

The goal of the Bachelor Thesis is to elaborate one political discussion. From this discussion I will choose suitable samples for my research. Subsequently I try to find some arguments which will support chosen politicians opinions. Their argumentations I am noting in the argumentation structure. The argumentation analysis of the contemporary politicians who represent the two most important and the largest political parties is my contribution of this Thesis.

The Thesis I have divided in to theoretical and practical part. The theoretical part contains seven chapters. The practical part is based upon the practical part. My first steps aim to the description of the political arguments and the dialogical communication which is taking place in that types of programs. Afterwards I will deal with the dialogical communication type and thanks to it. I can establish the type of dialogical communication in my program. Then I would like to outline the argumentation text structure which helps me during the practical part. Furthermore, in my research I will find what the opinion is and how can I identify it.. In the chapter called Argument I will target the argument principle and how can I identify it. In the next chapter I will peep into the argumentation problems. Of course, I can not leave out the wrong argumentation, which is appeared recently in many cases in speakers, who are trying to persuade somebody in something. For clarity I have tried to describe the controversial discussion essence. This discussion I will add before the analysis itself.

In the practical part I will note the schematically argument structure. The first structure will be aimed for David Rath and the second one for Petr Bendi. First of all I am going to focus on the argumentation both of politicians. Subsequently I will deduce common or different features of their argumentation.