

		II	ИES	S di	ssei	rtati	ion		
Name/code: N	Nicole Kunzik								
Dissertation title: "	"FIRST TEACHERS, THEN STUDENTS: MEDIA EDUCATION IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC"								
Scale: 5 - excellent, 4 - good, 3 - satisfactory, 2 - poor, 1 – very poor									
		5	4	3	2	1			
ARGUMENT:									
Clearly defined research question				Χ			No clearly defined research question		
Answers research question				Χ			Does not answer research question		
Well structured			Χ				Badly structured		
Shows theoretical awareness			Χ				Shows no theoretical awareness		
Conceptual clarity			Χ				Conceptual confusion		
Empirically appropriate & robust				Χ			Full of empirical errors		
Logical and coherent			Χ				Illogical and incoherent		
Analytical				Χ			Descriptive		
Critical			Χ				Uncritical		
Shows independent thought			Χ				Does not show independent thought		
SOURCES & USAGE:									
Evidence of reading/research			Χ				No evidence of reading/research		
Effective use of sources/data				Χ			Ineffective use of sources/data		
WRITING STYLE:									
Clear			Χ				Obscure		
Good punctuation			Χ				Poor punctuation		
Grammatically correct			Χ				Grammatically incorrect		
PRESENTATION:									
Appropriate length			Χ				Too long/short		
Good referencing			Χ				Poor/inconsistent referencing		
Good spelling			Χ				Poor spelling		
Good bi	Good bibliography		Χ				Poor bibliography		

## Comments:

This is a well structured and well researched dissertation assessing the provision for media education at primary and secondary level in the Czech Republic. It draws on primary sources, including some Czech language material: mainly official policy documents and a small number of staff at education faculties. It gives a clear and informed overview of the nature and importance of media education and of the structure of the Czech education system, in particular curriculum and teacher training and reaches a number of clear conclusions. In sofar as I can judge it is empirically accurate.

Its weaknesses are that it lacks a clearly focused research question and any real context for the research: it is limited to assessment of the effectiveness of media education policy; does not really make meaningful comparisons between the CR and other states (even implicitly); and conveys a very limited sense of the broader political context education reform in the CR and current debates about it (which span a huge range of issues).

Methodology: the interviews conducted appear short and rigidly structured and are presented in descriptive way (who-said-what-in-reply-to-which-question) with little analysis. There is also no information regarding interviews (who was interviewed, when, where, in what language, on/off the record etc).

## Specific questions for oral defence:

- (Why was media education the Czech Republic been chosen as case study?
- What kind of case do they represent?
- How does the CR compare to other post-communist states or indeed to Western democracies – in regard to the structure of its education system and provision of media education?
- Is there any research on media education in post-communist states literature cited is based very largely on US experience?
- How does media education fit into broader context of educational reform in the CR?
  Have different governments/parties adopted different policies
- How media literate are young people in the CR? How do they use/consume the media?
- Why has the development of media education proved of limited effectiveness? Is it caused by specific factors or factors impeding many aspects of education reform?

Deducted for late submission:	Deducted for faulty referencing: 0	Mark*: 63 (C)
UCL marker: Sean Hanley	Signed: SH [electronic signature]	Date: 6/6/2010

<sup>\*</sup> Mark: A = 70+; B = 65-69; C = 60-64; D = 55-59; E = 50-54; F = fail, less than 50