

Dissertation Review

Name of the student:	Anastasiia Cherkashchenko
Title of the thesis:	To emphasise or not to emphasise? Green parties'
Reviewer:	Javier Astudillo Ruiz

1. KNOWLEDGE AND CONNECTION TO THE FIELD

(relevance of the research question, research objective, literature review):

The student knows very well the state of the art.		

2. ANALYSIS

(methodology, argument, theoretical backing, appropriate work with sources):

Her empirical analysis was in general very well undertaken. It is an example of how to test empirically some theoretical hypotheses.

3. CONCLUSIONS

(persuasiveness, link between data and conclusions, achievement of research objectives):

The conclusions are correct according to her initial hypotheses.	

4. FORMAL ASPECTS AND LANGUAGE

(appropriate language, adherence to academic standards, citation style, layout):

In formal aspects, the work is very well done.		

5. SUMMARY ASSESSMENT

(strong and weak point of the dissertation, other issues)

As a minor problem I wonder if there is a problem a multicollinearity between GDP and unemployment when they are put together in the same model (see table 2, pag. 21), and if this may have affected the statistical significance of the interaction between GDP and seat share.

Grade (A-F): A	
Date:	Signature:
4-7-20	Joir Arlideller