

Record of the dissertation thesis defence

Academic year: 2021/2022

Study programme: Branch of study: Study ID: 599426 Title of the thesis: Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfare State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism Department of Political Science (23-KP) English Language of the thesis: Language of defence: Supervisor: Janusz Salamon, Ph.D. Date of defence: Attempt: Course of defence: In the introduction, the supervisor presented the course of the Ph.D. student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfare State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present)	Student's name and surname: Student's ID:	Peter Wedekind, M.Ed. 64188689
Reconciling Binding Welfare State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism Department of Political Science (23-KP) English Language of defence: English Supervisor: Date of defence: Course of defence: In the introduction, the supervisor presented the course of the Ph.D. student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfare State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Type of the study programme: Study programme: Branch of study: Study ID:	Political Science Political Science
Thesis department: Language of the thesis: Language of defence: Supervisor: Date of defence: Attempt: Course of defence: In the introduction, the supervisor presented the course of the Ph.D. student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfarn State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present) doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Title of the thesis:	Reconciling Binding Welfare State Policies and a Reformed
Attempt: Course of defence: In the introduction, the supervisor presented the course of the Ph.D. student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfard State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present) doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Thesis department: Language of the thesis: Language of defence: Supervisor:	Department of Political Science (23-KP) English English
Course of defence: In the introduction, the supervisor presented the course of the Ph.D. student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfard State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present) doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Date of defence:	25.07.2022 Venue of defence: Praha
student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfard State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful defense. Result of defence: pass (P) Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present) doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Attempt:	regular
Chair of the board: doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present)	Course of defence:	student's studies and all his achievements. Afterward, Peter Wedekind presented his dissertation ("Justification and Limits of State Coercion in Liberal Democracies: Reconciling Binding Welfard State Policies and a Reformed Classical Liberalism"), describing the aim of the thesis as well as methodology and the results of his research. Towards the end of his presentation, he focused on his opponents' assessments and critique. Subsequently, both opponents commented on P. Wedekind's thesis, which they greatly appreciated and recommended for a successful
Committee members: doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)	Result of defence:	pass (P)
	Chair of the board:	doc. Michel Perottino, Ph.D. (present)
prof. PhDr. Blanka Říchová, CSc. (present)	Committee members:	doc. PhDr. Jaroslav Čmejrek, CSc. (present)
		prof. PhDr. Blanka Říchová, CSc. (present)