

# Institut ekonomických studií

## Fakulta sociálních věd, Universita Karlova Praha

### Referee report on the Rigorous Thesis submitted to Rigorous Exam

<b>Student Name:</b>	<b>Ing. Vojtěch Roženský</b>
<b>Thesis Supervisor Name:</b>	<b>Doc. Jiří Havel</b>
<b>Thesis Title:</b>	IPO na středoevropských akciových trzích

#### Overall Evaluation:

Dílo kolegy Roženského si dalo za cíl prověřit na tvrdých datech často opakovanou „pravdu“ o značném naskoku varšavské burzy před burzou pražskou. Tato mínění se často křížují renomovanou literaturou, a je proto touhou Pražanů tato data srovnat a najít potřebné poučení. Výsledkem autorovy analýzy je konstatování, že realita je mnohem členitější než běžné úsudky, a je naopak řada oblastí, kde pražská burza pokud nevíteží, určitě drží krok.

Na spolupráci s diplomantem musím ocenit jeho odbornou zralost a samostatnost v řešení problému. Vzniklá práce je silná v obou potřebných směrech, a to jak v seriózní kvantitativní analýze tak v hluboké analýze institucionální. S trochou dojetí konstatuji, že je tak naplněn smysl naší instituce, která klade na obě složky stejný hluboký důraz.

Domnívám se, že svým rozsahem, obsahem a úrovní sdělení jde o práci výjimečnou a její klíčové pasáže a závěry by si zasloužily publikaci. **Doporučuji zkušební komisi práci k obhajobě přijmout.**

#### SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for the explanation of categories and scale, please, see below):

<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>POINTS</b>
Quality of Research	30
Clarity and Readability	10
Content/Quality of Ideas	40
Organization & Development	15
Manuscript Form	5
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>LETTER GRADE</b>	<b>1</b>

---

#### (Signature – Defense Opponent)

<Defense Opponent's Name and Title>

20. 10. 2008

Evaluated on: <date>

# Institut ekonomických studií

## Fakulta sociálních věd, Universita Karlova Praha

### Referee report on the Rigorous Thesis submitted to Rigorous Exam

<b>Student Name:</b>	<b>Ing. Vojtěch Roženský</b>
<b>Thesis Supervisor Name:</b>	<b>Doc. Jiří Havel</b>
<b>Thesis Title:</b>	IPO na středoevropských akciových trzích

#### ***Explanation of categories and scale:***

**QUALITY OF RESEARCH:** *The thesis demonstrates the author's full understanding and command of current literature and he/she uses it competently. The topic of the thesis is well structured and methods used are proper and relevant to the research question being investigated. A full and accurate analysis of thesis statement, from both a theoretical and applied perspective, is provided.*

<i>Strong</i>			<i>Middle</i>				<i>Weak</i>		
30	27	24	21	18	15	12	8	4	0

**CONTENT/QUALITY OF IDEAS:** *A range and depth of exposition; an appropriate sense of complexity of the topic; appropriate analysis of the thesis statement; and an accurate understanding of theoretical concepts is demonstrated. A full discussion of applicable and relevant theories stylized data is included. Original, creative thought is provided and evident. Demonstrates critical thinking and analysis with application of theory and student's ability to draw conclusions based on their knowledge, skills and research.*

<i>Strong</i>			<i>Middle</i>				<i>Weak</i>		
40	36	32	28	24	20	15	10	5	0

**ORGANIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT:** *The paper demonstrates a logical and clear arrangement of ideas; an effective use of transitions; a unity and coherence of paragraphs; and a clear development of ideas through supporting detail and evidence. The reader is successfully oriented to the subject, purpose, methodology, and structure of the report; an overview of the whole is included; the reader's attention and interest is engaged. The thesis statement is clearly and definitively stated without ambiguity. The conclusion is strong and reflective of the work as a whole.*

<i>Strong</i>			<i>Middle</i>			<i>Weak</i>		
15	13	12	10	8	6	4	2	0

**CLARITY AND READIBILITY:** *Ease of readability; appropriate use of language and style for the rhetorical content; clarity of sentences (reader doesn't get lost; minimum need for slowing down or re-reading) is appropriately demonstrated. Professional level of English expression is evident (limited amount of non-native language to English translation is detectable).*

<i>Strong</i>			<i>Middle</i>			<i>Weak</i>			
10	9	8	7	6	5	3	2	1	0

**MANUSCRIPT FORM:** *The appropriate manuscript form and style for the rhetorical content; a professional image; an appropriate use of headings and sub-headings; an appropriate format for graphs and tables; an effective referencing of graphs and tables in the text; complete and accurate bibliography documented to support the applied research; and the overall impact of document design is considered.*

<i>Strong</i>		<i>Middle</i>		<i>Weak</i>	
5	4	3	2	1	0