REFERENCE OF BACHELOR THESIS OPPONENT

Study branch: PHYSIOTHERAPY

Opponent's name: Mgr.llona Kučerová

Author's name: Salman Abedi

Mgr.Kateřina Maršáková

Title of diploma thesis:

Supervisor's name:

Case study of a patient with hemiparesis on the left side of the body

Study program: SPECIALIZATION IN HEALTH SERVICE - Bachalor degree

The aim of the diploma thesis:

The goal of theoretical part is to know what the stroke is and how to treat it. The special part deals with the patient and the rehabilitation after the ischemic stroke.

1. Scope:

number of pages of the thesis / text	68/64			
number of used sources	26			
number of used sources - Czech / foreign language	monographs	journals		others
	12			14/0
others	tables	fig./photos	graphs	supplements
	19	3	0	3

2. Formal and language level of thesis:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory
degree of fulfillment of the goal of the thesis			х	
work with literature, use of citation standard		х		
work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables)		х		
stylistic level of the text			х	

	degree of evaluation				
3. Criteria for evaluating the special part of the work:	excellent	very good	good	unsatisfactory	
quality of the content and processing the theoretical part		Х			
logical structure of work and balance of chapters		Х			
chosen examination techniques, design and their recording		Х			
adequacy, quality of therapeutic intervention and its recording		Х			
ability to evaluate the intervention and interpretation of the results			Х		
level of work evaluation in relation to current knowledge		х			

4. Usefulness of the results of the work in practice:

above average	average	below average
---------------	---------	---------------

5. Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for defense:

Students thesis contains couple mistakes in special part. There are some information in objective examination which schould not be in objective examination. Those information schould be in subjective information. On page n.27 in table n.3 on the Right side is hyporeflex??? On page number 32 in table 13 is Hautant and Defoure as a polysynaptic reflexes. Why? there is absolutely absent of Discution at the end. questions: 1. why do you thing that patient has a hyporeflex which you mentioned above in table n.3? 2. descibe Defoure exams and explane why do we examinate this sign?

6. Statement of the supervisor:

I declare that after studying the whole work I found that in the work the referenced sources are properly cited or paraphrased.

7. Recommendation for defense:		yes			
8. Proposed classification level:	very good - good according defense				
In Prague on: 15.6.2021		sup	ervisor's signat	ure	