13.8.2010

Verze pro tisk

Od.

Jan Šiška < jan.siska@pedf.cuni.cz>

Předmět:

DIS comments

Datum:

9 Srpen 2010, 18:35

Komu:

Libor Novosád < libor.novosad@seznam.cz>

Kopie:

gust2009@yahoo.com

Dear GUstav, my comments completed! See you on Friday. Jam

The report tells us clearly what the study is about. The report is very clearly organised and logically set out. The context of the study gives a clear sense of the social, educational and historical context of the setting (Czech Republic) where data were collected. However question of internationalization of respective theories and knowledge remains unanswered.

Gustav articulates his conclusions, and describes their broader meanings. The research questions are explained. The literature reviews demonstrates what has been already written in the subject from an international perspective. The scope of the literature used in the literature review has to be acknowledged. Relationship between the work and previous studies is clear.

The methodology chapter provides information about the data abstained and the methods used. Justification of the methods is rather general. This chapter would also profit from a clearer description of the approach taken to data analyses. ON p. 32 a list of data analysis techniques is introduced. However description of the method chosen (coding) is rather brief.

Overall, Gustav has worked on his dissertation with deep commitment and responsibility. I wish to highlight also his enthusiasm and independence during the research period. Despite the language barriers Gustav managed to complete an excellent report.

Following questions migh be answered during the viva:

How what you have found links to previous knowledge as discussed in the literature review?

What lessons have you learned from the conduct of the study /how to do studies/?

What are implications of the study for your professional practice?

Jan Šiška, mark 1/

--

Jan Šiška, PhD., Charles Universit Department of Spe M.D. Rettigove 4, Tel.: ++420 22190