Abstract

This bachelor thesis deals with the topic of the framing of pension policy between the years 2013-2017 on the platform of House of Commons. The goal of the research is to identify competing frames and their sponsors, their evolution in the analyzed period and the possible impact the framing had on the final form of the policies. That is achieved using the analysis of the stenographic records and voting of the House of Commons based on the Rein and Shön method through which the frames are reconstructed. In the theoretical chapter there are presented the two fundamental pension models by Bismarck and Beveridge, as well as the pillar system designed by the World Bank. The outcome of the analysis is the identification of the two competing discourses and the schemes of framing of the topics discussed throughout the analyzed period cathegorized based on the scheme inspired by the works of Morávek. The analysis documents the evolution of frames in time and their linkage to the previous election period.