

My PhD. thesis Europe, the Third World and postcolonialism attempts to examine and sum up current European debatě on immigrants from the Third World and set up a a frame for it's future charakter. The topič is being delt with not only from both political and economical perspective but also as an issue of academie discussion. The thesis emphasises academie discussion as an important part of European immigration policy. While the issue of immigration in a day to day perspective means open borders with clearly defined conditions for those willing to enter, in academie view the debatě is rarely free from discourse of postcolonialism with it's tendency towards victimization. Immigrants are victimized by the academics while demonized by politicians and others. However, the question of guilt seems to be crucial for academie discussion and a way one perceives power relation between Europe and it's prior colonies.

This PhD. thesis tries to avoid mistakes of both sides, politicians and academics, tries to avoid their victimization and self guilt as well as demonization of immigrants. There are two traeks the chapters follow. From obvious to hidden and from Europeans to immigrants. The first one inroduces brief history of European immigration with attention to its particular steps and concludes reflecting academie stereotypes and unspoken assumptions. The second track focuses more on immigrants'condition they live in and in the second half of the text deals also with the problém of European muslims. European identity, a topič tightly intertwined, thus turns out to get even more difficult. Nobody can foresee the future. But in this text we try to prove, that the way EU will look like in the long term perspective is being shaped now by our very attitude to immigration. The text offers a polemic approach both in polical and academie terms, attempting to avoid cliches and suggest appropriate steps for the future.