography do not contain the domicile; some institutions are not termed properly („Asia Pacific Conference“, „School of Accounting“ etc.). There are also some minor language problems (like using articles in English texts, or genders in the Czech abstract).

The thesis fulfills the requirements applied for bachelor level qualification research paper. I recommend it for successful defence with grade *velmi dobře* (good).

## SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED:

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Literature</i> (max. 20 points)	20
<i>Methods</i> (max. 30 points)	20
<i>Contribution</i> (max. 30 points)	25
<i>Manuscript Form</i> (max. 20 points)	10
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (max. 100 points)	<b>75</b>
<b>GRADE</b> (1 – 2 – 3 – 4)	<b>2</b>

**NAME OF THE ADVISOR:**

**DATE OF EVALUATION:**

---

**Advisor Signature**