Corrections

Page 15:

In Scheme 22: R = alkyl, aryl, X, CN, CF_3, etc.

Page 31:

In text: “using 5 mol% copper(I) 3-methylsalicylate (CuMeSal)”

Page 32:

In Table 3: **68bc**, 63% (A)

Page 33:

In Table 4: **68aa**, 60% (93:7) and **68aj**, 24% (92:8)

Page 40:

Two entries should be deleted and one entry should be moved down. Therefore, the correct entry numbering of Table 5 is the following:

Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Time	Yield of **71a** (%)[b]
1[c]	none	18 h	0
2	L-proline (20)	24 h	21
3	morpholine (20)	24 h	74
4	piperidine (20)	30 min	81
5	Et_2NH (20)	3 h	73
6	Et_3N (20)	24 h	78
7	DBU (20)	10 min	89
8	KO^tBu (20)	30 min	62
9	pyrrolidine (20)	18 h	93
10	pyrrolidine (10)	18 h	**95** (**78**)
11	pyrrolidine (5)	18 h	84

Pages 65-67:

Yields in g (or mg) and concentration of the azide solution should be additionally given to the yields in percentage.

Azidotrifluoromethane (**56a**)

Yield: 1.55–1.78 g (0.35–0.40 M in THF, 70–80%, determined by ^{19}F NMR)

Azidopentafluoroethane (**56b**)

Yield: 1.91 g (0.24 M in THF, 83%, determined by ^{19}F NMR)

Azidoperfluoropropane (**56c**)

Yield: 145–154 mg (0.34–0.36 M in THF, 49–52%, determined by ^{19}F NMR)

Azidoperfluorooctane (**56d**)

Yield: 323–387 mg (0.35–0.42 M, 50–60%, determined by ^{19}F NMR)

Page 70:

In Method B, the amounts of starting materials should be halved. Therefore, the correct values:

Copper(I) 3-methylsalicylate (1.1–5.4 mg, 0.005–0.025 mmol), solution of **56** in THF (ca. 0.6 mmol, 3–4 mL), alkyne (0.5 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL).

Page 76:

Compound **68cb**: $\delta = 139.6$ is a surplus in ^{13}C NMR

Pages 78-80:

Due to calculation error, some yields were incorrectly reported. The correct yields are the following:

Compound **68ag**: 141 mg (50%) (TsN_3 being the limiting reagent, 1.0 mmol);

Compound **68am**: 187 mg (81%) (TsN_3 being the limiting reagent, 1.0 mmol);

Compound **68bp**: 149 mg (60%);

Compound **68bq**: 99 mg (78%).