REFERENCE OF BACHELOR THESIS OPPONENT

| Study program: SPECIALIZATION IN HEALTH SERVICE - Master degree Study branch: PHYSIOTHERAPY | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| Opponent's name: | Mgr. Petra Sle | Mgr. Petra Slezáková | | | |
| Author's name: | Huda Alhomoo | Huda Alhomod | | | |
| Supervisor's name: | Mgr. Helena V | Mgr. Helena Vomáčková | | | |
| Title of diploma thesis: | | | | | |
| Case study of a patient after ACL reconstuction | | | | | |
| The aim of the diploma thesis: | | | | | |
| Processing of theoretical and practical experience in the patient after ACL reco | onstruction | | | | |
| 1. Scope: | | | | | |
| number of pages of the thesis / text | | 85/72 | | | |
| number of used sources | | 31 | | | |
| number of used sources - Czech / foreign language | monographs | jour | | others | |
| | 0/5 tables | | 24 graphs | 0/2 | |
| others | 31 | fig./photos | graphs 0 | supplements 2 | |
| 2. Formal and language level of thesis: | excellent | very good | good | unsatisfactory | |
| degree of fulfillment of the goal of the thesis | X | very good | good | unsucisfactory | |
| work with literature, use of citation standard | , | Х | | | |
| work editing (text, graphs, pictures, tables) | Х | | | | |
| stylistic level of the text | | Х | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | evaluation | | |
| 3. Criteria for evaluating the special part of the work: | excellent | very good | good | unsatisfactory | |
| quality of the content and processing the theoretical part | X | | | | |
| logical structure of work and balance of chapters | Х | | | | |
| | | | | • | |
| chosen examination techniques, design and their recording | X | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| adequacy, quality of therapeutic intervention and its recording | X | | | | |
| | | V | | | |
| ability to evaluate the intervention and interpretation of the results | | Х | | | |
| level of work evaluation in relation to current knowledge | | X | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. Usefulness of the results of the work in practice: | | above average | average | below average | |
| | | | a reluge | 20.011 average | |
| Additional commentary and evaluation, questions for defense: What are the advantages of each type of operation? 50% of the mentione length of thigh and length of middle leg is not anatomical length. Anatomical lexplain SFTR notation of knee movement Pelvis is depres - subgluteal line in the comment of the comment | ength change to inci | | | | |

6. Statement of the supervisor:

| 7. Recommendation for defense: | yes | yes with reservations | no | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 8. Proposed classification level: | | ? | | |
| In December 2012 6 2020 | | Man Datus Class | .41. a4 | |
| In Prague on:18.6.2020 | Mgr. Petra Slezáková opponent's signature | | | |