

Univerzita Karlova, Filozofická fakulta

Ústav filosofie a religionistiky, Studijní obor religionistika

AUTOREFERÁT DISERTAČNÍ PRÁCE

THE SHIELD OF ACHILLEUS: MINOAN REPRESENTATIONAL CONVENTIONS IN ARCHAIC GREEK POETRY AND THOUGHT

Achilleův štít: Mínójské zobrazovací postupy v archaické řecké poezii a myšlení

Mgr. Lucie Valentinová, 2021

Vedoucí práce: doc. Radek Chlup, Ph.D.

Abstrakt

Předkládaná práce se zabývá Homérovým popisem Achilleova štítu (*Ilias* 18.478–608) a minojskou freskovou malbou, zejména miniaturními freskami z Knóssu, takzvanými vyobrazeními posvátného háje a tance (*Sacred Grove and Dance Fresco*) a shromáždění diváků (*Grandstand Fresco*). Prostřednictvím analýzy jejich zobrazovacích postupů zkoumá možnosti sledování kulturního přenosu mezi dvěma civilizacemi, které preferovaly odlišná reprezentační média: malířství v případě vizuálně orientované minojské civilizace doby bronzové a poezie v nejstarších kulturních vrstvách starověkého Řecka. Protože v případě minojské kultury nemáme k dispozici rozluštěné písemné prameny a její fresková malba byla, jak práce ukazuje, převážně nenarativní, k jejím tématům si obtížně sjednáváme přístup. Práce nicméně ukazuje, že nemálo se můžeme dozvědět z interpretace toho, jak techniky zobrazení v malířství a poezii zacházejí s reprezentací časových a prostorových vztahů a ze vztahu, který navazují se svým divákem a posluchačem; jeví-li se nám svět jako složitý komplex kulturních reprezentací, způsob, jakým se k nim vztahujeme, odráží naši představu o lidském místě ve světě.

Nenarativní zobrazovací postupy minojských fresek – zejména vertikální perspektiva, absence pevného hlediska diváka, potlačená fokalizace a mapovitá kompozice – můžeme sledovat i v Homérově líčení Achilleova štítu, i když zde částečně podřízené novým kosmologickým a antropologickým představám. Stejně jako minojské fresky i popis Štítu artikuluje prostorové vztahy, zatímco časové se vyznačují především simultánností; vertikální perspektiva udržuje diváka uvnitř zobrazení, jako by v krajině na povrchu Štítu. Bardova přítomnost, která k sobě poutá pozornost, když básník popisuje emoce, jež Héfaistovo dílo vzbuzuje, podněcuje k reflexi toho, na co a jak se díváme, respektive co a jak si představujeme. Zřídka a nestabilní přítomnost vnitřního fokalizátoru, který přesněji určuje divákův vztah k zobrazovanému, odpovídá málo jednotné homérské osobě, jejíž hranice jsou snadno prostupné. Divák ve své představivosti nachází na povrchu krajiny vyobrazené na Štítu, a protože zemi, popisovanou jakou širokou, nelze u Homéra přejít až ke břehům Ókeánu, které ji obklopují, protože ty jsou pro člověka prostorově i kognitivně nedosažitelné, nedokáže se z ní vzdálit. Štít tedy nemůžeme chápat jako „model“ světa, neboť vnější prostor, do něhož by divák mohl svůj pohled situovat, homérská poezie – stejně jako minojská malba – nezná. Teprve Anaximandros přenesl tuto představu protoru jako krajiny, která je sice konečná, avšak pro diváka bezmezná, mimo samotnou Zemi a dráhy nebeských těles. Z takto „objeveného“ prostoru bude možné Zemi poprvé spatřit celou. Domnívám se, že této konstrukci vzdáleného hlediska diváka se egejská civilizace doby bronzové záměrně vyhýbala a její aplikace v pozdější řecké kultuře vedla k odlišnému vnímání prostoru, času, osobní identity a zejména místa člověka ve světě.

Abstract

The present thesis examines Homer's description of the Shield of Achilles and Minoan miniature frescoes, particularly the *Sacred Grove and Dance Fresco* and *Grandstand Fresco*. It uses them as examples to explore the transmission of ideas between cultures – the intensely visual Minoan civilisation of the Bronze Age centred on Crete and the earliest cultural strata of ancient Greece – that preferred different means of representation, painting, and poetry. Because Minoan fresco painting was essentially non-narrative and not accompanied by readable written records, so that “deciphering” its iconography is not an option, the thesis argues that we can learn about general cultural perceptions from interpreting and analysing how techniques of representation in painting and poetry treat the representation of time and space. From the relationship that these techniques establish with the beholders of the representation, we can infer their self-understanding. If the world appears to us as an intricate complex of cultural representations, the way we interact with them reflects our sense of our human place in the world.

The non-narrative techniques of Minoan frescoes – particularly the use of vertical perspective, the absence of a fixed point of view, suppressed focalisation, and map-like composition – are shown as well traceable in the description of the Shield, though here partially subordinated to new cosmological and anthropological ideas. Like the frescoes, the description of the Shield articulates spatial relationships, while temporal ones are characterised primarily by simultaneity; the vertical perspective keeps the viewer within the depiction, as if in a landscape on the Shield's surface. While the bard's presence occasionally provokes reflection on the depicted, the presence of focalisers refining the beholder's relationship to the depicted remains dispersed, as does the Homeric person. Since the viewer is on the surface and the land is perceived as untraversable (the shores of Okeanos are spatially and cognitively unapproachable to humans), the Shield cannot be understood as a model of the world since the external space in which the viewer's gaze might situate itself has not yet been constructed. Only Anaximander will extend this notion of an untraversable landscape to space outside the Earth itself and the celestial bodies, and from the space thus obtained, it will be possible to see the Earth as a whole for the first time. I believe that this construction of the distant point of view was deliberately avoided by the Aegean civilisation of the Bronze Age, and its application in later Greek culture led to a different perception of space, time, personal identity, and especially the human place in the world.

Summary of Arguments

The description of the Shield of Achilles is one of the most commented-on passages in classical literature. This work examines the possibility that this passage has preserved traits of cultural influence from Minoan Crete. Even though the conservation of a particular subject theme originating from miniature frescoes from Knossos is discussed, primary attention is paid to the transference of the non-narrative techniques of Minoan visual representations and the understanding of the world they were employed to express. As Greek culture already had its genuine cultural representations and ideas in the Geometric and Archaic periods, and as it regarded itself to be exceptionally creative in enhancing foreign ideas, this work discusses the examination, questioning, transformation, and theorization of the Minoan heritage.

In Chapter I, the chronological and geographical background is introduced together with the scholarly approaches to trace Near Eastern or Indo-European influences on the earliest strata of Greek culture. In Chapter II, I summarize state of the art. As the number of scholars and academic works occupied with this traditionally enigmatic passage is enormous, and none of them seriously addresses the possibility of the influence of the Minoan material, I decided to focus on selected authors according to how their scholarly approaches explore the various representational qualities of the Shield by emphasizing its visual, narrative, ekphrastic, or even performative dimensions.

In the rest of the work, chapters III and IV, I present my own approach to tracing the influence of Minoan techniques of representation on the Shield's depiction and Archaic Greek thought. I will only summarize here the particular arguments as they will build on each other in the thesis, without illustrating them with specific material, which will, however, be presented in the work itself, hopefully in sufficient detail. Therefore, references to the scholars who are discussed for each argument will be kept to a minimum here.

In Chapter III, I focus my attention on the analysis of Minoan pictorial conventions and, in particular, the Knossos miniature friezes, the *Sacred Grove and Dance Fresco*, and the *Grandstand Fresco*. In order to show how their techniques of representation may have possibly influenced the Homeric depiction of the Shield, I devote a few pages to the peculiarities of Minoan fresco paintings and the difficulties facing their modern interpretation. The miniature friezes are now generally understood as depictions of specific locations, albeit not in a strict topographical sense; here, the West and Central Courts of the Knossos palace are supposedly represented (Cameron 1975, Marinatos 1987, Davis 1987, Immerwahr 1990, Morgan 1990, Strasser 2010, Strasser and Chapin 2014). However, depicting a specific place is usually considered only a setting, not a theme; since both of these frescoes display crowds of people, they are commonly interpreted as representations of significant ritual events of palatial society (sections III.1, III.2).

In contrast to these prevailing interpretations, I will show that they pay insufficient attention to two variables that enter our interpretational process. The first is the crucial role of the various techniques of representation in the constitution of the relationship of artists and beholders to the world or reality, which I understand as culturally constructed. As the relationship to the (painted) representation of the world articulates the relationship of the beholder to the world itself (as represented in the imagination), Bronze Age paintings might play a similarly important role in our reconstructions of cultural ideology as written narratives are supposed to do. If these representations are non-narrative and the dynamics of focalisation suppressed, it affects and is affected by the cultural perception of space, time, and the human place in the world.

The second variable entering the interpretational process is the relationship between Bronze Age cultural representations and modern Western scholarly frameworks (as representations of their kind). I will maintain that the linear and sequentially structured model of ritual, which was generally used in anthropology and related disciplines during most of the 20th century and until today, forms a taxonomic tool that does not structurally correspond to the Minoan representations of performative scenes rendered by non-narrative techniques.

There has been no serious discussion of the degree of narrativity of Minoan visual representation – particularly frescoes – since Cain (1997) pointed out that the concept of “narrative” has been over-employed by commentators to describe any figurative scene without a proper definition. To methodologically anchor the interpretive efforts of modern scholars, she seeks to define specific formal constituents that should identify narrative potential where no discernible story content can be identified. Because I believe that Cain channels rather than actually challenges narrativising approaches of the second half of the 20th century, I will attempt to define specific formal constituents that should identify *non*-narrative rendering.

In this endeavour, I will begin with Svetlana Alpers’ (1983) distinction between the narrative art of the Italian Renaissance and the non-narrative art of Dutch painters of the 16th and 17th centuries (section III.3). There has already been an attempt to elucidate what we know about Minoan culture in comparison with the culture of the United Provinces of the Netherlands in the 17th century. Weingarten (1999) points to a striking parallel between the scarcity of the ruler’s iconography and war scenes, the rather heterarchical political structure, and the (for these particular periods) unexpected freedom of women. Unlike Weingarten, I do not wish to compare such geographically and chronologically remote cultural systems. Instead, I suggest some striking similarities in the representational *techniques* that may intensify our understanding of Minoan painting (section III.4).

I suggest that Alpers’ interpretation of Dutch paintings as employing the distance-point method, the perspectival convention that does not construct the viewer in the external space in front of the painting (Alpers’ “prior viewer”) but instead suggests a mobile viewer so close to the picture surface that he or she feels like within the image, can serve us as a guide to understanding the goals of Minoan vertical perspective (section III.4.1). This mobile eye, “travelling” across the picture surface, allows for the wide vistas, and multiple or oblique views (Alpers’ “aggregate of views” allowing for “accumulation of meanings”) that we see not only in Dutch art but also in Minoan landscapes and harbour views. Although Aegean scholars sometimes detect “experiments” or “attempts to achieve” a linear perspective in Minoan frescoes, I believe that a more profound understanding can be achieved if we assume that the further development of a vertical perspective (adopted originally from Egypt) was an entirely deliberate process, a way of keeping the beholder of the world represented within the representation, so to say, or the world itself.

When Minoan painters use vertical perspective and the particular point of view is absent, the avoidance of direct interaction with the viewer follows, confirmed by the profile rendering of human and animal figures (section III.4.2). I believe this to be parallel to what Alpers describes as the missing interplay between sender and receiver when she tries to explain what she calls “represented seeing” in Dutch art. I understand this missing interaction as a strategy for avoiding intimacy and objectification and so deliberately preventing the development of the narrative technique of focalisation (section III.4.3). First coined by Genette (1972) as a “reformulation” of the terms “perspective” and “point of view” for analysing literature, focalisation has been theorised in the context of visual narrativity, particularly by Mieke Bal (1995, 2005). She sees it as a principle of producing focalised objects (e.g., represented events) by an internal focaliser within the image

and by an external focaliser, with whose view the spectator is asked to identify but from which the spectator's view remains distinct.

Even though, according to Bal, every image contains traces of representational labour, as seen and interpreted by the viewer, and thus becomes narrativised, even if not to an equal degree, I will show that we can usefully employ her analytical tools to demonstrate that, contrary to her conclusions, the “dynamics of focalisation” in Minoan frescoes can be so vapid that it is better to describe it as altogether absent.

Because the viewer has no fixed point of view, he is not allowed to be fully immersed in the picture, and the feeling of distance complements his perception of the image (Alpers' “mixture of absorption and distance”). The scale and distribution of the Minoan frescoes, often extending from one wall to another to cover the room's three walls, may be understood as another aspect of the method preventing the viewer from grasping the image (section III.4.4).

In Minoan studies, the “low narrativity,” if recognised in a particular depiction, is generally considered to be the mark of the representation of ritual action (Cain 1997, Marinatos 1993, Strasser 2010). Paradoxically, it is then interpreted within the linearly organised scheme of sequential ritual dynamics in the next step; however, recognising its “dynamics” requires knowing where and when the ritual process begins and ends, in terms of symbolical, historical, or geographical time and place. Given that Minoan non-narrative representations of performative behaviour do not construct any framework in terms of spatial or temporal relations, or in terms of relations between the represented figures themselves and the beholder of the representation, this model should not be used (section III.5).

The less sharp the frame, the less intense is the narrativity of the representation and our capability to grasp it as narrative without mentally reconstructing the frame. Alpers describes Dutch landscape compositions through the overlap between the mapping and picturing. Dutch panoramic landscapes seem as if they could continue in all directions, further and further away. This is also true of Minoan landscapes, but as Lyvia Morgan (2007) has shown in her hypothesis of “topographical relationships” between the images on Minoan miniature friezes from four specific rooms (probably banquet halls) at Thera, Kea, Tel Kabri, and Tell el-Dab'a and the landscapes beyond their walls and the maritime routes to which they may have referred, the Minoan Bronze Age mariner may have constructed a spatial ordering of the world derived from his physical presence in it. The pattern of spatial mapping-like relationships can be usefully extended to describe the culturally determined epistemological organisation of human relationships to the world, including performative behaviour depicted on the topographical frescoes. Instead of reconstructing the sequential ritual activity, we can plausibly interpret them as representing a performed seeing, a bodily knowledge of the land and the society (section III.6).

With this conclusion, Chapter IV will analyse the possible adoption and transformation of Minoan non-narrative techniques of representation in the depiction of the Shield and in the broader context of Archaic Greek poetry and thought, particularly in Anaximander's notion of *apeiron*. It focuses on what happened in the process of absorbing and re-designing non-narrative material in terms of building new perspectival views. Seven hypotheses or traits of this process will be pursued in seven sections.

In section IV.1, I will show that the map-like quality of the representation of spatial relations in Minoan frescoes corresponds to the topographical arrangement of the Shield. In both cases, spatial relations are articulated at the expense of temporal ones, which are rendered simultaneously. The sun, the full moon, and each visible constellation can form the counterpart of Minoan frescoes in which different kinds of flowers bloom simultaneously. The movement of the figures evokes an almost ornamental repetitiveness instead of evoking the expectation of consequences. Rendering the topography of the Shield as in a vertical perspective

and placing the viewer inside the representation, almost on the surface of the Shield, reveals not only the mutual relations of the scenes but above all the Shield as a whole. Homer cannot place his viewer in a space constructed as outside the “image”; understanding the Shield as a “model” of the world is an ahistorical distortion. And, as with space, so with the general understanding of temporal relations expressed in the Homeric Epics. They do not cluster around any fixed point of the present viewer from which he or she can perceive the distant past as an objective event. As Bakker, Nagy, and others have pointed out from various theoretical perspectives, physical presence during rhapsodic performance, the reactivating nature of oral poetics, and the emphasis on simultaneity dominate Homeric conceptions of time (Nagy 1997; Bakker 1997b).

Although I have argued that the dynamics of focalisation are almost absent in Minoan frescoes, in section IV.2 I will show that the process of response to representation is traced in more detail in *ekphrasis*. The bard mediates between the subject matter of the scenes depicted on the Shield and us as viewers, and it is within the bard’s purview whether to turn our attention closer to the particular details of the depiction (or to the physical vehicle of the metals). There is also another internal focaliser that makes the beholder of representation more active, and remarkably this happens in the scene of the Knossos dance. Here, in a subject theme probably adopted directly from the Knossos miniatures, the internal focaliser emerges as an anonymous crowd bodily performing the viewing. Even as he builds a more active relationship with the world in the focalising dynamics, Homer’s man can still immerse himself in communal identity. I suggest that this corresponds to the dispersed Homeric person: further fixation of point of view will require a more centralised and unified personality to constitute the viewing subject.

In section IV.3, I turn my attention to the ornamental form of the dance patterns alluded on the Shield. Their geometric shapes of circles, lines, and whirls, are exceptional in that as a medium of representation, they are inseparable from its object (as the word *choros*, referring to the dancing place as well as to the chain of dancers illustrates). These will be related to Minoan “emotional sense of geometry,” and I will suggest that the whirl is a morphological parallel to the Minoan motif of the so-called “running spirals,” connected in Minoan representational contexts not only with shields but also functioning as a kind of band accompanying some of the frescoes (seen as a counterpart of the whirling Okeanos in section IV.6). Given that geometric stencils, including the spiral, were used in the depiction of human and animal figures in Akrotiri, but probably also in Knossos and later Mycenae, the self-referentiality between figural and geometric patterns present on Geometric vases may have a direct, though not so overtly displayed, ancestry in Minoan culture. As “modus operandi whose structuring function lends itself to crossing all genres,” (Grethlein 2018, citing Bonne 1996) geometric forms may become accessible vehicles of cultural transference when no stable preferred media of representation (such as painting or poetry) is at hand. I will further suggest, together with the interesting hypothesis of Maria Shaw and Anne Chapin (2016), that some of the Minoan frescoes were, in fact, imitating more expensive textile decorations, that the textiles (alluded here by the word *poikillei*, preserving the meanings “stitch,” “knit,” or “weave.”) served as an essential medium of transference of geometric decoration.

Section IV.4 will briefly show that although the dance on the Shield is already Greek in its gender aspect, the geometric patterns may also be transferred as performative and not only as visual shapes. The appearance of dance reminds us of the physical presence of Homer’s audience and connects the visual image with choral dance present in almost all ritual activity in Archaic Greece. As I show in Anaximander (section IV.7), space was probably not perceived as empty by that time. Given its suggested biological quality in Anaximander, dance allows for a very active, if not intellectual, interaction with the outside world.

In Section IV.5 I return to the temporal relations I touch on briefly in section IV.1. Since there is only one cosmogonic reference in the *Iliad*, and it comes from the disputed passage of the “Deception of Zeus,” I will argue that although it does consider Okeanos, Okeanos is not actually part of any of Homer’s cosmogonic speculations. Rather, its image belongs to a cultural current that prefers more static accounts of the world’s operations – less narrative similes, catalogues, and *ekphraseis*, without the genealogically structured theogonies and cosmogonies familiar from Hesiod and the Pherecydes. The surprising entry of Okeanos into the Pherecydes’ cosmogonic account as embroidery on a wedding robe suggests that such competing interpretations may have existed. Moreover, according to Robert Beekes (2010), Okeanos could be etymologically attested as a Pre-Greek world, so that its origin in the Pre-Greek Aegean, including Crete, cannot be ruled out.

Section IV.6 discusses the frequently occurring epithets of Okeanos, which refer to its whirls and streams. Although traditionally Okeanos is imagined as a river encircling the Shield, as a possible precursor to later ideas of cosmologic or cosmogonic vortex and a consequence of the Shield’s round shape, a lot of later imagination intrudes on this image. The cognate forms of the verb *dineuō* used to describe the spiral decoration on the weapons, which may have a counterpart in Agamemnon’s treasury at Mycenae, allow us to imagine Okeanos more as a stream of rippling waters. Such an image further deflects from seeing Okeanos encircling the Earth from the point of view of external space (as argued against in section IV.1). Such composition may have antecedents in the Minoan friezes of running spirals that often accompany figurative and landscape compositions. Since the two Knossos miniature frescoes were found near the spiral fragments, the originally ornamental motif of spirals may have crystallised into a thematic element of Okeanos here.

Section IV.7 attempts to show the Homeric image of unlimited or untraversable (*apeiron*) Earth, which nevertheless has its borders (*peirata*), on which various mythological creatures live, as a fundamental legacy of the Minoan perception of space and its landscape representations. I propose Anaximander’s *apeiron*, interpreted by Andrew Gregory (2016) as spatially unlimited but finite, as an heir to this originally Minoan conception. Anaximander, however, radically transformed the entire worldview when he transposed this perception of space from the surface of the unlimited landscape to the space surrounding the Earth and the heavenly bodies, celebrated by Dirk Couprie (2003, 2011) as the “discovery of space.” With this space at hand, he was then able to shift the human view into it; it no longer looks at the Earth as on a landscape on which he stands but sees it as a whole for the first time.

The elusiveness of limits is not the quality of the “rim” of the Shield only. Gregory Nagy (2003) has shown that the thematisation of limits, *peirata*, within the “Court Scene,” suggests an interesting interplay between the *Iliad* as a narrative structure whose limits in terms of justice will be achieved the moment Achilles finally accepts the compensation for the dead body of Patroklos, and the limits as a pronouncement of justice which the Shield depicts as going to be expected forever. This expectation, the reluctance to fix order and meaning for the present and future in Cretan-related *ekphrasis*, allows us to speculate that the Epics tradition knew and mastered the differences between narrative and non-narrative techniques of representation while also deliberately preserving the goals of the Minoan one. Since the motif of the distribution of *dikē* from the *apeiron* is familiar to Anaximander and perhaps to Heraclitus, the reluctance to fix understanding in cognitive, moral, or social terms will be suggested as still present in the thought of Archaic Ionia. The very ancient image of the overlap between what cannot be reached and what cannot be known will be preserved once more in Heraclitus’ now metaphorical description of the unknowable soul: its limits cannot be reached even if traverse all paths. For it seems that, according to Heraclitus, each path offers only one point of view, and no single one is the right one.

So far, the approach of this work may seem neo-formalist as it pays attention to how the formal qualities of Minoan and Archaic Greek visual and verbal representations culturally intervene when shaping their beholders' relationship to the world. Although any "grand theory" is not the intention of neo-formalism, after spending time with the Minoan material, I now have a broader agenda in mind. In Chapter V, Conclusion, which is essentially a brief tribute to Daniel Everett and his commitment to the study of Pirahã culture, I will stress the possible evolutionary dimension of the previous account and briefly address the problem of the space in which the researcher situates himself and a distant point of view he or she constructs when studying a foreign culture. I describe this problem as a dilemma between situating the researcher in an external space, constructing an objective relationship and allowing for free appropriation, and locating the researcher in the territory of the culture under study, where he or she inevitably submits to the transformation of the self.

Bibliography

- Adams, Ellen. 2013. "Representing, Objectifying, and Framing the Body at the Late Bronze Age Knossos." *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 56/1, 1–25.
- Adams, Ellen. 2017. *Cultural Identity on Minoan Crete: Social Dynamics in the Neopalatial Period*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Alberti, Benjamin. 2002. "Gender and the Figurative Art of Late Bronze Age Knossos." In: Hamilakis, Y. (ed.), *Labyrinth Revisited: Rethinking Minoan Archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 98–117.
- Alpers, Svetlana. 1983. *The Art of Describing: Dutch Art in the 17th Century*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Alpers, Svetlana. 2018. "Art History and its Exclusions: The example of Dutch Art." In: Broude, N. and M. D. Garrard (eds.), *Feminism and Art History: Questioning the Litany*. New York, London: Routledge [1982], 183–199.
- Aujac, Germaine. 1987. "The Foundations of Theoretical Cartography in Archaic and Classical Greece." In: Harley, J. B. and D. Woodward (eds.), *The History of Cartography, Vol. 1: Cartography in Prehistoric, Ancient, and Medieval Europe and the Mediterranean*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 130–147.
- Bakker, Egbert J. 1997a. *Poetry in Speech: Orality and Homeric Discourse*. Ithaca, London: Cornell University Press.
- Bakker, Egbert J. 1997b. "Storytelling in the Future: Truth, Time, and Tense in Homeric Epic." In: Bakker, E. and A. Kahane, *Written Voices, Spoken Signs: Tradition, Performance and the Epic Text*. Cambridge, London: Harvard University Press, 11–36.
- Bakker, Egbert. 2001. "Similes, Augment, and the Language of Immediacy." In: Janet Watson (ed.), *Speaking Volumes: Orality and Literacy in the Greek and Roman World*. Mnemosyne Supplements 218. Leiden: Brill, 1–23.
- Bal, Mieke. 2005. "Visual narrativity." In: Herman, D., M. Jahn and M.-L. Ryan (eds.), *Routledge Encyclopedia of Narrative Theory*. London: Routledge, 629–630.
- Bal, Mieke. 1995. "Reading the Gaze: The Construction of Gender in 'Rembrandt'." In: Melville, S. and B. Readings (eds.) *Vision & Textuality*. London: MacMillan, 147–173.
- Barber, E. J. W. *Prehistoric Textiles: The Development of Cloth in the Neolithic and Bronze Ages with Special Reference to the Aegean*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Becker, Andrew S. 1995. *The Shield of Achilles and the Poetics of Ekphrasis*. Lanham MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Beekes, Robert S. P. 2010. *Etymological Dictionary of Greek*. With the assistance of Lucien van Beek. Leiden, Boston: Brill.
- Bell, Catherine. 1992. *Ritual Theory, Ritual Practice*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bennet, John and Jack L. Davis. 1999. "Making Mycenaean: Warfare, Territorial Expansion, and Representations of the Other in the Pylian Kingdom." In: Laffineur, Robert (ed.), *POLEMOS: Le Contexte Guerrier en égée a l' Age du Bronze*. Aegaeum 19. Austin: Université de Liege.
- Bershadsky, Natasha. 2010. "The Unbreakable Shield: Thematics of Sakos and Aspis." *Classical Philology*, 105.1, 1–24.
- Blakolmer, Fritz. 2009. "A Pantheon without Attributes? Goddesses and Gods in Minoan and Mycenaean iconography." In: Mylonopoulos, J. (ed.), *Divine Images and Human Imaginations in Ancient Greece and Rome*. Leiden: Brill, 21–61.
- Blakolmer, Fritz. 2012. "Image and Architecture: Reflections of Mural Iconography in Seal Images and Other Art Forms of Minoan Crete." In: Panagiotopoulos, D. and U. Günkel-Maschek (eds.), *Minoan Realities*. Aegis 5. Louvain-la-Neuve: Presses universitaires de Louvain, 83–114.
- Blakolmer, Fritz. 2018. "Iconography Versus Reality: Goddesses and Gods in Minoan Crete." *Rethymno: Istoriki kai Laografiki Etaireia Rethymnús*, 173–187.
- Braun, Nadja S. 2015. "Narrative." In: Hartwig, M. K. (ed.). *A Companion to Ancient Egyptian Art*. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 344–359.
- Brecoulaki, Hariclia et al. 2015. "An Unprecedented Naval Scene from Pylos: First Considerations." In: Brecoulaki, H., J. L. Davis and S. R. Stocker (eds.), *Mycenaean Wall Painting in Context: New Discoveries, Old Finds Reconsidered*. Athens: National Hellenic Research Foundation, Institute of Historical Research, 260–291.
- Bremmer, Jan N. 2019. "Rivers and River Gods in Ancient Greek Religion and Culture." In: Scheer, T. S. (ed.), *Natur – Myths – Religion in antiken Griechenland*. Frantz Steiner Verlag, 89–112.
- Brisson, Luc. 2002. *Sexual Ambivalence: Androgyny and Hermaphroditism in Graeco-Roman Antiquity*. Transl. by Janet Lloyd. Berkeley: University of California Press. [Orig. *Le sexe incertain: androgynie et hermaphrodisme dans l'Antiquité gréco-romaine*, 1997.]

- Budin, Stephanie L. 2011. *Images of the Women and Child from the Bronze Age: Reconsidering Fertility, Maternity and Gender in the Ancient World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Burkert, Walter. 1985. *Greek Religion*. Trans. John Raffan. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. [Orig. *Griechische Religion der archaischen und klassischen Epoche*, 1977¹.]
- Burkert, Walter. 1992. *The Orientalising Revolution: Near Eastern Influence on Greek Culture in the Early Archaic Period*. Translated by E. Pinder and W. Burkert. Cambridge, London: Harvard University Press. [Orig. *Die orientalisierende Epoche in der griechischen Religion und Literatur*, 1984¹.]
- Cain, Candance D. 1997. *The Question of Narrative in Aegean Bronze Age Art*. [Unpublished PhD Dissertation, History of Art Department, University of Toronto.]
- Cain, Candance D. 2001. "Dancing in the Dark: Deconstructing a Narrative of Epiphany on the Isopata Ring." *American Journal of Archaeology* 105.1: 27–49.
- Cameron, Mark A. S. 1967. "Notes on Some New Joins and Additions to Well-Known Frescoes from Knossos." In: Brice, W. C. (ed.), *Europa. Studien zur Geschichte und Epigraphik der frühen Aegaeis. Festschrift für Ernst Grumach*. Berlin: Barnes and Noble, 45–74.
- Cameron, Mark A. S. 1976 *A General Study of Minoan Frescoes with Particular Reference to Unpublished Wall Paintings from Knossos*. 4 vols. [Unpublished PhD dissertation, School of History, Classics and Archaeology, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne.]
- Cameron, Mark A. S. 1987. "The 'palatial' thematic system in the Knossos murals." In: Hägg, R. and N. Marinatos (eds.), *Function of the Minoan Palaces: Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium at the Swedish Institute in Athens, 10–16 June, 1984*. Stockholm: Swedish Institute in Athens, 321–28.
- Carruesco, Jesús. 2016. "Choral Performance and Geometric Patterns in Epic Poetry and Iconographic Representations." In: Cazzato, V. and A. Lardinois (eds.), *The Look of Lyric: Greek Song and the Visual*. Leiden: Brill: 69–107.
- Chapin, Anne. 1995. *Landscape and Space in Aegean Bronze Age Art*. [Unpublished PhD Dissertation. Department of Classics, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.]
- Chapin, Anne. 2004. "Power, Privilege, and Landscape in Minoan Art." In: Chapin, Anne (ed.), *XAPIΣ: Essays in Honor of Sara A. Immerwahr*. Hesperia Supplements 33. The American School of Classical Studies at Athens, 47–64.
- Chapin, Anne. 2012 "Do clothes make the man (or woman)? Sex, gender, costume, and the Aegean color convention." In: Nosch, M.-L. and R. Laffineur (eds.), *KOSMOS: Jewelry, Adornment and Textiles in the Aegean Bronze Age. Proceedings of the 13th Conference, Copenhagen, 19–22 April 2010*. Aegaeum 33. Leuven: Peeters, 297–304.
- Chapin, Anne. 2014. "Aegean painting in the Bronze Age." In: Pollitt, J. J. (ed.), *The Cambridge History of Painting in the Classical World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1–65.
- Clark, Christina. 2003. "Minos' Touch and Theseus' Glare: Gestures in Bakkhylides 17." *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 101, 129–153.
- Cline, Eric. 1994. *Sailing the Wine-Dark Sea: International Trade and the Late Bronze Age Aegean*. Oxford: Tempus Reparatum.
- CMS. *Corpus of Minoan and Mycenaean Seals*. Institut für Klassische Archäologie, Heidelberg. Open Access: <https://arachne.uni-koeln.de/drupal/?q=en/node/196>.
- Couprie, Dirk L. 2003. "The Discovery of Space: Anaximander's Astronomy." In: Couprie, D. L., R. Hahn and G. Naddaf, *Anaximander in Context: New Studies in the Origins of Greek Philosophy*. State University in New York Press, 167–254.
- Couprie, Dirk L. 2011. *Heaven and Earth in Ancient Greek Cosmoony: From Thales to Heraclides Ponticus*. New York: Springer.
- Davis, Ellen. 1986. "Youth and Age in the Thera Frescoes." *American Journal of Archaeology* 90, 399–406.
- Davis, Ellen. 1987. "The Knossos Miniature Frescoes and the Function of the Central Courts." In: Hägg, R. and N. Marinatos (eds.), *The Function of the Minoan Palaces: Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium at the Swedish Institute in Athens, 10–16 June, 1984*. Stockholm: Swedish Institute in Athens 4/35, 157–61.
- Dodd, David B. „Athenian Ideas about Cretan Pederasty." In: Hubbard, T. K. (ed.), *Greek Love Reconsidered*. New York: 2000, 33–41.
- Dodd, David B. and Christopher Faraone (eds.). *Initiation in Ancient Greek Rituals and Narratives: New Critical Perspectives*. London: Routledge. 2003.
- Douglas, Mary. 2007. *Thinking in Circles: An Essay on Ring Composition*. New Haven, London: Yale University Press.

- Doumas, Christos. 1992. *The Wall-Paintings of Thera*. Trans. By Alex Doumas. Athens: The Thera Foundation, Petros M. Nomikos.
- Drozdek, Adam. 2007. *Greek Philosophers as Theologians: The Divine Arche*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Egan, Emily C. and Hariclia Brecoulaki. 2015. "Marine Iconography at the Palace of Nestor and the Emblematic Use of the Argonaut." In: Brecoulaki, H., J. L. Davis and S. R. Stocker (eds.), *Mycenaean Wall Painting in Context: New Discoveries, Old Finds Reconsidered*. National Hellenic Research Foundation, Institute of Historical Research, 292 – 315.
- Eller, Cynthia. 2012. "Two knights and a Goddess: Sir Arthur Evans, Sir James George Frazer, and the Invention of Minoan Religion." *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 25.1, 75–98.
- Edwards, Mark. 1991. *The Iliad. A Commentary. Volume V: books 17-20*. General editor G. S. Kirk. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Evans, Arthur. 1895. *Cretan Pictographs and Prae-Phoenician Script*. New York: Putman.
- Evans, Arthur. 1901. „The Mycenaean Tree and Pilar Cult and Its Mediterranean Relations.“ *The Journal of Hellenic Studies* 21, 99–204.
- Evans, Arthur. 1921–1935. *Palace of Minos at Knossos I–IV*. London: Macmillan.
- Everett, Daniel. 2005. „Cultural Constraints on Grammar and Cognition in Pirahã: Another Look at the Design Features of Human Language.“ *Current Anthropology* 46/4, 621–646.
- Everett, Daniel. 2008. *Don't Sleep, There Are Snakes*. London: Profile Books.
- Ferguson, John. 1971. ΔΙΝΟΣ. *Phronesis* 16/2, 97–115.
- Ferrara, Silvie and Anna M. Jasink. 2017. "To Have and to Hold: Hieroglyphic Seals as Personal Markers and Objects of Display." In: Jasink, A. M., J. Wingarten and S. Ferrara (eds.), *Periploï 9: Non-Scribal Communication Media in the Bronze Age Aegean and Surrounding Areas*. Florence: Firenze University Press.
- Fowler, Christopher. 2004. *The Archaeology of Personhood: An Anthropological Approach*. London: Routledge.
- Frontisi-Ducroux, Françoise. 1989. "In the mirror of the mask." In: Bérard, C. (ed.), *City of Images: Iconography and Society in Ancient Greece*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Galanakis, Yannis. 2014. „Arthur Evans and the Quest for the „Origins of Mycenaean Culture.“ *AΘYPMATA: Critical Essays on the Archaeology of the Eastern Mediterranean in Honour of E. Susan Sherratt*. Oxford: Archaeopress: 85–98.
- Ganz, Timothy. 1996. *Early Greek Myth: A Guide to Literary and Artistic Sources*. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press. [1993]
- German, Senta. 2007. "Dance in Bronze Age Greece." *Dance Research Journal* 39/2, 23–42.
- Gimbutas, Maria. 1999. *The Living Goddesses*. Edited and Supplemented by Miriam Robbins Dexter. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Godart, Louis et Jean Pierre Olivier. 1982 *Recueil des Inscriptions en linéaire A. Volume 4. Autres documents*. Paris: Librairie orientaliste Paul Geurhner.
- Godart, Louis. 1995. *The Phaistos Disc: The Enigma of an Aegean Script*. Transl. Alexandra Doumas. Editions Itanos.
- Goldhill, Simon. 2007. "What Is Ekphrasis For?" *Classical Philology* 102/1, 1–19.
- Gorman, V. B. *Miletos, the Ornament of Ionia: A History of the City to 400 B.C.E.* Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
- Green, Peter. 2015. *The Iliad / Homer: A new Translation by Peter Green*. California: University of California Press.
- Gregory, Andrew D. 2007. *Ancient Greek Cosmogony*. Bristol Classical Press. [Electronic edition 2011.]
- Gregory, Andrew D. 2016. *Anaximander: A Re-assessment*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Grethlein, Jonas. „Ornamental and Formulaic Patterns: The Semantic Significance of Form in Early Greek Vase-Painting and Homeric Epic.“ In: Dietrich, N. and M. Squire, *Ornament and Figure in Graeco-Roman Art: Rethinking Visual Ontologies in Classical Antiquity*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter, 73–96.
- Günkel-Maschek, Ute. 2012. „Spirals, Bulls, and Sacred Landscapes The Meaningful Appearance of Pictorial Objects within their Spatial and Social Contexts.“ In: Panagiotopoulos, D. and U. Günkel-Maschek (eds.), *Minoan Realities*. Aegis 5. Louvain-la-Neuve: Presses universitaires de Louvain, 115–139.
- Hayden Brian. 1998. "An Archaeological Evaluation of the Gimbutas Paradigm." *Pomegranate* 6, 35– 46.

- Handelman, Don. 2006. "Framing." In: Kreinath, J. et al. (eds.), *Theorizing Rituals*. Leiden: Brill, 571–582.
- Heiden, Bruce. 2008. *Homer's Cosmic Fabrication: Choice and Design in the Iliad*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hiller, Stephan. 1990. "The miniature frieze in the West House – evidence for Minoan poetry?" In: Hardy, D., C. Doumas, J. Sakellarakis and P. Warren (eds.), *Thera and the Aegean World III, Vol. 1: Archaeology, Proceedings of the Third International Congress, Santorini, Greece, 3–9 September 1989*. London: The Thera Foundation, 229–234.
- Hiller, Stefan. 2005. "The Spiral as a Symbol of Sovereignty and Power." In: A. Dakouri-Hild and S. Sherratt (eds.), *Autochthon, Papers Presented to O. T. P. K. Dickinson on the Occasion of His Retirement: BAR International Series 1432*. Oxford: Archaeopress: 259–70.
- Horowitz, Wayne. 1998. *Mesopotamian Cosmic Geography*. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.
- Iliakis, L. 1978. "Morphological analysis of the Akrotiri wall-paintings of Santorini." In C. Doumas (ed.), *Thera and the Aegean World I. Papers Presented at the Second International Scientific Congress, Santorini, Greece, August 1978*. London: Thera and the Aegean World, 617–628
- Immerwahr, Sara. A. 1990. *Aegean Painting in the Bronze Age*. University Park, Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Janko, Richard. 1994. *The Iliad: A Commentary*. Volume IV: Books 13–16. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kahn, Charles H. 1960. *Anaximander and the Origins of Greek Cosmogony*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Kahn, Charles H. 1979. *The Art and Thought of Heraclitus: An Edition of the Fragments with Translation and Commentary*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kemp, Wolfgang. 2003. "Narrative." In: Nelson, R. S. and R. Shiff (eds.), *Critical Terms for Art History: Second Edition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 62–74.
- Kočandrle, Radim and Dirk L. Couprie. 2017. *Apeiron: Anaximander on Generation and Destruction*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Koehl, Robert, B. 1986. "The Chieftain Cup and a Minoan rite of passage." *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 106: 99–110.
- Koopman, Niels. 2018. *Ancient Greek Ekphrasis: Between Description and Narration: Five Linguistic and Narratological Case Studies*. Leiden: Brill.
- Kotsonas, Antonis. 2019. "Early Iron Age Knossos and the Development of the City of the Historical Period." *Proceedings of the 12th International Cretological Conference*. Herakleio: Society of Cretan Historical Studies: [1–13].
- Kratochvíl, Zdeněk. 2010. *Mezi mořem a nebem: Odkaz íónské archaické vnímavosti*. Červený Kostelec: Pavel Mervart.
- KRS. Kirk, G. S., Raven, J. E. and M. Schofield. 2003. *The Presocratic Philosophers: A Critical History with a Selection of Texts*, 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [1957].
- Laffineur, Robert. 2007. "Homeric Similes: A Bronze Age Background?" In: Morris, S. P. and R. Laffineur (eds.), *EPOS. Reconsidering Greek Epic and Aegean Bronze Age Archaeology*. Aegaeum 28. Austin: University of Texas, 79–85.
- Laks, André and Most, Glenn W. 2016. *Early Greek Philosophy, Volume II, Beginnings and the Early Ionian Thinkers, Part 1*. Loeb Classical Library 525. Cambridge, Massachusetts; London: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, Bruno. 1986. "Visualization and Cognition: Thinking with Eyes and Hands." In: Kuklick, H. and E. Long (eds.), *Knowledge and Society: Studies in the Sociology of Culture Past and Present*. Vol. 6. Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press, 1–40.
- Latour, Bruno. 2018. *Down to Earth: Politics in the New Climatic Regime*. Transl. by Catherine Porter. Cambridge: Polity Press (First published in French as *Où atterrir? Comment s'orienter en politique*. 2017.)
- Lebessi, Angeliki. 2009. "The Erotic Goddess of the Syme Sanctuary, Crete." *American Journal of Archaeology*, 113/4: 521–545.
- Lonsdale, Steven H. 1995. "A Dancing Floor for Ariadne (*Iliad* 18.590–592): Aspects of Ritual Movement in Homer and Minoan Religion." In: Carter, J. B. and Morris, Sarah P. (eds.), *The Ages of Homer: A Tribute to Emily Townsend Vermeule*. Austin: University of Texas Press, 273–284.
- Lorimer, H. L. 1929. "Homer's Use of the Past." *The Journal of Hellenic Studies* 49/2, 145–159.
- Mackay, E. Anne. 1993. "The Oral Shaping of Culture." *Scholia* 2: 97–116.
- MacGillivray, Alexander J. 2000. *Minotaur: Sir Arthur Evans and the Archaeology of the Minoan Myth*. New York: Hill and Wang Pub.
- Marcovitch, Miroslav. 2001. *Heraclitus: Greek Text with a Short Commentary*. Edited by: Barnes, J., Ferber, R. and Rossetti, L. St. Augustine: Academia Verlag [1967].

- Marinatos, Nanno. 1984. *Art and Religion in Thera: Reconstruction a Bronze Age Society*. Athens.
- Marinatos, Nanno. 1987. "Public festivals in the West Courts of the Palaces." In: Hägg, R. and N. Marinatos (eds), *The Function of the Minoan Palaces: Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium at the Swedish Institute in Athens, 10–16 June, 1984*. Stockholm: Swedish Institute in Athens, 4/35, 135–143
- Marinatos, Nanno. 1989. "The Minoan Harem: The Role of Eminent Women and the Knossos Frescoes." *Dialogues d'histoire ancienne* 15/2, 33–62.
- Marinatos, Nanno. 1993. *Minoan Religion: Ritual, Image and Symbol*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press.
- Marinatos, Nanno. 2003. "Hermes and Aphrodite as Gods of Initiation" In: Dodd, D. and Ch. Faraone (eds.), *Initiation in Ancient Greek Rituals and Narratives: New Critical Perspectives*. London: Routledge.
- Marinatos, Nanno. 2014. *Sir Arthur Evans and Minoan Crete: Creating the Vision of Knossos*. London: I. B. Tauris.
- Morgan, Lyvia. 1988 *The Miniature Wall Paintings of Thera: A Study in Aegean Culture and Iconography*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Morgan, Lyvia. 1990. "Island iconography: Thera, Kea, Milos." In: Hardy, D., C. Doulas, J. Sakellarakis and P. Warren (eds.), *Thera and the Aegean World III. Vol. 1: Archaeology. Proceedings of the Third International Congress, Santorini, Greece, 3–9 September 1989*. London: The Thera Foundation, 252–66.
- Morgan, Lyvia. 1995. "Frontal Face and the Symbolism of Death in Aegean Glyptic." *Sceaux Minoens et Mycéniens: IVe symposium international 10–12 Septembre 1992, Clermond-Ferrand*. CMS Suppl. 5. Berlin: Gebr. Mann.
- Morgan, Lyvia. 2007. "Paintings, Harbors, and Intercultural Relations." In: Betancourt, P. et al. (eds.). *Krinoi kai Limenes: Studies in Honor of Joseph and Maria Shaw*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: INSTAP Academic Press.
- Morgan, Lyvia. 2020. *Keos XI: Wall Paintings and Social Context: The Northeast Bastion at Ayia Irini*. Philadelphia: INSTAP Academic Press.
- Morris, Sarah P. 1989. "A Tale of Two Cities: The Miniature Frescoes from Thera and the Origins of Greek Poetry." *American Journal of Archaeology* 93, 511–35.
- Morris, Sarah P. 1992. *Daidalos and the Origins of Greek Art*. Oxford: Princetown University Press.
- Morris, Sarah P. 2000. "From Thera to Scheria: Aegean Art and Narrative." In: Sherratt, S. (ed.), *The Wall Paintings of Thera: The Proceedings of the First International Symposium*, Vol. 1. Athens: Petros M. Nomikos and the Thera Foundation, 317–333.
- Nagy, Gregory. 1996. *Poetry as Performance: Homer and Beyond*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nagy, Gregory. 1997. "Ellipsis in Homer". In: Bakker, E. and A. Kahane. *Written Voices, Spoken Signs: Tradition, Performance and the Epic Text*. Cambridge, London: Harvard University Press, 167–189.
- Nagy, Gregory. 2003. *Homeric Responses*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Neer, Richard. "Ornament, Incipience and Narrative: Geometric to Classical." In: Dietrich, N. and M. Squire, *Ornament and Figure in Graeco-Roman Art: Rethinking Visual Ontologies in Classical Antiquity*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter, 203–240.
- Newman, Alana. N. 2017. "Queering the Minoans: Gender Performativity and the Aegean Color Convention in Fresco Painting at Knossos." *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 30/2 213–236.
- Panagiotopoulos, Diamantis. 2012. "Aegean Imagery and the Syntax of Viewing." In: Panagiotopoulos, D. and U. Günkel-Maschek (eds.), *Minoan Realities*. Aegis 5. Louvain-la-Neuve: Presses universitaires de Louvain, 63–82.
- Papaodysseus, C. et al. 2008. „Geometric Templates Used in the Akrotiri (Thera) Wall-Paintings." *Antiquity*, 82.316, 401–8.
- Perlman, Paula J. 2005. "Imagining Crete". In: Hasens, M. H. (ed.), *Imaginary Polis: Symposium January 7-10 2004*. Copenhagen: The Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters, 282–334.
- Perlman, Paula. 1992. J. "One Hundred-Citied Crete and the 'Cretan ΠΟΛΙΤΕΙΑ'". *Classical Philology* 87/3: 193–205.
- Pfälzner, Peter. 2013. "The Qatna Wall Paintings and the Formation of Aegeo-Syrian Art." In: Aruz, J. et al. (eds.), *Cultures in Contact: From Mesopotamia to the Mediterranean in the Second Millenium B.C*. New York: The Metropolitan Museum of Art, 200–213.
- Prent, Mieke. 2005. *Cretan Sanctuaries and Cult. Continuity and Change from LM IIIC to the Archaic Period*. Leiden: Brill.
- Prent, Mieke. 2014. "Ritual and Ideology in Early Iron Age Crete: The Role of the Past and the East." *The Cambridge Prehistory of the Bronze and Iron Age Mediterranean*. In: Knapp, A. B. and P. van Dommelen (eds.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Sali, Tessy. 2000. "The Miniature Frieze of Thera: The Transubstantiation of Religious Beliefs in Architectural Design." In: Sherratt, S. (ed.), *The Wall Paintings of Thera: The Proceedings of the First International Symposium*, Vol. 1. Athens: Petros M. Nomikos and the Thera Foundation, 437–52.
- Shaw, Maria C. and Chapin, Anne P. (et al.). 2016. *Woven Threads: Patterned Textiles of the Aegean Bronze Age*. Oxford: Oxbow Books.
- Sherratt, Susan and Bennet, John (eds.). 2017. *Archaeology and Homeric Epics*. Oxford: Oxbow Books.
- Schoep, Ilse. 2010. "The Minoan 'Palace-Temple' Reconsidered: A Critical Assessment of the Spatial Concentration of Political, Religious and Economic Power in Bronze Age Crete." *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 23.3., 219–243.
- Schoep, Ilse. 2017. "The Role of Non-Written Communication in Minoan Administrative Practices." In: Jasink, A. M., J. Weingarten and S. Ferrara (eds.), *Periploi 9: Non-Scribal Communication Media in the Bronze Age Aegean and Surrounding Areas*, 81–97. Florence: Firenze University Press.
- Shoep, Ilse. 2018. "Building the Labyrinth: Arthur Evans and the Construction of Minoan Civilisation." *American Journal of Archaeology* Vol. 122/1, 5-32.
- Snodgrass, Anthony M. 1971. *The Dark Age of Greece: An Archaeological Survey of the 11th to the 8th Centuries BC*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Snodgrass, Anthony M. 1998. *Homer and the Artists: Text and Picture in Early Greek Art*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Snodgrass, Anthony M. 2017. "Homer, the Moving Target." In: Sherratt, S. and Bennet, J. (eds.), *Archaeology and Homeric Epics*. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 1–9.
- Squire, Michael. 2013. "Ekphrasis at the Forge and the Forging of Ekphrasis: The 'shield of Achilles' in Graeco-Roman Word and Image." *Word & Image* 29/2, 157–191.
- Squire, Michael. 2018. "'To Haunt, to Startle, and Way-Lay': Approaching Ornament and Figure in Graeco-Roman Art." In: Dietrich, N. and M. Squire, *Ornament and Figure in Graeco-Roman Art: Rethinking Visual Ontologies in Classical Antiquity*. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter, 1–36.
- Stanley, Keith. 1993. *The Shield of Homer. Narrative Structure in the Iliad*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Stansbury-O'Donnell, Mark D. 1995. "Reading Pictorial Narrative: The Law Court Scene of the Shield of Achilles." In: Carter, Jane B. and Sarah P. Morris (eds.), *The Ages of Homer: A Tribute to Emily Townsend Vermeule*. Austin: University of Texas Press, 315–334.
- Stockdale, Elisabeth. A. 2020. "Shield of Achilles." In: Pache, C. O. et al. (eds.), *The Cambridge Guide to Homer*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 384–386.
- Strasser, Thomas F. 2010. "Location and Perspective in the Theran Flotilla Fresco." *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 23/1, 3–26.
- Strasser, Thomas F. and Chapin, Anne. 2014. "Geological Formations in the Flotilla Fresco from Akrotiri." In: Touchais, G., R. Laffineur and F. Rougemont (eds.), *PHYSIS: L'environnement naturel et la relation homme-milieu dans le monde Égéen protohistorique*. Aegaeum 37. Leuven: Peeters, 57–64.
- Televantou, Christina A. 1992. "Theran Wall-Paintings: Artistic Tendencies and Painters." In: Laffineur, R. and J. L. Crowley (eds.), *EIKON: Aegean Bronze Age Iconography: Shaping a Methodology*. Aegaeum 8. Liège: Université de Liège, 145–59.
- Thein, Karel. [2022], forthcoming. *Ecphrastic Shields in Graeco-Roman Literature: The World's Forge*. London: Routledge.
- Tsakalidou, Panagiota et al. 2017. "Advanced Geometric Guides were Used for the Drawing of Celebrated Late Bronze Age Wall Paintings." In: Kouli, M., F. Zezza and D. Kouli (eds.), *10th International Symposium on the Conservation of Monuments in the Mediterranean Basin*. Cham: Springer: 57–589.
- Van Gennep, Arnold. 1960. *The Rites of Passage*. Transl. by M. B. Vizedom, G. L. Caffee. Chicago: University of Chicago Press [Orig. publ. as *Les rites de passage: Etude systématique des rites*, 1909].
- Vernant, Jean-Pierre. 2006. *Myth and Thought among the Greeks*. New York: Zone Books. [Orig. published as *Mythe et pensée chez les grecs*, 1965; the English translation 1983.]
- Vidal-Naquet, Pierre. 1986. *The Black Hunter: Forms of Thought and Forms of Society in the Greek World*. Transl. By A. Szegedy-Maszak. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University. [Orig. published as *Le chasseur noir*, 1965; the English translation 1983.]
- Vlachopoulos, Andreas. 2007. "Motives of Early Greek Poetry in the Wall Paintings of Xeste 3." In: Morris, S. and R. Laffineur (eds.), *EPOS: Reconsidering Greek Epic and Aegean Bronze Age Archaeology*. Aegaeum 28. Austin: University of Texas, 107–118.

- Vlachopoulos, Andreas. 2008. "The Wall Paintings from the Xeste 3 Building at Akrotiri: Towards an Interpretation of the Iconographic Programme." In: Brodie, N. et al. (eds), *Ορίζων / Horizon: A Colloquium on the Prehistory of the Cyclades*. Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 451–465.
- Walberg, G. 1986. *Tradition and Innovation: Essays in Minoan Art*. Mainz: Philipp von Zabern.
- Weingarten, Judith. 1999. "War Scenes and Ruler Iconography in a Golden Age: Some Lessons on Missing Minoan Themes from the United Provinces (17th c A.D.)." In: Laffineur, R. (ed.) *POLEMOS: Le contexte guerrier en Égée à l'âge du Bronze*. Aegaeum 19. Liège: Histoire de l'art et archéologie de la Grèce antique; Austin, Program in Aegean Scripts and Prehistory, 347–357.
- West, Martin. 1994. "Ab ovo: Orpheus, Sanchuniathon, and the Origins of the Ionian World Model." *Classical Quarterly* 44/2, 289–307.
- West, Martin. 1997. *The East Face of Helicon: West Asiatic Elements in Greek Poetry and Myth*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- West, Martin L. 2007. *Indo-European Poetry and Myth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Westerman, Mariët. 2011. "Svetlana Alpers's 'The Art of Describing: Dutch Art in the Seventeenth Century', 1983." *The Burlington Magazine*, 153/1301, 532–36.
- Whitman, Cedric H. 1965. *Homer and the Heroic Tradition*. New York: W. W. Norton and Company.
- Willcock, M. M. 1976. *A Companion to the Iliad: Based on the Translation of R. Lattimore*. Chicago, London: University of Chicago Press.
- Wilson, Emily. 2017. *Homer: The Odyssey*. New York: W. W. Norton and Company.
- Yoshida, Atsuhiko. 1964. "La structure de l'illustration du bouclier d'Achille." *Revue Belge de philologie et d'histoire* 64, 5-15.
- Younger, John G. 2020. "Narrative in Aegean Art: A Methodology of Identification and Interpretation," In: Blakolmer, F. (ed.), *Current Approaches and New Perspectives in Aegean Iconography*. Aegis 18. Louvain-la-Neuve: Presses universitaires de Louvain, 71–86.