

13.8.2010

Verze pro tisk

Od: Jan Šiška <jan.siska@pedf.cuni.cz>
Předmět: DIS COMMENTS
Datum: 9 Srpen 2010, 18:39
Komu: a@web.am
Kopie: libor.novosad@seznam.cz

Dear Ana, my comments arriving. See you on Friday. Jan

Very good assignment indeed. It is focused on still rather unexplored topic of inter-professional collaborations in supporting children with SEN in early years. The presented research seeks to better understand the nature of collaborative practices amongst professionals involved. The conception framework of the study is presented on p. 32. It's not clear what the framework is based on.

The literature review indicates what is already known about the subject of the study. Previous work is also evaluated. Early years of the child's development is presented as being crucial. However I did not find a deeper critical review of the main theories related to socialisation and learning in early years of child and related to the study.

The chapter 1.4.5 promises to provide a model of SEN support based on the findings. Such model can be briefly introduced in the viva.

The methodology chapter provides information about the kinds of data obtained, why and how these data were selected, including issues of access and ethical consideration.

The conclusion chapter sufficiently explains what has been achieved. The chapter has some rather ambitious statements such as "the research findings provide the overall picture of support services for children with SEN in preschool. Such statements should be limited to only the case study presented. Otherwise this statement has to be unpacked and justified. The conclusions are overall well articulated. Implications of the study for Ana's further professional work are mentioned. Can you be more specific here?"

Overall, almost excellent research study. It is written using a mature and academic and objective language. The dissertation report is very well and logically structured with reflection of Ana's professional practice. The claims are supported by references. It is a pity that you did not go deeply into the problems and tend to remain only on the surface of the topics. You seem to be trying to avoid problematic points or conflicts (or being exhausted?).

Suggested mark 2

Jan Siska, Prague 9.8. 2010

+ Similarities in the text

--
Jan
Chair
Depa
M.D
Tel

