## **REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS - opponent**

| nent's name:                              | MADE HUS   | ILKOVA                  |                          |   |  |
|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| rship´s name:                             | DOE. Dr. DABYAR PAVLO, CSC.  |                         |                          |   |  |
| nt's name:                                | SPYRELLIS CHARILADS  |                         |                          |   |  |
| of diploma thesis:                        |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| WIOTHERAPY RECORD OF                      | PATIENT WIT  | H GOWART.               | HROSIS                   |   |  |
| of thesis:                                |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| NEUNE THERAPEUTICA<br>HPLETE PT RECORD BU | PPROACH BY P   | PATIENT LUI<br>EKS OF R | TH COUALTYL<br>ENARUITAT | LOW. ANS                                  |  |
| lume:                                     | The state of the s |                         |                          | 1   |  |
| s of text                                 | 68   |                         |                          |   |  |
| ature<br>es, graphs, appendices           | 24 TABLES, LPP-APPENSICES  |                         |                          |   |  |
| riousness of topics:                      | above average  | average                 | under avarage            | 1   |  |
| oretical knowladges                       |  | X                       |                          |   |  |
|   |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| ut data and their processing              |  | X                       |                          | 1   |  |
| d methods                                 |  | X                       |                          |   |  |
| a moundad                                 |  |                         |                          | 1   |  |
|   | evaluation   |                         |                          | -   |  |
| teria of thesis classification            | excellent  | very good               | satisfactory             | unsatisfactory                            |  |
|   |  |                         |                          |   |  |
|   |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| of analysis of thesis                     |  | <i>x</i>                |                          |   |  |
| constutruction of work                    |  | ×                       |                          |   |  |
|   |  |                         | X                        |   |  |
| with literature and citations             |  |                         |                          | : [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] |  |
| uacy of used methods                      |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| n of work (text, graphs, tablels)         |  | <i>×</i>                |                          |   |  |
|   |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| tic level                                 |  | *                       |                          |   |  |
|   |  |                         |                          |   |  |
| sefulness of the thesis outcomes:         | under average  | average                 |                          |   |  |

| nments and questions to answe          |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| WOULD YOURECOMMEN.<br>BALANCE TRAINING | S SENSORY MOTOR STIMULATION AND |
| omendation for defence:                | YES                             |
| signed classificatory degree           | VELY GOOD according defence     |
| 20.5.2005                              | signature of the oponent        |