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#### Abstract

Various ways of preparing enantiomerically pure 2-amino[6]helicene derivatives were explored. $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ mediated cyclotrimerization of enantiopure triynes provided ( $M$ )- and $(P)-7,8$-bis(p-tolyl)hexahelicene-2-amine in $>99 \%$ ee as well as its benzoderivative in $>99 \%$ ee. The stereocontrol was found to be inefficient for a 2aminobenzo[6]helicene congener with an embedded five-membered ring. Helically chiral imidazolium salts bearing one or two helicene moieties have been synthesized and applied in enantioselective $[2+2+2]$ cyclotrimerization catalyzed by an in situ formed $\mathrm{Ni}(0)-\mathrm{NHC}$ complex. The synthesis of the first helically chiral Pd- and Ru-NHC complexes and their application in enantioselective catalysis was demonstrated. The latter shows promising results in enantioselective olefin metathesis reactions. A mechanistic proposal for asymmetric ring closing metathesis is provided.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Chirality

## History and Significance

"I call any geometrical figure, or any group of points, chiral, and say that it has chirality, if its image in a plane mirror, ideally realized, cannot be brought to coincide with itself" (W. H. Thompson Lord Kelvin). ${ }^{1}$ With that quote Sir William H. Thompson in 1894 first introduced the word chirality into science. The word chirality is derived from the Greek word kheir which means hand. The first known serious examinations towards the property of handedness were carried out by Immanuel Kant. ${ }^{2}$ While debating about the nature of space he was referring to the two hands of one being and stated that they are not congruent (Figure 1). He called objects of these kind incongruent counterparts. The first discovery of these counterparts on a molecular level was made by Louis Pasteur. In 1848 Pasteur found that the crystals of optically inactive, racemic (derives from Latin racemus, cluster of grapes) sodium ammonium tartrate are not identical. He separated the two non-superimposable, mirror-image crystals and found that their values of optical rotation (J. B. Biot, 1815) ${ }^{3}$ are identical in absolute magnitude but differ in their sign. ${ }^{4}$ The two enantiomers (derives from Greek enántios méros, opposite shape) of the racemic tartrate resolved spontaneously during crystallization. His findings led him to the realization that the molecules he was investigating had to be chiral, although he used the term dissymmetric at that time.


Figure 1: The human hands as chiral objects.
"An economic and efficient turnover requires a homochiral biochemistry, just as efficient engineering depends upon the use of right-handed homochiral screws" (S. Mason). ${ }^{5}$ Chirality is ubiquitous on earth and a prerequisite for the existence of life as we know it. Nucleic acids, the building blocks of DNA and RNA, contain exclusively right handed $(D)$ sugars and natural proteins are all composed out of a pool of 20 left handed ( $L$ ) amino acids. Homochirality is believed to be indispensable for lifesustaining processes. For example, regular secondary structures of proteins such as $\beta$-pleated sheets, which play a key role in the catalytic activity, cannot be formed with equal amounts of amino acid enantiomers. ${ }^{6}$
Enantiomers have the same reactivity towards achiral molecules, the same physical properties (possibly varying in sign) and were believed to be energetically exactly equivalent. Recent calculations contradict this theory and a symmetry violation was found to be the cause of energy discrepancies between enantiomers. ${ }^{7}$
Due to the overabundance of possible explanations for the origin of biomolecular homochirality as well as other asymmetries observed in nature, such as the dominance of matter over antimatter in the observable universe or irreversibility of processes providing a preferred arrow of time, scientists throughout the whole world are still struggling with questions of this kind and only few mechanisms have been experimentally investigated in detail. $7,8,9,10,11$

## Types of Molecular Chirality

There are different types of molecular chirality (Figure 2). The most common one is central chirality. If an atom is connected to four different binding partners ( $\mathrm{R}^{1-4}$ ) in a tetrahedral arrangement, it is considered to be a stereogenic center. As soon as any two of the four neighboring substituents are identical, e.g. $R^{1}=R^{2}$, there is a plane of symmetry and the chirality is lost. In organic chemistry, not only carbon but also silicon, phosphorus, sulfur or nitrogen atoms can become a source of central chirality. ${ }^{12}$

central chirality

planar chirality

axial chirality

helical chirality

Figure 2: Different types of molecular chirality.

Planarly chiral molecules exhibit a chiral plane in which substituents are arranged differently for the two enantiomers. In case of the ferrocene complex shown in Figure 2, the top $C p$ ligand has two different substituents ( $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ ). The chiral plane can be oriented through the iron atom, parallel to the Cp units. The two substituents destroy a plane of symmetry, perpendicular to the chiral plane, which would be present in a symmetrically substituted $\left(R^{1}=R^{2}\right)$ ferrocene. Other molecular classes featuring this mode of chirality are cyclophanes, chiral trans-cycloalkanes and ansa compounds. ${ }^{13}$

The axial chirality of the biaryl shown in Figure 2 is caused by its substituents ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) which hinder free rotation around the biaryl bond, the chiral axis. In this case the enantiomers can be seen as conformers (atropisomers) whose interconversion holds a sufficiently high energy barrier. The steric congestion around the chiral axis must be due to different $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ substituents, otherwise the molecule has a plane of symmetry which makes it achiral. Allenes, alkylidene cycloalkanes, spiranes and cumulenes can possess chiral axis as well. ${ }^{13}$
Helical chirality is a special case of axial chirality. The most prominent example of helical chirality is DNA. Well known exemplars of man-made helically chiral molecules are helicenes. Figure 2 shows hexahelicene in which the chirality is inherent in its molecular framework. This $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric compound (substitution may break this symmetry) winds, due to steric repulsion between the terminal rings, screw-like along the chiral axis. Starting from top ring downwards, the two enantiomers, can either wind clockwise ( $P$-helicity) or counterclockwise ( $M$-helicity).

### 1.2 Helicenes

This chapter is mostly focused on fully aromatic carbohelicenes.

## History and Significance

The history of helicenes dates back to 1903 when Meisenheimer and Witte were investigating the reduction of 2-nitronaphthalene and identified heterohelicene 1 (Figure 3) in a mixture of products. ${ }^{14}$ In 1956 Newman and Lednicer synthesized intentionally the carbohelicene hexahelicene ([6]helicene) and introduced "the systematic name helicene for nuclei of the continuously coiled type". ${ }^{15}$ The newly found type of structure, with its inherently chiral chromophore, spirals up into a helical shape to decrease van der Waals interactions. The resolution of [6]helicene into its enantiomers was done by using the chiral $\pi$-complexing agent TAPA ((-)-(S)- or (+)-$(R)-3)$, providing $(+)-(P)-2$ or $(-)-(M)-2$ with exceptionally high specific rotation ([a]D) values. ${ }^{16}$ As a general trend in the series of carbohelicenes, dextrorotatory (+) isomers possess $(P)$-helicity and levorotatory $(-)$ isomers $(M)$-helicity. ${ }^{17}[6]$ Helicene is the smallest non-substituted helicene which is stable towards racemization at ambient and even elevated temperatures. The numbering was adopted from Newman's suggestion as shown in (+)-(P)-2.


1

$(+)-(P)-2$

$$
[\alpha]_{D}^{24}=+3640^{\circ}
$$

Meisenheimer and Witte 1903

$(-)-(M)-2$

$(-)-(S)-$ or $(+)^{2}-(R)-3$
$[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-3707^{\circ}$
Newman and Lednicer 1956

Figure 3: Early milestones of helicene chemistry.

From the early 1990's, the helicene chemistry has been receiving continuously increasing attention, in particular since 2010. Figure $4^{18}$ illustrates all published records obtained from SciFinder using "helicene" as a search term. Among them there are several comprehensive review articles and book chapters. ${ }^{19}$


Figure 4: Historical overview of all publications about helicenes till 2017.

Figure 5 shows two record holders in helicene chemistry. The structural complexity of compounds rac-4 and $(-)-(M, R, R)-5$ is representative of the dramatic progress the field has experienced during the last decades. Compound rac-4 is the longest known fully aromatic carbohelicene. Mori, Murase and Fujita employed a sextuple photodehydrocyclization constructing six benzene rings in one step, with $7 \%$ yield of the key step in the formation of the helical scaffold. ${ }^{20}$ Although rac-4 is almost insoluble in any common organic solvent, they could prove the structure by MALDITOF mass spectrometry and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The synthesis of diastereomerically and enantiomerically pure oxa[19]helicene (-)-(M,R,R)-5 was published by Starý and Stará et al. In the key step, a diastereoselective quadruple $[2+2+2]$ cycloisomerization, 12 new rings were formed in the flow reactor. ${ }^{21}$ Molecule $(-)-(M, R, R)-5$ with the longest known helical backbone was found to be soluble in common organic solvents and fully characterized.

rac-4

$(-)-(M, R, R)-5$

Figure 5: Two record holders in helicene chemistry.

## Stereoselective Synthesis of Helicenes

Due to the demanding synthesis of tetranitro compound 3 (Figure 3), which is commercially available but expensive, alternative routes towards enantiomerically pure helicenes were established during the years. Although HPLC separations on chiral stationary phases have become common practice for resolution of racemic mixtures of helical compounds, there is still an interest in their asymmetric synthesis. The availability of general synthetic routes towards enantiomerically pure helicenes is desirable for future applications requiring large amounts of material.

The approach shown in Scheme 1 is based on cyclization of an optically pure precursor. Gingras et al. reported the intramolecular benzylic coupling of axially chiral biaryl (-)-6. ${ }^{22}$ Compound (-)-6 was available on a gram scale after resolution of its diol precursor. ${ }^{23}$ A mechanistic study proposed an electrocyclic rearrangement of intermediate 8 after elimination of HBr , followed by an additional elimination of HBr to aromatize the system and yield [7]helicene (-)-(M)-7. The helicity of $(-)-(M)-7$ could be predicted from the chirality of the precursor (-)-6.


Scheme 1: Intramolecular cyclization of optically pure biaryl (-)-6 to [7]helicene (-)-(M)-7.

A photochemical approach towards optically active helicenes was demonstrated by Kagan et al. in 1971 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{24}$ Right or left circularly polarized light (r-CLP or ICLP) from the UV region was employed in the photodehydrocyclization ${ }^{25}$ of $Z$ - alkene 9 into dihydro[6]helicene 10, which was then aromatized by oxygen in the presence of catalytic amount of iodine to [6]helicene 2. Although their optical yields (quotient of $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ of the synthesized product and $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ of the optically pure product x 100 ) were just around $0.2 \%$, the observed optical rotations were nevertheless experimentally significant. This small amount of enantiomeric excess could only be observed due to the exceptionally high optical rotation of [6]helicene 2.


Scheme 2: Photochemical synthesis of [6]helicene with CPL.

A catalytic methodology was developed by Starý and Stará (Scheme 3). Employing axially chiral phosphine (R)-QUINAP ((R)-13), they reported the enantioselective $[2+2+2]$ cycloisomerization of triyne 11 to dibenzo[6]helicene $(+)-(P)-14$ in $80 \%$ ee. ${ }^{26}$ After single crystallization the enantiopurity was increased to $95 \%$ ee. Later they extended their synthetic scope, obtaining amino-substituted dibenzo[6]helicene (+)-$(P)-15$ in $67 \%$ ee. ${ }^{27}$ Recently, in situ generated helically chiral $N$-heterocyclic carbenes as ligands for $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalyst were investigated as well. ${ }^{28}$


11, $R=H$
12, $R=N(B o c)_{2}$

(R)-13
$\mathrm{Ni}(\text { cod })_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
90\%

(+)-(P)-14, R = H, 80\% ee
$(+)-(P)-15, R=N(B o c){ }_{2}, 67 \%$ ee

Scheme 3: Enantioselective [2+2+2] cycloisomerization of triynes.

Alcarazo et al. reported on asymmetric synthesis of substituted [6]helicenes with high enantioselectivities (Scheme 4). ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Au}$ catalysts with TADDOL based phosphonite ligands (e.g. $(R, R)-17$ ) bearing a cationic imidazolium unit were found to promote sequential intramolecular hydroarylation of diynes such as compound 16. Due to the cationic nature of the catalysts, they exhibit strong $\pi$-acceptor properties which enhance the activity towards m-acid catalysis. The aromatic substituents of the TADDOL and the imidazolium moiety create a chiral cavity around the ligating phosphonite. Complex $(R, R)$-17 was the most potent example from a series giving 11 examples of [6]helicene derivatives $((+)-(P)-18)$ in good to quantitative yields reaching up to $99 \%$ ee.


Scheme 4: Au-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of substituted [6]helicenes.

A diastereoselective approach towards homochiral helical compounds was developed by Starý and Stará (Scheme 5). ${ }^{30}$ Triyne ( $R, R$ )-19 underwent Cocatalyzed $[2+2+2]$ cycloisomerization to form oxa[5]helicene $(-)-(M, R, R)-20$ with uniform helicity. The syntheses of the corresponding oxa[6] and oxa[7]helicenes were also feasible. The stereochemistry of this reaction is controlled by a 1,3-allylic type strain (depicted in red) between the methyl and the tolyl groups. The methyl groups can either be oriented pseudoequatorially in a molecule having $(P)$ helicity ((+)( $P, R, R$ )-20, isomer with higher energy) or pseudoaxially in a molecule with (M) helicity $((-)-(M, R, R)-\mathbf{2 0}$, isomer with lower energy). If the energy difference between two stereolabile diastereomers is significant ( $>2.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), in thermodynamic equilibrium the ratio between the two possible isomers will be $>99:<1$. In this scenario, any stereochemical outcome of the actual cyclization reaction presented in Scheme 5 is overwritten by the post-cyclization equilibration process provided that the temperature and time are sufficient to overcome the interconversion barrier of the transition state (TS, i.e. $11.9 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from (+)-(P,R,R)-20 to (-)-(M,R,R)-20). Oxahelicene (-)-(M,R,R)-20 was obtained diastereomerically pure after 20 min at 180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a microwave reactor.



Scheme 5: Diastereoselective synthesis of oxahelicenes.

Later, Starý and Stará published an extension of this concept towards fully aromatic carbohelicenes using traceless chiral auxiliary. ${ }^{31}$ Scheme 6 illustrates the key steps of the synthesis of enantiomerically pure [6]helicene $(+)-(P)-23$. The central chirality of triyne ( $R S, R$ )-21 induces helical chirality into tetrahydrohelicene (+)-( $P, R S, R$ )-22. Relative energies of the four isomers of tetrahydrohelicene 24, a simplified model of $\mathbf{2 2}$, demonstrate that one chiral center is enough to fully control its helicity. Again, the important stereocenter is the one providing the 1,3-allylic type strain with the tolyl group (position $\mathrm{C}^{9}$, red), while the effect of the other stereocenter (positions $\mathrm{C}^{5}$, blue) is negligible due to the small 1,3-allylic type strain between the H and the OH group (blue), so this can be racemic. After the acid-catalyzed removal of the OMOM groups, fully aromatic $(+)-(P)-23$ can be obtained with uniform helicity.


Scheme 6: Diastereoselective synthesis of carbohelicenes.

## Application of Helicenes

Due to their outstanding physicochemical and optical properties helicenes are applied in many different fields ${ }^{32}$ such as polymers, ${ }^{33,34}$ molecular machines, ${ }^{35}$ dye
materials, ${ }^{36}$ molecular recognition, ${ }^{37,38}$ Langmuir Blodgett films, ${ }^{39,40}$ organic electronics ${ }^{41},{ }^{42}$ and liquid crystals ${ }^{43}$.
After the development of scalable synthetic protocols, helicene chemistry has found increasing application in a field predestined for inherently chiral molecules, asymmetric catalysis. Figure 6 shows selected examples of fully aromatic, helically chiral ligands or complexes. The most common way how to introduce the helicene scaffold into a catalyst is via a phosphine ligating group. The first example of a helicene based asymmetric catalytic system was reported only in 1997. Reetz et al. published helically chiral diphosphine $(+)-(P)-25$, which was obtained enantiomerically pure by resolution of its bromo precursor via chiral HPLC. ${ }^{44}$ After the in situ formation of its $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ complex, enantioselective hydrogenation of itaconic acid ester was performed with moderate enantioselectivity. Later this reaction was reexamined by Yamaguchi et al. employing bis(helicenol) based chiral ligand (+)( $M, M, S, I$ )-26, obtaining the hydrogenation product with an excellent ee. ${ }^{45}$ Phosphite (+)-(M,M,S,I)-26 possesses four stereogenic elements, two helicenes, one chiral biaryl axis and the central chirality of the menthyl unit. Several possible isomers were investigated and the $(+)-(M, M, S, I)$ isomer turned out to be the most successful. Complex (+)-( $\left.P, S_{p}, l\right)-27$ represents one example of several helically chiral phosphineAu catalysts reported by Voituriez and Marinetti et al. in 2014. ${ }^{46}$ A menthyl chiral auxiliary was embedded into the phosphines in order to diastereoselectively obtain ligands with uniform helicity. The efficiency of the novel complexes was demonstrated in Au-catalyzed 1,6-enyne cycloisomerization, providing high enantioselectivities. In 2016 Suemune and Usui published two [5]helicenes with $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ as a ligating moiety, $(+)-(P)-28$ and its 7,8 -dihydro derivative $(+)-(P)-29 .{ }^{47}$ Both ligands were found to be conformationally stable due to the steric hindrance provided by the phosphine substituent at the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ position. Furthermore, the $\pi$-donating character of the helical scaffold was envisaged to have chelating effects on metal centers. Compound $(+)-(P)-28$ was found to be efficient in promoting Pd-catalyzed asymmetric Suzuki-Miyaura couplings of biaryls, whereas $(+)-(P)-29$ was applied in asymmetric allylation reactions of indoles and etherifications of alcohols achieving high ee's in both cases.
Besides phosphines, helically chiral compounds with other ligating groups were published. [5]HELOL (+)-(P,P,S)-30 was prepared by Katz and co-workers as an analogy to the widely used $\mathrm{BINOL}{ }^{48}$ ligand. ${ }^{49}$ Unlike BINOL, the [5]HELOL has two
independent chiral domains, the helicity and the biaryl axis. The diastereomerically pure form of (+)-(P,P,S)-30 was obtained via diastereoselective resolution of the corresponding camphanate esters. The free diol was applied in the enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes, surpassing commercially available BINOL in both stereoselectivity and yield. An NHC-Ir complex was published in 2016 by Crassous et al. ${ }^{50}$ Cycloiridiated complex ( $P, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}$ ) $\left(M, R_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right)-31$, exhibiting the helicene moiety and the Ir-center as stereogenic elements, was synthesized by employing a racemic [6]helicene containing imidazolium salt precursor. Nevertheless, compound $\left(P, S_{\mathrm{Ir}}\right) /\left(M, R_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-31$ was obtained diastereomerically pure. The racemic $\left(P, S_{\mathrm{r}}\right) /\left(M, R_{\mathrm{lr}}\right)-31$ spontaneously resolved into homochiral crystals of $\left(P, S_{\text {Ir }}\right)-31$ and the structure was confirmed via x-ray analysis. Although the novel NHC-metal complex was not applied to enantioselective catalysis, interesting electronic and chiroptical properties were observed. So far, only a few examples of helically chiral NHC-metal complexes are known. ${ }^{51,52,53,54,55,28}$
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Figure 6: Selected helically chiral ligands and catalysts.

### 1.3 N-Heterocyclic Carbenes

## Structural Features

Before the first isolation of a free carbene, neutral compounds featuring a divalent carbon atom with six-electron valence shell were only considered as highly reactive transient intermediates and laboratory curiosities. However, in 1988 Bertrand et al. published the first isolation of a carbene stabilized by adjacent silicon and phosphorus substituents. ${ }^{56}$ Inspired by earlier work on metal carbene complexes ${ }^{577,58}$ Arduengo et al. reported the first 'bottleable', crystalline carbene. ${ }^{59}$ Figure 7 shows carbene 32 which is incorporated in a nitrogen heterocycle and, therefore, called an N -heterocyclic carbene (NHC). The remarkable stability of this compound class is the result of a steric and electronic stabilization of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ carbene caused by its environment. ${ }^{60}$

The two adamantyl substituents at the nitrogen atoms provide bulkiness around the carbene (orange lines) and thus disfavor kinetic dimerization to the corresponding olefin (Wanzlick equilibrium) ${ }^{61}$. Therefore, most NHCs feature spacious substituents adjacent to the carbene. An even stronger beneficial interaction for the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ carbene is the electronic stabilization by the neighboring nitrogen atoms. NHCs such as 32


Figure 7: The first isolated N heterocyclic carbene. exhibit a singlet ground state configuration since the cyclic nature forces them into a bent $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-like arrangement with angles around $100-110^{\circ}$, whereas triplet carbenes exhibit angles around $130-150^{\circ}$ or an sp-like structure. ${ }^{62,63}$ The HOMO is best described as a formally $s p^{2}$ lone pair and the LUMO as an empty p-orbital. $\mathrm{N}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ stabilize $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ with their positive mesomeric effect on the empty $p$-orbital (blue arrows) and electron withdrawing effect on the $\sigma$-bond (red arrows). With this "push pull" interaction they donate electron density into the empty p-orbital and lower the energy of the occupied $\sigma$-orbital (a larger energy gap between HOMO and LUMO is beneficial for the singlet state). Due to the lone pair situated in the plane of the heterocyclic ring and the mesomerically decreased electrophilicity of the empty $p$ orbital NHCs are nucleophilic, which is in contrast to most transient carbenes, which
are typically electrophilic. As a consequence, the compound class of 32 is prone to act as $\sigma$-donors, which enables the formation of an NHC-metal bond with a high dissociation energy compared to many common ligands including the well-known phosphines. ${ }^{64}$ The empty $p$-orbital of the carbene allows m-backbonding to compensate excess charge from the metal atom. This effect was found to be negligible, ${ }^{65,66}$ although views on that topic differ. ${ }^{67}$
The "I" in IAd (32) is an abbreviation for imidazolylidene (Figure 8, compound 34) followed by the abbreviation (Ad in IAd) of the substituent on the nitrogen atoms. The partially aromatic nature of 34 (see ylide 33) is beneficial for its stability in comparison to the saturated version 35 where the corresponding ylide structure is non-aromatic. Due to this effect, which is calculated to be around $25 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, imidazolylidenes (34) allow less steric demand of the $R$ groups than their saturated analogues (35). The simple methyl-substituted NHC, IMe was found to be persistent in solution. ${ }^{68}$ In addition to imidazole and imidazoline, rings with other heteroatoms ${ }^{69}$ incorporated such as sulfur (36) or oxygen (37) are also accessible and rings with only one nitrogen atom (cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbenes, CAACs, 38) ${ }^{70}$ are receiving rising attention (Figure 8).
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Figure 8: Different N-heterocyclic carbenes.

## Synthesis

The most straightforward ways to obtain NHCs is by deprotonation of the corresponding azolium salt. The synthesis of these precursors benefits from a long history of research on heterocyclic compounds. For most NHCs the facile access to a variety of steric and electronic modifications is enabled by simply changing the
starting material. Various modular synthetic strategies have been developed. ${ }^{71}$ Scheme 7 shows the synthesis of imidazolium salts with identical substituents on the two nitrogen atoms (39). The acid-catalyzed condensation of primary amine, glyoxal and formaldehyde can either be done in a multicomponent one-pot fashion, or through isolation of the diimine 40. Hintermann proposed a mechanism involving a 1,5-dipolar electrocyclization for this type of reactions. ${ }^{72}$


Scheme 7: Synthesis of symmetrical imidazolium salts.

Imidazolium salts with different substituents on the two nitrogen atoms are available as well. A modular approach developed by Fürstner et al. provides access to a variety of substitution patterns on the nitrogen and the $\mathrm{C}^{4,5}$ atoms of 43 (Scheme 8). ${ }^{73}$ Oxazolium salt 41 bearing substituent $R^{1}$ on the nitrogen atom is reacted with a primary amine with $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituent. In the next step of the one-pot procedure dihydroimidazolium salt 42 undergoes acid catalyzed elimination to form the desired unsymmetrical imidazolium salt 43.


Scheme 8: Synthesis of unsymmetrical imidazolium salts.

## NHC-Metal Complexes

Since Arduengo's discovery ${ }^{59}$ the research in this field has exploded and an enormous amount of NHC-metal complexes have been reported. ${ }^{74,75,76}$ Most of the complexes involve the coordination of the carbene to a $\sigma$-accepting orbital of a transition metal. The nature of this bonding has been described in comprehensive studies on the topic. ${ }^{77,78} \mathrm{~N}$-heterocyclic carbenes also brought a lot of innovation into the design and tunability of ligands. For example, in olefin metathesis the NHC ligand in the second generation Grubbs catalysts (45) dissociates much less rapidly from the metal center than the phosphine ligand in the first generation catalyst (44) and, therefore, exhibits improved thermal and oxidative stability. Furthermore, the mesityl (Mes) groups of the NHC in 45 are projected towards the coordination sphere of the metal in contrast to the cyclohexyl (Cy) groups of phosphine in 44 and, therefore, induce different steric effects. ${ }^{79}$ The second generation Grubbs catalyst shows two orders of magnitude higher activity in olefin metathesis than its first generation antecessor. For this type of catalyst the efficiency depends on initiation (dissociation of one $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ), $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ rebinding, reaction of a 14-electron ruthenium species with an olefin and the rate of catalyst decomposition. ${ }^{80}$ There are several studies which provide explanations for the difference in reactivity. $81,82,83,84,85$


44
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Figure 9: Comparison of phosphine and NHC ligands.

Molecular descriptors for a quantitative evaluation of ligands can be split in two categories. Either they influence the catalytic behavior by altering the electronic status of the metal or by constraining the space around the metal. The two most commonly used parameters are the Tolman electronic parameter (TEP) ${ }^{86}$ and the buried volume (\%Vbur) $)^{87}$ for sterics introduced by Nolan, Cavallo and co-workers. The TEP describes the electron-donating ability of a ligand. It derives from the carbonyl stretching frequency in model transition metal carbonyl complexes (44). The more
electron-donating the ligand of interest, the more electron density is transferred onto the metal which then increases m-backbonding towards the carbonyl ligands. As a result, their bond order and infrared stretching frequency are decreased. Instead of the initially used $\left[\mathrm{LNi}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]$ model 46, less toxic complexes, e.g. cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{LIrCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ and cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{LRhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$, are more frequently used nowadays. To quantify the steric properties of a ligand such as the NHC in complex 47 (Figure 9), a sphere of an arbitrarily defined radius ( $r$, typically 3.0 or $3.5 \AA$ ) with the metal atom at its center and the carbene-metal bond distance $d$ of $2 \AA$ (this parameter can also be derived from calculations or crystallographic data) is drawn. The percentage of the sphere's volume occupied by the NHC -ligand is called the buried volume, \%Vbur.

### 1.4 Asymmetric Catalysis

## History, Principles and Significance

"I shall, therefore...call it that body's catalytic force, the decomposition of other bodies by this force catalysis, just as we signify by the word analysis the separation of the constituents of bodies by the usual chemical affinities." (Jöns Jacob Berzelius, 1835) The word catalysis (derives from Greek katálýsis, dissolution) in 1835 was introduced into science inspired by the work of Döbereiner, who found platinum to catalyze the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Berzelius at that time viewed catalysis as a special force and a catalyst as an agent which causes change without being changed itself. ${ }^{88}$

Decades of extensive research ${ }^{89}$ brought light into the mystic nature of catalysis and it became an essential part of science. Several chemistry Nobel Prizes were related to catalysis with the most recent ones in 2010 (R. F. Heck, A. Suzuki and E. Negishi "for palladium-catalyzed cross couplings in organic synthesis"), 2005 (R. R. Schrock, R. Grubbs and Y. Chauvin "for the development of the metathesis method in organic chemistry") and 2001 (B. Sharpless, W. S. Knowles and R. Noyori "for their work on chirally catalysed hydrogenation reactions"). ${ }^{90}$ "Asymmetric catalysis is a type of catalysis in which a chiral catalyst directs the formation of a chiral compound such that formation of one particular stereoisomer is favored. Since the catalyst is not consumed in this process it may be used in a substoichiometric quantity - potentially improving efficiency and avoiding waste."91

Scheme 9 illustrates the principle of asymmetric catalysis. First, a chiral catalyst (the different colors symbolize the asymmetric environment, in which the chiral information is stored) coordinates the substrates (can also be just one molecule), which arrange in the energetically preferred areas of the catalyst. These molecules then react in the asymmetric sphere


Scheme 9: Principles of asymmetric catalysis. where the chiral information is transferred from the catalyst to the substrates. After elimination, the product, which carries the chiral information, is released. Different terms can be used for stereoselectively catalyzed processes. The exact definitions of the terms asymmetric, enantioselective and diastereoselective depends on the point of view. In this work, asymmetric and enantioselective catalysis are considered as synonyms whereas diastereoselective catalysis is a special case which describes the formation of one thermodynamically preferred diastereomer over the other(s). In asymmetric (or enantioselective) catalysis the preference of one enantiomer, which is thermodynamically equal to the other, is based on the formation of diastereomeric intermediates or transient species with the chiral catalyst during the catalytic cycle.
As discussed in Chapter 1.1, life on our planet depends on inherently dissymmetric biological processes, where chiral host molecules interact with enantiomeric guest molecules in different ways. For example, the chiral receptor sites of the olfactory system can tell us the difference between two enantiomers. Therefore, our brain recognizes the smell of $(R)$-carvone $((R)-48)$ as a sweetish mint flavor like spearmint leaves and (S)-carvone ((S)-48) as a spicy aroma like caraway seeds. ${ }^{92}$ The same thing happens with pharmaceuticals in our body where the wrong enantiomer can have undesirable effects on our system. A very tragic and prominent example is Thalidomide. The drug was administrated i.a. to alleviate morning sickness of pregnant women in the 1960's. $(R)$-Thalidomide $((R)-49)$ has sedative effects on the patient, whereas the ( $S$ )-49 enantiomer is teratogenic. As a result, thousands of infants were born with phocomelia. ${ }^{93}$ The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
today recommends the elaboration of biological activity profiles of each enantiomer for racemic drugs and promotes the development of new chiral pharmaceuticals as single enantiomers. ${ }^{94}$ Therefore, the chemical industry highly depends on the development of synthetic methods to obtain enantiomerically pure compounds. ${ }^{95}$
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Figure 10: Different biological activities of enantiomeric compounds.

## Selected Examples

Fundamental research plays a key role in providing a broad pool of tools for any potential application on asymmetric catalysis. First, simple model systems are chosen to investigate the basic principles of an asymmetric process in order to develop suitable catalysts and optimize their design. Comprehensive review articles and book chapters on the topic are available ${ }^{96,97}$ and some examples related to this work are mentioned below.

Olefin metathesis is a widely applied tool in construction of complex structures via C-C-bond formation. ${ }^{98,99,100} \mathrm{~A}$ general mechanism of the process was introduced by Chauvin and Hérisson. ${ }^{101}$ Asymmetric metathesis does not involve the formation of an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized stereogenic center. Instead, the chiral information is induced indirectly via desymmetrization of an achiral compound (Scheme 10). The chiral catalyst discriminates between enantiotopic groups of prochiral meso compounds $(50,52,54)$ to release enantiomerically enriched chiral products $(51,53,55)$ after the catalytic cycle. The most common reactions of this type are asymmetric ring-closing metathesis (ARCM) and asymmetric ring-opening cross metathesis (AROCM). Examples of asymmetric cross metathesis (ACM) are also described. ${ }^{102}$
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Scheme 10: Asymmetric metathesis reactions.

Selected prominent examples of chiral Ru-NHC metathesis catalysts are illustrated in Figure 11. The first chiral Ru catalyst for asymmetric olefin metathesis was reported by Grubbs et al. in 2001. ${ }^{103} \mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric chloride $\mathbf{5 6}$ showed low enantioselectivities in ARCM. The in situ substitution of the chlorides with iodides led to a dramatic increase in selectivity. With the bulkier iodides in complex 57, high ee values up to 90\% were achieved. Collins and co-workers published $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric complex 58 with a sterically less demanding methyl group on one nitrogen atom and more bulky tertbutyl groups in the NCH backbone. ${ }^{104}$ With 58 high ee values of $82 \%$ were obtained for the same transformation compared to 57 without the in situ generation of an iodo species. The asymmetric periphery of the Ru center in $\mathbf{5 6}, 57$ and 58 is created due to a so called "gearing effect". ${ }^{105}$ The chirality installed in the stereogenic centers (C ${ }^{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ ) of the NHC backbone is transferred to the substituents of the nitrogen atoms. The unsymmetrically substituted aryl groups (ortho ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ ) reside on the face opposite to the bulky substituents in $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ positions. As a result, one of the enantiotopic coordination hemispheres of the Ru becomes sterically more congested compared to the other, which leads to an asymmetric environment. This principle has been adopted in the design of catalyst 59, reported by Blechert et al. ${ }^{106}$ By tethering the Naryl ring to the chiral center in the NHC backbone it is twisted "out of plane" (the plane perpendicular to the NHC ring). Catalyst 59 provides high ee's up to $98 \%$ in

AROCM. In 2002 Hoveyda and co-workers reported an alternative concept of installing chirality in a metathesis catalyst. ${ }^{107} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetric complex 60 lacks any backbone substitution but incorporates an axially chiral bidentate NHC. The alkoxy group of the chiral binaphthyl substituent at the nitrogen diastereoselectively chelates the Ru which creates a stereocenter at the metal (stereogenic-at-Ru catalyst). Catalyst 60 shows diminished reactivity due to the decreased Lewis acidity of the metal and high steric congestion around the Ru. Nevertheless, high enantioselectivities up to $>98 \%$ were obtained and the catalyst could be recycled. Sterically and electronically modified versions with enhanced activity were published later. ${ }^{108}$
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Figure 11: Selected chiral Ru-NHC metathesis catalysts.

The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling is by far the cross-coupling reaction with the highest number of publications per year. ${ }^{109}$ The reaction employs organoboron reagents and $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-electrophiles as coupling partners. Boronic acids, which possess several advantages over other organometallic species, are the most prevalent. An enormous library of boronic acids is commercially available and most of the compounds exhibit stability towards air, moisture and heat. The mechanism of this reaction with a tremendous potential in C-C-bond formation follows the general principle of cross coupling reactions. ${ }^{110}$ An enantioselective variant of the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling involves the formation of axially chiral biaryls which are valuable organic compounds due to their abundance in natural products and application in asymmetric catalysis. ${ }^{111,112}$ However, atropisomeric biaryls are distinguished from ordinary rotamers by virtue of a minimum rotational barrier of $23 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the interconversion of enantiomers. ${ }^{113}$ Therefore, the chirality of these compounds depends on a high degree of steric hindrance in the ortho positions which makes the
coupling between two aryl units challenging. Scheme 11 illustrates the general synthesis of atropisomerically stable biaryls via Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. A Boronic acid 61 is coupled with an electrophile 62 where a variety of leaving groups $X$ are possible. The most common metals employed in this transformation are Pd and Ni. In order to be chiral, 63 must possess two different ortho substituents $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$.
Potent catalysts for this type of transformation are e.g. the Pd-PEPPSI (Pyridine Enhanced Precatalyst Preparation, Stabilization (and) Initiation) complexes reported in 2006 by Organ and co-workers. ${ }^{114}$ Complex 64 (IPr PEPPSI) with the IPr ligand is commercially available. Only a few chiral versions are available up to date. The first

$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, $\mathrm{TeCl}_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CN}, \ldots$

Scheme 11: Synthesis of atropisomers via Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. were published by Shi and co-workers, although they did not test their catalysts in asymmetric transformations. ${ }^{115}$ Kündig et al. published in 2014 the first application of chiral Pd-PEPPSI complexes in enantioselective catalysis. ${ }^{116}$ Catalyst 65, which was reported among four other complexes, showed good activities and moderate to good selectivities in asymmetric SuzukiMiyaura coupling. The selectivities highly depended on the substrates used. The best example was the coupling of 1-bromo-2-methylnaphthalene with 1naphthylboronic acid, where the atropisomeric product was obtained in $80 \%$ ee. Recently, Fukuzawa et al. reported a chiral triazolylidene-Pd-PEPPSI complex. ${ }^{117}$ The ferrocene-based planarly chiral 66 gave moderate to good yields and ee values up to $75 \%$. Sakar et al. also reported chiral triazolidene-Pd-PEPPSI complexes but did not study their enantioinduction. ${ }^{118}$
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Figure 12: Selected Pd-PEPPSI catalysts.

## 2. Objectives

As shown in Chapter 1.2, helicenes have proved their potential as chiral ligands in enantioselective catalysis. The NHC's discussed in Chapter 1.3 are nowadays a wellestablished class of compounds and became indispensable in the ligand portfolio of enantioselective catalysis (Chapter 1.4). The aim of this work is to combine the three topics. Therefore, enantiomerically pure helicene-bearing NHC's are first synthesized and then ligated within transition metal complexes. Such complexes are further applied in enantioselective catalysis in order to explore the power of stereoinduction caused by the helically chiral NHC ligands in the asymmetric process (Scheme 12).
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Scheme 12: Objectives of the Thesis.

Scheme 13 illustrates the retrosynthesis of helically chiral NHC-metal complexes such as $(M)-67$, which can be derived from its imidazolium salt precursors ( $M$ )-68. Unsaturated imidazolium salts were chosen for the simplicity of their preparation compared to their saturated imidazolinium analogs. In order to have a conformationally stable helical scaffold with uniform helicity, amino[6]helicene ( $M$ )-69 was designed after a recently published protocol of Starý and Stará. ${ }^{31}$ This helicene can be constructed via $[2+2+2]$ cycloisomerization from the centrally chiral triyne ( $R S, S$ )-70. This triyne is accessible by Sonogashira cross-coupling of enantiopure halide (S)-72 and racemic alkyne rac-71. For the efficient application of $(M)$-67 in asymmetric catalysis sufficient amount of the amino[6]helicene $(M)$-69 is required.

Therefore, a gram-scale synthesis of (M)-69 needs to be developed and optimized. Importantly, the optically pure amino[6]helicene building block can be prepared easily in either of its stereochemical configurations ( $P$ or $M$ ) depending on the chirality of the starting alkyne 72 ( $R$ or $S$ ).


rac-71

Scheme 13: Retrosynthetic scheme for helically chiral NHC-metal complexes.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Synthesis of Helicenes

## Enantiopure Amino[6]helicene

## Synthesis of Racemic Diyne Building Block rac-81

The first goal was to obtain the building block rac-71 which can be synthesized from commercially available aniline 75. After a retrosynthetic analysis, the strategy to formylate 75 to $\mathbf{7 4}$, add the propargyl moiety to the aldehyde to generate rac-73 which then undergoes MOM-protection and Sonogashira coupling to the desired rac71 was developed (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14: Retrosynthesis of building block rac-71.

Direct formylation of unprotected aniline 75 was not possible due to side reactions of the free amino group with formylating agents. Therefore, 75 was first doubly benzylprotected and then formylated under Vilsmeier-Haack conditions to obtain 77 in high yields for both steps. Upscaling proceeded without any problems.

a) BnBr (4.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 5.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1, reflux, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; b) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ ( 6.5 equiv.), DMF ( 5.0 equiv.), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.

Scheme 15: Synthesis of aldehyde 77.

The installation of the first alkyne unit was conveniently done by the addition of TIPSpropargyllithium to the aldehyde group of 77 so that rac-78 could be obtained in high yield (Scheme 16). The MOM protection of secondary alcohol rac-78 with MOMBr always gave poor yields and mixtures of products. When MOMCI was employed instead, the reaction was cleaner and the desired MOM ether rac-79 could be isolated in high yield. The following Sonogashira coupling (to rac-80) was performed with quantitative yield employing diisopropylamine as both a solvent and a base. When a mixture of THF/Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was used, the yields were much lower. The selective cleavage of the more labile trimethylsilyl group to rac-81 was feasible by employing $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol. Steps c) and d) could be combined in a two-step sequence without the isolation of rac-80 to give rac-81 in an almost quantitative yield. All steps from aniline 77 to diyne building block rac-81 were easily upscaled and their high yields were preserved.

a) TIPS-C $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ( 1.05 equiv.), $n$-BuLi ( 1.05 equiv.), THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 96 \%$; b) MOMCI ( 1.5 equiv.), DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}\left(1.4\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; c) TMS-C三CH (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 mol\%), $\mathrm{Cul}\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; c, d) without isolation of rac-80, 97\% after two steps.

Scheme 16: Synthesis of racemic diyne building block rac-81.

## Synthesis of Chiral Building Block (S)-85

The retrosynthesis of the desired enantiopure amino[6]helicene $(M)$ - 69 discussed in Chapter 2 involves the introduction of a chiral building block $(S)-83$. The synthesis of $(R)$ - and (S)-83 was feasible starting from commercially available 82 by following the literature procedure, which involves biocatalytic kinetic resolution of rac-84 as the key step. ${ }^{31}$ Due to the high price of the starting compound 82 and with regard to the large-scale synthesis of the desired amino[6]helicene ( $M$ )-69, a chiral building block obtainable from a cheaper starting material was desirable. Therefore, the triflate building block (S)-85 was designed as a viable alternative to (S)-83. The retrosynthesis of (S)-85 is shown in Scheme 17. In analogy to (S)-83, the homochirality of $(S)-85$ would result from biocatalytic kinetic resolution of rac-86, which can be obtained via addition of alkynyl organometallic reagent to aldehyde 87. Compound 87 is accessible by ozonolysis or dihydroxylation of the terminal double bond of alkene 88 followed by oxidative cleavage. Allylnaphthol 88 can be synthesized by allylation of 1-naphthol (90) followed by Claisen rearrangement of ether 89. The use of inexpensive 1-naphthol ( $90,500 \mathrm{~g}=56 €$ ) guarantees a more economic synthesis of enantiopure amino[6]helicene ( $M$ )-69 compared to the functionalized naphthalene $82(25 \mathrm{~g}=340 €) .{ }^{119}$ This aspect is important, especially in terms of providing a good catalyst for possible practical applications.
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Scheme 17: Retrosynthesis of enantiopure building block ( $S$ )-85.

The allylation of the hydroxy group of commercially available 1-naphthol (90) was accomplished in quantitative yield employing the literature procedure. ${ }^{120}$ In the same publication, the Claisen rearrangement from 89 to 88 was reported. By conducting the experiment in DMF in an oil bath at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h the authors were able to obtain product 88 in $72 \%$ yield. A more convenient approach was found following a protocol developed by Schmidt et al. ${ }^{121}$ When this rearrangement was done in a microwave reactor at $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline as a solvent, the yield could be increased to $93 \%$ after 1 h reaction time.

a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 equiv.), allyl bromide (1.2 equiv.), acetone, reflux, $2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; b) $\mathrm{PhNMe}_{2}$, microwave reactor, 250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$.

Scheme 18: Synthesis of terminal alkyne 88.

Unfortunately, neither ozonolysis nor a dihydroxylation-oxidative cleavage of the free naphthol 88 employing $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ led to the desired product 93 and only decomposition was observed. In order to avoid possible problems caused by the free hydroxy group, 88 was directly converted into triflate 91 . The dihydroxylation of the terminal alkene 91 was feasible by employing the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4} / \mathrm{NMO}$ catalyst/reoxidant system, providing diol 92 in high yield. The subsequent oxidative cleavage with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ worked also well, but the obtained homobenzylic aldehyde 87 was found to be unstable. Possible aldol reactions might be a reason for decomposition. Therefore, diol 92 was converted to secondary alcohol rac-86 in a two-step sequence without the isolation of 87 . The addition of the alkyne moiety to the aldehyde 92 was first carried out with the in situ generated triisopropylsilylethynyllithium which gave poor yields. By generating an organomagnesium species instead, the yield could be improved and rac-86 was obtained in good overall yields.

a) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.2 equiv.), pyridine ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; b) NMO ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), THF/H2O 1:1, rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; c) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; d) $\mathrm{TIPS}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ (2.0 equiv.), EtMgBr (2.0 equiv.), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$ after 2 steps.

Scheme 19: Synthesis of rac-86.

The kinetic resolution of rac-86 was indeed feasible by Novozyme 435 catalyzed acetylation. The conversion and ee values of $(R)-94$ and (S)-86 were followed via chiral HPLC; 24 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were found to be the optimum reaction conditions. ( $R$ )-94 and $(S)-86$ were obtained in high yields and ee values of $>99 \%$ (determined after saponification of $(R)-94$ to alcohol $(R)-85)$ and $>99 \%$, respectively. The acetate ( $R$ )94 then had to be saponified. The use of strong bases such as $\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ led to fast decomposition of the product $(R)-86$. Slowing down the reaction by employing a weakly basic system of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ in catalytic amount gave access to the desired free alcohol ( $R$ )-86, which was not isolated but directly protected as a MOM-ether to $(R)-85$ in the same manner as $(S)$-85 in high yields.

a) Novozyme 435, isopropenyl acetate ( 5.0 equiv.), $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, toluene, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 46 \%$ of $(R)-94$ ( $>99 \% e e^{a}$ ) and $48 \%$ of ( $S$ )-86 ( $>99 \% e e^{a}$ ); b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, used further without purification; c) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $4 \AA$ molecular sieves, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$ (S)-85, ( $R$ )-85 88\% (after 2 steps). ${ }^{\text {a Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase. }}$

Scheme 20: Synthesis of chiral building block 85 .

## Construction of Enantiopure Amino[6]helicene (-)-(M)-69

The cyclotrimerization precursor ( $R S, S$ )-97 was synthesized from both the triflate building block ( $S$ )-85 and the iodo building block ( $S$ )-83 to compare which route is superior (Scheme 21). Any attempt at coupling of triflate (S)-85 with the terminal alkyne rac-81 under Sonogashira conditions failed. Moreover, triflate (S)-85 could not even be coupled with the highly reactive trimethylsilylacetylene. Fortunately, Kumada-Corriu conditions could be successfully employed. After in situ formation of the organomagnesium intermediate rac-95 via reaction of ethylmagnesium bromide and rac-81, it was coupled with the building block ( $S$ )-85 and successively desilylated to afford the triyne ( $R S, S$ )-96 in moderate yields. Starting from the iodo building block $(S)-83$, the coupling with rac-81 was conveniently feasible under Sonogashira conditions, affording ( $R S, S$ )-96 after desilylation in high yields. A two-fold Sonogashira coupling of ( $R S, S$ )-96 with 4-iodotoluene led to the desired triyne $(R S, S)-97$. Comparing the two building blocks (S)-85 and (S)-83, one can say that triflate $(S)-85$ is a good and more economic alternative to iodo compound (S)-83 concerning the synthesis of the building block itself. But regarding its reactivity, with the optimizations done so far, $(S)-83$ provides the more convenient coupling partner in further synthesis and upscaling. Nevertheless, the Kumada-Corriu conditions
found represent a good alternative for this kind of couplings where Sonogashira conditions fail. This can become important with respect to future modifications on the molecule skeleton, which might be necessary in order to modify the catalyst design.

a) i) rac-81 (1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppp})(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, THF/toluene $2: 1,70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, used further without isolation ii) $n$ Bu4NF ( 3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$ after 2 steps; c) i) rac-81 ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( 4 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, rt, 16 h , used further without isolation ii) $n$-Bu4NF ( 3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$ after 2 steps; d) 4-iodotoluene (3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$.

Scheme 21: Synthesis of triyne ( $R S, S$ )-97.

The assembly of tetrahydrohelicene (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 via [2+2+2] cycloisomerization was feasible in moderate yield by employing $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$, which was commonly used in the published protocols by Starý and Stará (Scheme 22, a). ${ }^{31}$ In order to improve the yield $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ was tested (b). The cyclotrimerization with $\mathrm{Ni}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}$ is usually done at ambient temperature due to high reactivity of the catalyst, which also requires a glovebox and makes the procedure more cumbersome. With this system, the tetrahydrohelicene (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 was obtained quantitatively but as a $1: 1$ mixture of two pairs of diastereomers $((+)-(P, R S, S)-98$ and $(-)-(M, R S, S)-98)$ due to the low temperatures which disable the thermodynamic equilibration of the helical units. The two pairs of diastereomers (+)-(P,RS,S)-98 and (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 can be separated conveniently via column chromatography, which enabled the determination of their 1 : 1 ratio. Unfortunately, attempts to carry out a sequential reaction (first b) and then apply elevated temperature for post cyclization thermodynamic equilibration) worked
in some cases but were not reproducible. Occasionally, it led to the partial aromatization to fully aromatic product 99 with low ee values. These problems were overcome by employing a different $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalyst at elevated temperatures (c). $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the desired product $(-)-(M, R S, S)-98$ in good yields and with high stereoselectivity. Substoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ resulted in lower conversions to the desired helicene $(-)-(M, R S, S)-95$. Upscaling of this procedure above 0.2 mmol was difficult due to the requirement of a pressure reactor, in which the reaction can be heated above the boiling point of toluene. The use of a high-temperature high-pressure flow reactor was found to be beneficial (180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 8 min residential time, $90 \%$ yield of $\left.(-)-(M, R S, S)-95\right)$ but attempts at upscaling resulted in precipitation and eventually clogging of the flow system, most likely due to the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. The acid-catalyzed aromatization to the fully aromatic helicene (-)-(M)-96 was feasible in good yields. In order to remove both benzyl groups to generate a free amine moiety, harsh reductive conditions were necessary. The in situ formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at elevated temperature was found to provide the desired aminohelicene (-)-(M)-69 in high yield. The optical purity of $(-)-(M)-69$ corresponds to the chiral building blocks $(S)-84$ or $(S)-82$. Due to the heterogeneous reaction caused by low solubility of precursor (-)-(M)-96 in the required (in order to dissolve the $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{2}$ ) polar solvent and the heterogeneous catalyst, a fine dispersion had to be formed via long sonication prior to reaction. Otherwise, the time to reach full conversion to (-)-(M)-69 takes too long and the helicene scaffold is partially hydrogenated $\left(\left[M+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}\right.$ion was observed in ESI-MS and additional aliphatic protons were observed in NMR of crude $(-)-(M)-69)$. The upscaling of this heterogeneous process was also not quite straightforward and a 1 mmol -scale in refluxing ethanol for 2 h was found to be the optimum.

a) $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ ( 0.5 equiv.), THF, [bdmim] $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$, microwave reactor, $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 70 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{cod})_{2}(0.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(1.0\right.$ equiv.), THF, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; c) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), toluene, $\left.150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 82 \% ; \mathrm{d}\right) \mathrm{p}$ - TsOH ( 10.0 equiv.), toluene, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; e) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{2}$ ( 20.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), EtOH, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$ ( $>99 \% e e^{a}$ ). ${ }^{a}$ Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 22: Synthesis of enantiopure amino[6]helicene (-)-(M)-69.

## Enantiopure Monobenzo Amino[6]helicene (-)-(M)-118

A structural modification of amino[6]helicene 69 was proposed in which one extra benzene ring is fused to the outer rim of the [6]helicene scaffold. Thus the aminobenzo[6]helicene $(M)$-100 was designed and, as seen from the retrosynthetic analysis in Scheme 23, the key chiral building block (S)-72 can be advantageously used for its construction. The desired enantiopure helicene $(M)$-100 can be obtained from dihydrohelicene ( $M$ )-101 via acid-catalyzed elimination of the chiral auxiliary. Triyne (S)-102 was designed as a cyclotrimerization precursor, which can be synthesized from the three building blocks 103, 104 and (S)-72.

(M)-100

(S)-72

(M,S)-101

(S)-102

Scheme 23: Retrosynthesis of monobenzo amino[6]helicene $(M)$-100.

Since both alkynes $104^{26}$ and (S)-72 ${ }^{31}$ are known compounds, the challenge here was to synthesize the terminal alkyne 103. First, aniline 75 was brominated in the preferred para position ${ }^{122}$ to 105 (Scheme 24). In order to prevent interactions of the free amino functional group with e.g. transitions metals, the protection of the amino group was assumed to be beneficial. Carbamate (Boc) was chosen as a protecting group because of its stability under basic conditions, which need to be applied in the following synthetic steps. Bis-carbamate 106 was prepared in a reaction of 105 with 4 equivalents of Boc-anhydride because with lower excess of the reagent the mono-Boc-protected product was always accompanied by the bis-carbamate 106 and the starting material (a 1:1:1 ratio, according to TLC). Unfortunately, the Sonogashira coupling of 106 with trimethylsilylacetylene or triisopropylsilylacetylene gave the desired alkynes 107 and 108 in poor yields, even though full conversion of 106 was observed in both cases (according to TLC). This was most likely due to the partial cleavage of the Boc groups (according to NMR of the side products). Surprisingly,
when the free aniline 115 was directly coupled with trimethylsilylacetylene, the desired product 109 could be obtained quantitatively. The following Boc-protection to carbamate 110 and its desilylation to terminal alkyne 111 worked smoothly and could also be conveniently carried out without the isolation of intermediate 110.

a) NBS ( 1.0 equiv.), DMF, rt, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 4.0 equiv.), DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), THF, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; c) TMS$\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ (1.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 38 \%$; d) TIPS-C三CH ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 38 \%$; e) TMS-C三CH (1.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; $99 \%$; f) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 equiv.), EtOH, rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ ) without isolation of $\mathbf{1 1 0}, 89 \%$ over 2 steps.

Scheme 24: Synthesis of alkyne building block 111.

The construction of the cyclotrimerization precursor $(S)$-116 is shown in Scheme 25. First, the chiral building block (S)-83 was coupled with the terminal alkyne 111 under Sonogashira conditions in high yield. In the next step, an additional benzene unit was connected to the molecule via Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with the building block 113 to afford the silylated triyne (S)-114 in quantitative yield. Afterward, the TIPS groups were exchanged for tolyl groups via desilylation to $(S)$-115 and two-fold Sonogashira coupling with 4-iodotoluene led to the desired triyne $(S)$-116.

(S)-112


a) 111 (1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\left.\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \% ; \mathrm{b}\right) 113$ (1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.05 equiv.), propanol/toluene/water 4:4:1, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; c) $n$ - Bu 4 NF ( 3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, 6 h, $93 \%$; f) 4 -iodotoluene ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cul}(8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr} 2 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, 93\%.

Scheme 25: Synthesis of triyne 116.

The next step was the cyclotrimerization of triyne (S)-116 (Scheme 26). In order to analyze properly the diastereomeric purity of the formed dihydrohelicene $(-)-(M, S)$ 117, a sample with $75 \%$ de in favor of $(-)-(M, S)-117$ was prepared by conducting the cyclotrimerization at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . HPLC separation of the two diastereomers was possible. Afterward, the conditions of the cyclotrimerization of (S)-116 to diastereomerically pure (-)-(M,S)-117 needed to be optimized because too high temperature and prolonged reaction time led to the formation of by-products. This was probably due to partial aromatization and cleavage of the Boc group, which is undesirable before full equilibration of the system to the thermodynamically preferred $(-)-(M, S)-117$. A balance between complete thermodynamic equilibration and the onset of side reactions was found by heating to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min providing the dihydrohelicene (-)-(M,S)-117 in >99\% de. Since the OMOM and the Boc protecting groups are both acid-labile, they could be advantageously cleaved in one step by
treatment with a solution of HCl in dioxane. The desired fully aromatic (-)-(M)-118 with a primary amine moiety could be obtained in good yields.

(S)-116

$(-)-(M, S)-117$ $75 \%$ de

$(-)-(M, S)-117$ $99 \%$ de


$(-)-(M)-118$
a) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, THF , microwave reactor, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 80 \%\left(75 \%\right.$ eea ${ }^{\text {a }}$; b) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(30$ $\mathrm{mol} \%)$, toluene, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 84 \%\left(99 \%\right.$ ee ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ); c) $\mathrm{HCl}\left(60.0\right.$ equiv.), dioxane, rt, $19 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$. ${ }^{\text {a Determined by }}$ HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 26: Synthesis of monobenzo amino[6]helicene (-)-(M)-118.

## Simplified Access to 2-Amino[6]helicene-Like Structure

In order to simplify the access to optically pure amino[6]helicenes, the design of structure 119 was modified according to Scheme 27. Due to the lengthy synthesis and high price of the starting material for the chiral naphthalene building block (S)-83 (cf. Scheme 17), the installation of the helicity-defining stereocenter in the amino building block would be beneficial. It would also present a more modular approach, in which the structure of the helicene scaffold can easily be modified by employing different naphthalene building blocks (Scheme 27, blue). Due to the widely explored enantioselective addition of terminal alkynes to benzaldehydes, ${ }^{123,124}$ alkyne 123 with the chiral center (red star) in the benzylic position was designed as an alternative to
the alkyne rac-71. This modification results in an amino[6]helicene 120 with one fivemembered ring embedded.
Such a structurally novel system has so far not been investigated in the diastereoselective synthesis of helicenes and the stereoinduction of helicity by the chiral center in the five-membered ring needed to be investigated experimentally. Therefore, model aminobenzo[6]helicene 121 was designed. The additional fused benzene unit was introduced to further simplify the synthesis. Helicene 121 can be synthesized from triyne 122 which is derived from the building blocks rac-71, 123, 124 and acetylene.
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Scheme 27: Simplification and structural modification of amino[6]helicene synthesis.

Conveniently, racemic triyne 131 can be used in the cyclotrimerization to assess the degree of stereocontrol. Scheme 28 shows the four possible isomers which can be formed during the cyclization. If the stereoinduction is complete, only one diastereomer would be obtained (either $(P, S) /(M, R)$-121 or $(M, S) /(P, R)-121)$. An
incomplete stereoinduction would lead to the formation of diastereomers (each one being a racemic mixture) that could be identified in the NMR spectrum featuring two sets of signals of the resulting aminobenzo[6]helicene 121.

(P,S)-121


(M,S)-121


(P,R)-121 epimers

(M,R)-121

Scheme 28: The four possible stereoisomers of aminobenzo[6]helicene 121.

In order to find the most efficient route to aminobenzo[6]helicene 121, the scope and accessibility of the building blocks rac-127 and 129 were explored (Scheme 29). An alkyne moiety was added to aldehyde 77 to form the secondary alcohol rac-125, which was subsequently protected as MOM ether rac-126. After Sonogashira coupling and desilylation, the terminal alkyne rac-127 was obtained.
Starting from naphthalene 124, the chemoselective Sonogashira reaction in the position 1 with TIPS protected acetylene followed by desilylation, led to terminal alkyne 128 in good yield after two steps. Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with boronic acid 113 gave diyne 129 in moderate yield.

77
rac-125
b


rac-127
rac-126

a) TIPS-C $=\mathrm{CH}$ ( 1.05 equiv.), $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.05\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$; b) MOMCI ( 1.5 equiv.), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 1.4 equiv.), DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; c) i) TMS-C三CH ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cul}(4$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, used further without purification, ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$ after 2 steps; d) i) TIPS-C $\equiv \mathrm{CH}$ ( 1.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, rt, 18 h , used further without purification, ii) $n$-Bu4NF ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{THF}$ ( $1: 12$ ), rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$ after 2 steps; e) 113 ( 1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.05 equiv.), propanol/toluene/water 4:4:1, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 53 \%$.

Scheme 29: Synthesis of building blocks rac-127 and 129.

The central triple bond in triyne 122 (Scheme 27) can originate either from the terminal alkyne unit of compound rac-127 by Sonogashira coupling with iodide 124, or similarly from the reaction of alkyne 128 with iodide rac-126 (Scheme 30). Both routes were tested to see which of the two combinations is more advantageous. When terminal alkyne rac-127 was coupled with building block 124 chemoselectively with respect to the iodo substituent, the desired diyne rac-130 was obtained in low yield. Alkyne rac-127 was fully consumed during the reaction and around $15 \%$ of the homocoupled tetrayne (according to ESI-MS of the isolated side product) was observed, which partially explains the low conversion of 124. The complementary combination of reactants rac-126 and 128 gave a better result; the desired diyne rac130 was obtained in good yield.
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a) rac-127 (1.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$; b) 128 (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cul}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$.

Scheme 30: Synthesis of building block rac-130.

The next step was the construction of helicene 134 to study the efficiency of stereoinduction. First, diyne rac-130 was transformed into triyne rac-131 via SuzukiMiyaura coupling in high yield. Then, the two TIPS groups were exchanged to tolyl groups by desilylation of rac-131 to rac-132 and its subsequent Sonogashira coupling with iodotoluene to provide the cyclization precursor rac-133. In order to give the system enough energy for a complete thermodynamic equilibration, the Co-mediated cyclotrimerization of rac-133 was performed in a microwave reactor at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min. An NMR analysis of the obtained material revealed that there were two different diastereomers in a ratio of 1.7 to 1. Unfortunately, the helicene 134 readily decomposes during chromatography and thus the individual diastereoisomers could not be separated and characterized. Nevertheless, the contraction of the sixmembered ring containing the essential stereogenic center as in (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 (Scheme 22) or (-)-(M,S)-117 (Scheme 26) to a five-membered ring as in 134 (Scheme 31) destroys the effective stereocontrol by the 1,3-allylic type strain. Accordingly, the latter approach to enantiopure helicenes cannot be used.

a) 113 (1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.05 equiv.), propanol/toluene/water 4:4:1, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; b) $n$-Bu 4 NF ( 3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; c) 4 -iodotoluene ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; d) $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ (1.0 equiv.), [bdmim] $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$, microwave reactor, $\mathrm{THF}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 73 \%$.

Scheme 31: Synthesis of 2-amino[6]helicene-like compound 134.

### 3.2 Synthesis of Imidazolium Salts

## Imidazolium Salts with Two Helicene Moieties

In order to minimize the number of steps of the imidazolium salt synthesis, one pot procedures (Scheme 7) were investigated. Following a protocol by Herrmann et al., ${ }^{125}$ the reaction of amino[6]helicenes $(+)-(P)-69$ and $(-)-(M)-118$ with glyoxal and paraformaldehyde in toluene for 24 h provided the imidazolium salts $(+)-(P, P)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136$ in moderate yields (Scheme 32, a). When aqueous conditions ${ }^{126}$ (b) were applied, yields were found to be lower, probably due to the poor solubility of $(+)-(P, P)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136$ in aqueous media. An attempt to increase the yield by faster reaction at elevated temperature in a microwave reactor led to numerous side products (according to TLC) but did not lead to the improved yield of $(+)-(P, P)-$ 135 (c). When the same conditions (a) were applied and the reaction time was extended to 45 h , imidazolium salt (+)-( $P, P$ )-135 was obtained in good yield (d).

a) glyoxal ( 0.5 equiv.), paraformaldehyde ( 0.5 equiv.), HCl ( 0.6 equiv.), toluene, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, 39 \%((M, M)-135)$ and $39 \%((M, M)-136)$; b) glyoxal ( 0.5 equiv.), formaldehyde ( 0.5 equiv.), acetic acid, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 30 \%((P, P)-135)$ and $26 \%((M, M)-136) ;$ c) glyoxal ( 0.5 equiv.), paraformaldehyde ( 0.5 equiv.), HCl ( 0.6 equiv.), toluene, microwave reactor, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \%$; d) glyoxal ( 0.5 equiv.), paraformaldehyde ( 0.5 equiv.), HCl ( 0.6 equiv.), toluene, 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.

Scheme 32: Synthesis of imidazolium salts $(+)-(P, P)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136$ with two helicene moieties.

## Imidazolium Salt with One Helicene Moiety

A protocol by Fürstner et al. (Scheme 8) ${ }^{73}$ was employed to synthesize an unsymmetrical imidazolium salt bearing a [6]helicenyl chiral substituent on one nitrogen atom and a mesityl group on the other (Scheme 33). First, formamide 137 was transformed into oxazolium salt 138 which was subsequently reacted with amino[6]helicene (-)-(M)-69. The hydroxy-dihydroimidazolium intermediate (M)-139 was subsequently aromatized to the desired imidazolium salt (-)-(M)-140 in moderate overall yield.


$(-)-(M)-69$

(M)-139

C

$(-)-(M)-140$
a) $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ (1.7 equiv.), $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ ( 1.96 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 38.0 equiv.), rt, 8 h , used further without isolation; b) toluene, $\mathrm{rt}, 21$ $h$, used further without isolation; c) $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $52 \%$ after 3 steps.

Scheme 33: Synthesis of imidazolium salt (-)-(M)-140 with one helicene moiety.

### 3.3 Synthesis of Helically Chiral NHC-Metal Complexes

## Ag-Complexes

The Ag-NHC complexes serve as useful intermediates for the transmetallation to other desired transition metal complexes. ${ }^{127}$ Their synthesis is very convenient because $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be used as a silver containing base. ${ }^{128}$ By mixing this reagent with an imidazolium halide of choice, the latter is deprotonated and the free carbene is coordinated to form the Ag-NHC complex. ${ }^{129}$ Scheme 34 shows the synthesis of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{NHC})_{2} \quad(P, P, P, P)-141$ (structure was confirmed by ESI-MS) from the symmetrical imidazolium chloride $(+)-(P, P)-135$. The crude complex $(P, P, P, P)-\mathbf{1 4 1}$
was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy which showed no signal of the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ proton of (+)$(P, P)-135$ anymore, so full conversion was assumed and the material was used in further steps without purification.

a) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.75 equiv.), dichloromethane, rt, 24 h , quant. (crude).

Scheme 34: Synthesis of Ag-NHC complex ( $P, P, P, P$ )-141.

Imidazolium perchlorate $(-)-(M)-140$ had to be first transformed into the imidazolium halide species $(-)-(M)-142$. Counter ions other than halides might complicate the procedure and require the use of additives such as additional halide sources. ${ }^{130}$ Inspired by the work of Robinson et al. ${ }^{131}$ who used an ion exchange resin to replace the counterion of a saturated imidazolium salt from $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$to $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, the imidazolium perchlorate $(-)-(M)-140$ was converted into chloride $(-)-(M)-142$. The change of the counterion could be observed by TLC and NMR spectroscopy (the proton in the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ position shifts from 8.12 ppm in $(-)-(M)-140$ to 10.31 ppm in $(-)-(M)-142)$. After the reaction of halide $(-)-(M)-142$ with $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the desired $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{NHC}$ complex $(M, M)-143$ was obtained and an NMR analysis of the crude mixture revealed complete conversion (the signal of the proton in the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ position was not observed).


a) Amberlite IRA-400 chloride, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 97 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 0.75 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 72 h , quant. (crude).

Scheme 35: Synthesis of Ag-NHC ( $M, M$ )-143.

## Ru-Complexes

Scheme 36 shows attempts at the synthesis of Hoveyda-Grubbs type catalyst of the second generation (( $P, P$ )-145). Initially, the symmetric imidazolium salt $(+)-(P, P)-135$ bearing two helicene moieties was chosen as the NHC source in order to introduce maximal chiral environment around the metal coordination sphere. Unfortunately, substitution of the РСуз ligand in the starting material 144 by the deprotonated salt $(+)-(P, P)-135$ was unsuccessful. Any newly formed Ru-benzylidene species would be easily identified by NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture (observing a new peak around 16 ppm in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). Hoveyda et al. reported that transmetallation from a Ag-NHC complex can be beneficial for installing bulky carbene ligands at the second generation Ru catalyst. ${ }^{132}$ Thus, transmetallation at Ag-NHC complex $(P, P, P, P)$-141 to Ru complex 144 was attempted in an NMR tube in C7D8. Again, no new signal around 16 ppm was observed.


144

$(P, P, P, P)-141$

a

$(P, P)$-145
a) (+)-( $P, P$ )-135 (1.1 equiv.), $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COK}\left(1.05\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CuCl}\left(2.0\right.$ equiv.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, no product observed; b) ( $P, P, P, P$ )-141 ( 0.52 equiv.), $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, no product observed.

Scheme 36: An attempt at the synthesis of Hoveyda-Grubbs type catalyst $(P, P)$-145.

Even though the Grubbs type catalyst of the second generation (Scheme 37, compound ( $P, P$ )-146) should provide sufficient space for accommodation of a bulky NHC-ligand due to the benzylidene proton being in plane with the two chloro ligands rather than pointing towards the NHC-ligand, the carbene with two helicenes probably causes too much steric congestion, which prevents the formation of the catalyst.

a) (+)-( $P, P$ )-135 (1.1 equiv.), ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COK}\left(1.05\right.$ equiv.), toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min , no product observed; b) $(P, P, P, P)-$ 141 ( 0.52 equiv.), $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, no product observed.

Scheme 37: An attempt at the synthesis of Grubbs type catalyst $(P, P)-146$.

On the other hand, the sterically less demanding imidazolium salt (-)-(M)-140 bearing only one helicene moiety was found suitable for the synthesis of a Hoveyda-Grubbs type catalyst of the second generation. The first generation catalyst 144 was reacted with the deprotonated salt (-)-(M)-140 to form the helically chiral Ru-NHC complex (-)-$(M)$-147 in moderate yield (Scheme 38, a). Although the conversion of 144 was not complete (according to TLC), the reaction was stopped after 25 min in order to balance conversion of the starting material 144 and decomposition of the product (-)-$(M)$-147. Changing the base to LiHMDS led to a lower yield in spite of the fact that no starting material could be observed after 30 min (b). Surprisingly, the transmetallation from silver salt $(M, M)$ - 143 did not result in the formation of the desired complex (-)-(M)-147 (c), checked by TLC).


144


$(-)-(M)-147$ 1 Tol

$(M, M)$-143
c
a) (-)-(M)-140 (1.1 equiv.), $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COK}\left(1.05\right.$ equiv.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \mathrm{~min}, 36 \%$; b) ( - )-(M)-140 (1.1 equiv.), LiHMDS ( 1.1 equiv.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 19 \%$; c) ( $M, M$ )-143 ( 0.55 equiv.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, no product observed.

Scheme 38: Synthesis of helically chiral Ru-NHC complex (-)-(M)-147.

## Pd-Complexes

Pd-PEPPSI type complexes are less sterically crowded around the metal center than the Ru complexes shown in Scheme 36 and 37. Therefore they provide more space for the coordination of bulky carbene ligands. The synthesis of Pd-complex (+)-( $P, P$ )148 with two helicene moieties was accomplished starting from the Ag-NHC complex ( $P, P, P, P$ )-141 by transmetallation onto Pd , followed by the reaction with 3chloropyridine to afford $(+)-(P, P)-148$ in good yield (Scheme 39). The purification of
the crude mixture of $(-)-(P, P)-148$ was difficult due to its decomposition during filtration through silica gel, which is typically applied for PEPPSI type complexes. ${ }^{114}$ Fortunately, clean material of $(+)-(P, P)-148$ could be obtained by precipitation with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ heptane out of the reaction mixture followed by filtration and recrystallization from $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$.

a) i) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), ( $P, P, P, P$ )- 141 ( 0.52 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 48 h ii) 3-chloropyridine ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$.

Scheme 39: Synthesis of Pd complex (+)-( $P, P$ )-148 with two helicene moieties.

The synthesis of Pd-complex $(-)-(M)-149$ was feasible by applying the same protocol (Scheme 40). Ag-NHC complex ( $M, M$ )-143 (Scheme 35) was subjected to transmetallation onto Pd followed by the reaction with 3-chloropyridine. After precipitation of crude product and recrystallization, clean material of the desired PdPEPPSI complex (-)-(M)-149 was obtained in good yield.

a) i) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( MeCN$)_{2}$ (1.0 equiv.), ( $M, M$ )-143 ( 0.55 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ ii) 3 -chloropyridine ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 4 h, $80 \%$.

Scheme 40: Synthesis of Pd complex $(-)-(M)-149$ with one helicene moiety.

### 3.4 Enantioselective Cyclotrimerization with In Situ Generated NiComplexes

Following a recently published procedure by Starý and Stará et al., the asymmetric induction by the novel imidazolium salts $(-)-(M, M)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136$ in enantioselective $[2+2+2]$ cyclotrimerization was investigated (Scheme 41). ${ }^{28}$ Thus, triyne 11 was reacted with an in situ generated $\mathrm{Ni}(0)-\mathrm{NHC}$ complex which catalyzed the conversion to dibenzo[6]helicene $(+)-(P)-14$ with an enantiomeric excess. By employing the imidazolium salts $(-)-(M, M)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136,(+)-(P)-14$ was obtained in $35 \%$ ee ( $70 \%$ yield) and $10 \%$ ee ( $50 \%$ yield), respectively. The conversion was complete in both cases (according to TLC). The lower yield obtained with (-)-(M,M)-135 probably resulted from two column chromatography separations needed to purify $(+)-(P)-14$, whereas one column chromatography was sufficient to obtain pure material of $(+)-(P)-14$ in the case of utilizing $(-)-(M, M)-136$. The lower ee value observed for $(-)-(M, M)$ - 136 gives information that steric bulk in the $C^{5,6}$ positions of the helicene scaffold in the in situ formed $\mathrm{Ni}(0)-\mathrm{NHC}$ complex is probably disadvantageous for this transformation. Non-symmetric imidazolium salts gave lower ee values in all reported ${ }^{28}$ cases, so $(-)-(M)-140$ or $(-)-(M)-142$ were not employed.


a) (-)-(M)-135 or (-)-(M)-136 ( 0.44 equiv.), $\mathrm{EtMgCl}\left(0.92\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv.), THF, $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$ with (-)$(M, M)-135(35 \%$ ee) and $50 \%$ with $(-)-(M, M)-136$ ( $10 \%$ ee).

Scheme 41: Enantioselective cyclotrimerization with $(-)-(M, M)-135$ and $(-)-(M, M)-136$.

### 3.5 Investigations with Ru-Complex (-)-(M)-147

## Catalytic Activity

In order to evaluate the catalytic activity of the novel catalyst $(-)-(M)-147$ in metathesis reactions, it was compared to a structurally similar and commercially available Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst of the second generation (156). The substrates were chosen from those ones commonly used to evaluate the efficiency of olefin metathesis catalysts published by Grubbs and co-workers. ${ }^{133}$

a) (-)-(M)-147 or $\mathbf{1 5 6}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Scheme 42: Activity tests of Ru-complex (-)-(M)-147.

All reactions were conducted in deuterated toluene in an NMR-tube and the conversion was monitored by NMR-spectroscopy. Scheme 42 shows the test reactions which were performed to see the activity of catalyst (-)-(M)-147 in ring closing metathesis. In all cases the novel catalyst (-)-(M)-147 was much less active than the commercially available catalyst 156. The formation of disubstituted double bonds in ring systems was tested by RCM of 150 to 151 (Figure 13, (-)-(M)-147 (150) and 156 (150)). Full conversion was achieved after 20 min with catalyst ( - )-(M)-147. The conversion of 152 to 153 shows the activity towards the formation of trisubstituted double bonds, which is slower due to the steric hindrance of the
additional methyl group ((-)-(M)-147 (152) and 156 (152)). Conversion over 95\% was achieved after 30 min with catalyst $(-)-(M)-147$. Activity in enyne metathesis was tested by converting dienyne 154 to cyclic diene $155((-)-(M)-147$ (154) and 156 (154)). Conversion over $95 \%$ was achieved after 24 min with catalyst ( - )-(M)-147. The reduced activity of catalyst (-)-(M)-147 compared to catalyst 156 does not necessarily present a disadvantage. Slower reaction rates might be beneficial with regard to higher selectivities in asymmetric transformations.


Legend of the curves: catalyst (substrate).

Figure 13: Activity tests of Ru-complex (-)-(M)-147 compared to 156.

The activity of catalyst $(-)-(M)-147$ in cross metathesis (CM) was tested in the reaction of allylbenzene (157) with olefin 158 (Scheme 43). The reactivity of complex $(-)-(M)-147$ was again compared with the reactivity of complex 156 . Both catalysts gave good yields and selectivities towards the thermodynamically preferred $E$ isomer.

a) 158 (16.7 equiv.), (-)-(M)-147 or 156 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), toluene, $0.2 \mathrm{M}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ with (-)-(M)-147 (E/Z = $9: 1$ ) and $77 \%$ with $156(E / Z=10: 1)$.

Scheme 43: Activity test in cross metathesis.

## Application in Asymmetric Olefin Metathesis

As explained in Scheme 10, an asymmetric olefin metathesis usually includes a desymmetrization operation. A typical substrate to test the stereoinduction of a new chiral metathesis catalyst in ARCM is the prochiral triene 160 (Table 1). ${ }^{103}$ A solvent screening (entries 1-6) identified THF as the best solvent regarding both conversion and enantioselectivity. Under optimized conditions, the novel helically chiral catalyst $(-)-(M)-147$ transformed allyl ether 160 to (R)-161 with 83\% conversion and 60\% ee (entry 5). The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer obtained was determined to be ( $R$ ) (see Chapter 5). An increase in the catalyst loading did not improve the enantiomeric excess (entry 7), while lower catalyst loadings (entry 8-10) resulted in incomplete conversions. Notably, the use of $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $(-)-(M)-147$ still catalyzed the reaction resulting in more than $50 \%$ conversion of 160 (entry 9). The in situ formation of other halides of the catalytically active complex proved to be beneficial for some reported systems, especially the exchange of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with $\mathrm{I}^{-}$(e.g. 57, Figure 11). ${ }^{103}$ In case of $(-)-(M)-147$, no positive effect could be observed after the addition of halide salts (entries 11-13). In all cases, a dramatic decrease in conversion was observed and even a loss of enantioselectivity with NaI (entry 11) was noticed.

Table 1: ARCM catalyzed by (-)-(M)-147.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(-)-(M)-$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 147 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a D D }}$. ( 1.0 equiv.). ${ }^{d}$ With addition of NaBr ( 1.0 equiv.). ${ }^{e}$ With addition of CsF ( 1.0 equiv.).

As an example of an AROCM, the prochiral endo-carboxylic anhydride 162 was reacted with styrene (163). ${ }^{134}$ By employing 1 mol\% of (-)-(M)-147 the chiral all-cisconfigured cyclopentane 164 was obtained with $40 \%$ ee, $86 \%$ conversion and high $E$ selectivity. The absolute stereochemistry of 164 was not determined (see Chapter 5).

a) $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ ( 4.0 equiv.), (-)-(M)-147 ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{M}), 86 \%$ conv. $^{a}{ }^{a}$, ee ${ }^{b}=40 \%, E / Z^{c}=95: 5$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase. ${ }^{\text {D D Determined by GC-MS. }}$

Scheme 44: AROCM with (-)-(M)-147.

## Structural and Mechanistic Investigations of the Ru Complex (-)-(M)-147

To gain further insight into the structural behavior of the novel catalyst (-)-(M)-147, a ROESY NMR experiment and DFT structure optimization were performed. The NOE interactions in the complex $(-)-(M)-147$ are displayed in Figure 14. Since the benzylidene proton interacts through space only with a methyl group of the mesityl substituent (NOE 1), it can be concluded that the carbene-Ru bond is not freely rotating. The helicene substituent bound to the other nitrogen atom shows NOEs between the hydrogen atoms at the $C^{3,4}$ positions and a methyl group of the isopropoxy unit (NOE 2, 3). Furthermore, the hydrogen atoms at the $\mathrm{C}^{1,13}$ positions of the helicene interact with the hydrogen atoms at the $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ position of the NHC backbone (NOE 4, 5). These interactions reveal that the benzene ring of the helicene connected to the nitrogen atom is twisted around the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$-bond out of the plane perpendicular to the NHC-ring. The suggested preferred conformation of $(-)-(M)-147$ was confirmed by DFT calculations (Figure 15, left). Several conformers were explored and the global minimum of the complex was found to be in good agreement with the solution based NMR study, giving support for all NOE interactions.

To calculate the buried volume, i.e. the space occupied by the new carbene ligand in $(-)-(M)-147$, a topographic steric map (Figure 15, right) was generated using SambVca 2.0 web tool. ${ }^{135}$ Topographic steric maps present a useful way of displaying the steric demands of a ligand bound to a metal center, thereby giving
information about the steric hindrance in the regions relevant for the catalytic process. The coordination sphere is visualized as a projection along the z-axis through the Ru metal center coming from the oxygen atom of the isopropoxy unit. The steric extension along this axis is illustrated by iso-contour curves, with red color indicating high and blue color low steric demand of the ligand. The overall \%Vbur value of the helically chiral NHC ligand of $(-)-(M)-147$ was calculated to be 33.6. For the structurally similar complex 156 and a derivative of its unsaturated IMes-

$(-)-(M)-147$

Figure 14: NOE interactions of in complex (-)-(M)-147. analogue $\% V_{\text {bur }}$ values of 33.7 and 31.9 , respectively, were reported. ${ }^{79}$ For the complexes, where unsymmetrical NHC ligands do not freely rotate around the metal-carbene bond, the overall $\% V_{\text {bur }}$ is only of limited relevance. Therefore, the \%Vbur values were also calculated for the individual quadrants of the topographic steric map. Northeast (NE), northwest (NW) and southwest (SW) quadrants provide similar $\% \mathrm{~V}_{\text {bur }}$ values (from 30.4 to 32.0 ). The southeast (SE) quadrant is of importance as it is significantly more congested $\left(\% V_{\text {bur }}=40.5\right)$ than the other three. According to the NOE interactions and DFT based model, this is a result of the proton in the $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ position of the helicene pointing toward the coordination sphere of the metal center.

${ }^{a}$ View from the $z$-axis onto the $x-y$-plane. All scales are in $\AA$.
Figure 15: DFT calculated model (left) and topographic steric map ${ }^{a}$ of $(-)-(M)-147$ (right).

The stereoinduction in ARCM was discussed at length by Costabile and Cavallo ${ }^{136}$ and later reviewed by Blechert et al. ${ }^{137}$ Although, the mechanism is described for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric complexes such as 56 and 57 (Figure 11), an analogy for the catalyst (-)-$(M)$-147 can be drawn. The same out-of-plane twist of the aryl substituents on the nitrogen atoms in 56 or $\mathbf{5 7}$, which results in a steric congestion of the Ru-coordination sphere caused by the ortho proton, was also observed in complex (-)-(M)-147, vide infra.

Scheme 45 shows the mechanism of the ARCM of prochiral triene 160 catalyzed by $(-)-(M)-147$. Initially, a 14 valence electron species (I) is formed after dissociation of the ether chelating moiety of $(-)-(M)-147$. Then the least substituted olefin of triene 160 is coordinated regioselectively and intermediate II is formed. II undergoes [2+2] cycloaddition (III) and cycloreversion (IV). The chirality installed within the formed complex IV leads to a selective reaction with one of the prochiral enantiofaces of the triene, setting up the desired stereocenter in V. Subsequent cycloreversion releases the enantiomerically enriched product 161 with a preferred $(R)$-configuration and the active 14 valence electron complex $\mathbf{I}$.
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Scheme 45: Mechanism of ARCM mediated by chiral Ru-catalyst ( - )-(M)-147.

Potentially any step can be involved in the enantiodetermination of $(R)$-161. Following the model proposed by Costabile and Cavallo, the focus is centered on intermediates IV and V. The Newman projection in Scheme 46 shows the influence of the out-of-plane rotated protons (red and blue) which are forced in this conformation due to the helicene moiety (for explanation see Figure 14 and Figure 15). The red proton is bent down to the equatorial plane margining one of the enantiofaces of the alkylidene double bond within the coordination sphere of the complex. Thus, two energetically different stereoisomers of intermediate IV can be formed. IV-a and IV-b differ in their orientation of the bound olefin substrate. The substrate can either orient in proximity to the proton pointing down (red, see also

Figure 15 SE quadrant), resulting in isomer IV-a, or in proximity to the proton pointing up (blue, see also Figure 15 NE quadrant), resulting in IV-b. Due to minimized steric interaction of the methylene moiety of the olefin (orange arrow) with the nearby proton (blue) the formation of structure IV-b is kinetically favored.


IV-a


IV-a


Scheme 46: Ligand orientation within the catalyst-substrate complex IV.

In the study by Costabile and Cavallo it was also suggested that the chiral orientation, resulting from the interaction described in Scheme 46, selects one of the prochiral enantiofaces of the olefin through a well defined folding of the complex. Initiated by the preferred formation of IV-b (Scheme 46), the five-membered ring in V can be closed by reversible cycloaddition with either of the two pedant double bonds, leading to two possible, energetically different olefin structures (Figure 16). The unbound olefin moiety in $\mathbf{V}$ can either be located in the axial ( $\mathbf{V}$-axial) or equatorial (V-equatorial) position of the five-membered ring. The equatorial position is oriented into an empty area, which is substantially away from all the other groups of the system. The axial position is at short distance from the proton (green) of the CH
group of the reacting $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond and the chlorine atom. ${ }^{136}$ Due to reduced steric interactions, V-equatorial, where the uncoordinated olefin is located in the equatorial position, is favored over $\mathbf{V}$-axial.


Figure 16: Folding of the catalyst-substrate complex $\mathbf{V}$.

With the energetically preferred structures IV-b and V-equatorial in the catalytic cycle, formation of an excess of the $(R)$ enantiomer of chiral olefin 161 can be expected in the reaction mediated by $(-)-(M)-147$ (Scheme 47), which is in agreement with the experiment. On the other hand, exchange of the chloro ligands by larger iodo ligands should further increase the strain in V-axial due to steric interactions between the halide and the proton (green) mentioned above and, therefore, lead to higher enantioselectivity, which was not observed in the case of $(-)-(M)-147$. Collins et al. also reported drop in enantioselectivity by employing Nal as an additive together with their $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric catalyst in the RCM of triene $160 .{ }^{138}$ They proposed a rotation around the NHC-Ru bond, observed at ambient temperature for their catalyst, to be the cause of the decreased ee values of product 161.


Scheme 47: Formation of $(R)-161$.

## Ru-Catalyzed Formation of Helicene

Inspired by a study by Blechert and Peters, who reported the Ru-catalyzed isomerization of triynes to benzene derivatives, ${ }^{139}$ catalyst $(-)-(M)-147$ was applied in the synthesis of dibenzo[6]helicene 14. Blechert proposed a cascade of four metathesis reactions as a mechanistic explanation. First, the more reactive catalyst 156 was tested to see if the procedure is also suitable for the formation of helicenes. The desired dibenzo[6]helicene was obtained in low yield after 24 h at ambient temperature (Scheme 48). When (-)-(M)-147 was employed as a catalyst, the reaction conditions had to be changed and, after 19 h at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the desired compound 14 was also obtained with only low conversion. Moreover, an HPLC analysis of the mixture revealed that helicene 14 was obtained in a racemic form.

a) 156 (10 mol\%), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \%$; b) (-)-(M)-147 (10 mol\%), toluene, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \%$ conversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $0 \%$ $\mathrm{ee}^{b}$ ). ${ }^{a}$ According to TLC analysis. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 48: Ru-catalyzed synthesis of dibenzo[6]helicene 14.

### 3.6 Investigations with Pd-Complexes

In order to investigate the stereoinduction of the novel Pd-PEPPSI complexes (+)$(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$, the asymmetric Suzuki-Miyaura coupling was chosen as a test reaction (Scheme 49). Common substrates for such a study are naphthylhalides (165 or 166) and naphthylboronic acid 167, which react to rotationally stable biaryl 168. ${ }^{116,117}$ First, the reaction conditions reported by Kündig et al. ${ }^{116}$ were found to give incomplete conversion of 165 (checked by TLC) and were then slightly changed (a $1: 1$ ratio of dioxane/water was changed to $9: 1$ ) in order to increase the solubility of the reactants. That resulted in a full conversion of 165 and the desired biaryl 168 was obtained in $86 \%$ yield employing commercially available Pd-PEPPSI complex 60 (Figure 17).

When the helically chiral catalysts $(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$ were used, the bromo compound 165 was found to be unreactive and was thus replaced by the iodo derivative 166. When complex (-)-(M)-149 was applied in the process, biaryl 168 was obtained with $27 \%$ conversion of 166. Disappointingly, no asymmetric induction was observed and binaphthyl 168 was obtained as a racemate. In addition to that, formation of homocoupling by-product 169 was also observed by GC-MS. As the optimization of the reaction conditions for a catalyst with no initial stereoinduction at all is a desperate venture, complex (-)-(M)-149 was dismissed from further investigations. Complex (+)-( $P, P$ )-148 seemed to be more promising with regard to stereoinduction due to the larger chiral NHC with two helicene moieties. When (+)$(P, P)-148$ was tested under the same conditions (b), no conversion of 166 was observed. Only homocoupling by-product 169 was obtained according to GC-MS. After optimization of the solvent system and base, complex $(+)-(P, P)-148$ was found to mediate the reaction of iodide 166 to biaryl 168 in $91 \%$ conversion (c). Unfortunately, 168 was obtained again as a racemate, which leads to the conclusion that complex $(+)-(P, P)-148$ also shows no stereoinduction at all in the studied reaction.

a) 165, 167 (1.5 equiv.), $\mathbf{6 0}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), KOH ( 3.0 equiv.) dioxane/water $9: 1, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$; b) 166, 167 ( 1.5 equiv.), (+)-( $P, P$ )-148 or ( - )-( $M$ )-149 ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{KOH}(3.0$ equiv.) dioxane-water $9: 1, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 0 \%$ conversiona with $(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $27 \%$ conversion ${ }^{a}\left(0 \% e^{b}\right)$ with ( -$\left.)-(M)-149 ; ~ c\right) ~ 166,167(2.0$ equiv.), (+)-( $P, P$ )- 148 ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), n-$ Bu4NF ( 3.0 equiv.), DMPU/water $10: 1,60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$ conversion ${ }^{a}\left(0 \% \mathrm{ee}^{b}\right)$. ${ }^{a}$ Determined by GC-MS. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 49: Suzuki-Miyaura coupling catalyzed by (+)-( $P, P$ )-148 and (-)-(M)-149.

Since there are only two successful catalysts of this type published so far, ${ }^{116,117}$ it seems too early to compare catalyst designs and draw conclusions in order to explain the failure of the novel complexes $(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$ (Figure 17). What can easily be compared is the $\% V_{\text {bur }}$ value. The two successfully stereoinducing catalysts 65 and 66 exhibit $\% \mathrm{~V}_{\text {bur }}$ values of 35.9 and 42.2 (Table 2, entries 3 and 4$)$. The \% $V_{\text {bur }}$ values for complexes $(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$ were
obtained in the same way as described for the Ru complex (-)-(M)-147 (Figure 15), both equal 32.7 and are much lower in comparison with entries 1 and 2 . This reduced asymmetric congestion around the coordination sphere of the Pd center might provide an explanation for the missing stereoinduction. Moreover, Organ and coworkers reported that more bulky substituents on the nitrogen atoms (e.g. entry 6 compared to entry 5) can be beneficial for the activity of the catalyst. ${ }^{140}$ The relatively low \%Vbur values of complexes $(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$, therefore, might give an explanation for their reduced activity in Suzuki-Miyaura cross coupling.


NHC:

$(+)-(P, P)-148, X=\mathrm{Cl}$

$(-)-(M)-149, X=\mathrm{Cl}$



64, $X=C l$


170, $X=C l$

Figure 17: Selected Pd-PEPPSI complexes.

Table 2: \%Vbur values for selected Pd-PEPPSI complexes.

| entry | complex | Pd-NHC $[\AA]$ | \%Volbur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $(+)-(P, P)-\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | 1.980 | 32.7 |
| 2 | $(-)-(M)-\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | 1.990 | 32.7 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{6 5}^{116}$ | 2.000 | 35.9 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{6 6}^{117}$ | 1.971 | 42.2 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{6 0}^{116}$ | 2.000 | 34.3 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 7 0}^{116}$ | 2.000 | 37.9 |

## 4. Conclusion and Outlook

A versatile approach to fully aromatic 2-amino[6]helicenes $(-)-(M)-69$ and $(-)-(M)-118$ was developed (Figure 18). Helicene 69 was obtained in both enantiomeric forms ((-$)-(M)$ and $(+)-(P))$ on a multigram scale. Full stereocontrol could not be obtained in the case of amino[6]helicene derivative 143. Employing 69, the first helically chiral Ru $((-)-(M)-147)$ and $\operatorname{Pd}((+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149)$ NHC complexes have been synthesized and characterized. (-)-(M)-147 has shown a promising stereoinduction in asymmetric olefin metathesis reactions. An NMR study and DFT-calculations suggest that complex $(-)-(M)-147$ is conformationally rigid and forced in a preferred conformation, which creates an asymmetric steric congestion in the coordination sphere of the Ru atom. Pd-PEPPSI type complexes (-)-(M)-149 and (+)-(P,P)-148 were found to give no stereoinduction in asymmetric Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

$(-)-(M)-69$

$(-)-(M)-118$


143

$(+)-(P, P)-148$

Figure 18: Overview of successfully synthesized compounds.

With the synthesis of these new helically chiral amines and respective NHC complexes, a new field of research has been opened. Due to the promising results with (-)-(M)-147 in asymmetric olefin metathesis, different helically chiral Ru-NHC complexes should be prepared in the future and compared to study the influence of certain structural features of the helicene skeleton on enantioinduction. First ideas about the enantiocontrol were discussed and the respective mechanism was
proposed. $(-)-(M)-149$ and $(+)-(P, P)-148$ should be tested in different coupling reactions to find a suitable application.

## 5. Experimental

General: Melting points were determined on Mikro-Heiztisch Polytherm A (Hund, Wetzlar) apparatus and are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured at 300 $\mathrm{MHz}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, and 600 MHz , the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra at $75 \mathrm{MHz}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$, 126 MHz , and 151 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ as indicated in 5 mm PFG probe. For standardization of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, the internal signal of TMS ( $\delta 0.0$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) or residual signals of solvents ( $\delta 5.32$ for $\mathrm{CHDCl}_{2}, \delta 7.26$ for $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\delta 7.00$ or 2.09 for toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) were used. In the case of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra, the residual signals of solvents ( $\delta 77.16$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 54.00$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) were used. The chemical shifts are given in $\delta$-scale, the coupling constants $J$ are given in Hz . For the complete assignment of both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of key compounds, COSY, HSQC and HMBC experiments were performed. The IR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ on FT-IR spectrometer Bruker Equinox 55 or neat on FT-IR spectrometer Nicolet 6700 with the ATR unit Smart Performer from Thermo Electron Corporation. The El mass spectra were determined at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV , recorded in the positive ion mode, and the $m / z$ values are given along with their relative intensities (\%). The sample was dissolved in chloroform, loaded into a quartz cup of the direct probe and inserted into the ion source. The source temperature was $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For exact mass measurement, the spectrum was internally calibrated using perfluorotri-n-butylamine (Heptacosa). The ESI mass spectra were recorded using ZQ micromass mass spectrometer (Waters) equipped with an ESCi multi-mode ion source and controlled by MassLynx software. Alternatively, the low resolution ESI mass spectra were recorded using a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometer (Q-Tof micro, Waters) and high resolution ESI mass spectra using a hybrid FT mass spectrometer combining a linear ion trap MS and the Orbitrap mass analyzer (LTQ Orbitrap XL, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The conditions were optimized for suitable ionization in the ESI Orbitrap source (sheath gas flow rate 35 a.u., aux gas flow rate $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. of nitrogen, source voltage 4.3 kV , capillary voltage 40 V , capillary temperature $275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, tube lens voltage 155 V ). The samples were dissolved in methanol and applied by direct injection. As a mobile phase was used $80 \%$ methanol (flow rate $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. MALDI spectra were recorded using UltrafleXtreme ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany) operated in reflectron mode. Samples were prepared using dried droplet method with 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid matrix. Desorption and ionization was
accomplished by a Smartbeam laser (Nd:YAG 355 nm ) operated at 1 kHz with optimized delayed extraction time. Positive ions were accelerated by voltage of 25 kV . Data were collected by means of FlexControl software. The APCI mass spectra were recorded using an LTQ Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific) hybrid mass spectrometer equipped with an APCI ion source. The APCI vaporizer and heated capillary temperatures were set to $400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively; the corona discharge current was $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~A}$. Nitrogen served both as the sheath and auxiliary gas at flow rate 55 and 5 arbitrary units, respectively. The ionization conditions were the same for low-resolution as well as high-resolution experiment. The HR spectra were acquired at a resolution of 100000 . The Cl mass spectra in the positive ion mode were recorded using an orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer GCT Premier (Waters) coupled to a 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent). The ion source temperature $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the electron energy 70 eV and methane as the reagent gas were used. The spectra were internally calibrated using tris(trifluoromethyl)triazine. UV/Vis spectra were recorded on SPECORD 250 PLUS (Analytik Jena AG) with pure solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ for HPLC) as a baseline. Optical rotations were measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ using an Autopol IV instrument (Rudolph Research Analytical). The CD spectra were acquired on a J-815 CD spectrometer (Jasco Analytical Instruments, Inc.) in THF ( $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ solutions) using 10 mm quartz sample cell. HPLC analyses were performed using an instrument consisting of an isocratic HPLC pump (Knauer Smartline 1000), a variable wavelength UV detector (Knauer Smartline 2500), a polarimetric detector (Chiralyser LED 426 nm , IBZ Messtechnik) and a PC workstation with Clarity software (Dataapex). Chiral GC-MS analysis was performed on a 5975C/7890A apparatus (Agilent Technologies) with a CP-Chirasil-Dex CB column ( $25 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 7$ inch cage).TLC was performed on Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$-coated aluminium sheets (Merck) and spots were detected by an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \%)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right)_{4}(2 \%)$ in sulphuric acid (10\%). The flash chromatography was performed on Silica gel 60 (0.040-0.063 mm, Merck) or on Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ KP- C18-HS SNAP cartridges using Isolera One HPFC system (Biotage, Inc.). Biotage Initiator EXP EU (300 W power) was used for a reaction carried out in microwave reactor. $N, N$-Diisopropylamine was distilled from calcium hydride under nitrogen, THF was distilled from sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen, toluene was distilled from sodium under nitrogen, and dichloromethane was distilled from phosphorous pentoxide under nitrogen. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ -

Dimethylformamide was taken from Sure/Seal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ bottles with molecular sieves (purchased from Sigma Aldrich). All other commercially available catalysts and reagent grade materials were used as received. The following test substrates and starting materials were synthesized following previously published procedures:
iodonaphthalene 166, ${ }^{141}$ iodonaphtalene $(S)-82,{ }^{31}$ boronic acid 113, ${ }^{26}$ iodonaphthalene $124,{ }^{26}$ formamide $137,{ }^{73}$ triyne $11,{ }^{26}$ dienes $152{ }^{142}$ and $150,{ }^{142}$ enyne $154,{ }^{143}$ triene 160. ${ }^{105}$

## $N, N$-Dibenzyl-3-iodoaniline $\mathbf{7 6}^{144}$



A flask was charged with aniline 75 ( $3.286 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $10.365 \mathrm{~g}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) in a mixture of ethanol-water ( 30 $\mathrm{mL}, 1: 1$ ) and benzyl bromide ( $10.262 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 3 h and at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The white precipitate was filtered off on a frit and washed with water ( 10 mL ) and ethanol ( 10 mL ). The precipitate and the filtrate were worked up separately. The precipitate was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 15 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and solvent was removed in vacuo to give a portion of the benzylated aniline 76 ( $5.989 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a white solid. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic portions were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a brownish oil, to which ethanol ( 100 mL ) was added. After 2 d in a fridge, the formed precipitate was filtered off on a frit and washed with ethanol ( 10 mL ) to provide a second portion of the benzylated aniline 76 ( $498 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ) as a white solid. M.p.: 117 $-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.28-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 6.99 (dd, $J=2.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-$ $6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 150.49,137.88,130.73$, 128.86, 127.22, 126.71, 125.86, 121.12, 111.86, 95.75, 54.03. IR (neat): 3081 vw , 3062 vw, 3022 w, 3000 vw, 2918 w, 2859 w, $1580 \mathrm{~s}, 1488 \mathrm{~s}, 1352 \mathrm{~m}, 979 \mathrm{~m}, 944 \mathrm{~m}$, $760 \mathrm{~s}, 731 \mathrm{~s}, 693 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EI MS: 399 ( $\mathrm{M}^{++}, 18$ ), 308 (7), 180 (11), 91 (100), 65 (20). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NI} 399.0484$, found 399.0475 .

## 4-(Dibenzylamino)-2-iodobenzaldehyde 77

 dimethylformamide ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and phosphoryl chloride ( $7.876 \mathrm{~mL}, 84.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.5$ equiv.) was slowly added. The reaction was warmed to room temperature, stirred at this temperature for 1 h , and then cooled again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this mixture, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzyl-3iodoaniline 76 ( $5.190 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added as a suspension in dimethylformamide ( 10 mL ). After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 5 h . The cooled solution was poured into ice water, basified with a NaOH solution ( 3 N ), extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dichloromethane (3 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic phases were combined, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether $10: 1$ to $2: 1$ to $0: 1$ ) to afford benzaldehyde 77 ( $5.278 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid. M.p.: $106-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.79$ (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.42-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 194.14,154.19,136.33,131.60,129.13,127.72,126.51,124.69$, 122.52, 112.12, 104.36, 53.97. IR (neat): 3084 vw, 3050 vw, 3017 vw, $2942 \mathrm{w}, 2830$ w, $2733 \mathrm{vw}, 1660 \mathrm{~m}, 1575 \mathrm{~s}, 1503 \mathrm{~m}, 1449 \mathrm{~s}, 1401 \mathrm{w}, 1359 \mathrm{w}, 1230 \mathrm{~s}, 1013 \mathrm{~m}, 728$ s, $694 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EI MS: 427 (M+15), 336 (7), 180 (4), 91 (100), 65 (15). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ONI} 427.0433$, found 427.0441 .

## rac-1-[4-(Dibenzylamino)-2-iodophenyl]-4-[tris(1-methylethyl)silyl]but-3-yn-1-ol

 78

A solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, 6.3 mL , $15.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon to a solution of (triisopropylsilyl)propyne ( $3.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 18 mL ). After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 40 min reaching room temperature. Then the mixture was cooled again to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of benzaldehyde $77(6.41 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 15 mL ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After completion (checked by TLC), it was quenched with an HCl solution (1 M ). The layers were
separated and the aqueous one was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to afford the alkynol rac-78 ( $8.997 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.37-7.31$ (m, 4H), $7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18$ (m, 5H), 6.73 (dd, $J=8.8,2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.96 (ddd, $J=7.2,5.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (dd, $J=16.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.60 (dd, $J=16.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.79,137.90,131.97,128.88,127.36,127.25$, 126.75, 122.34, 112.78, 104.39, 99.39, 84.09, 75.23, 54.03, 29.63, 18.78, 11.36. IR (neat): $3089 \mathrm{vw}, 3064 \mathrm{vw}, 3030 \mathrm{w}, 2922 \mathrm{~m}, 2863 \mathrm{~m}, 1598 \mathrm{~s}, 1502 \mathrm{~m}, 1453 \mathrm{~m}, 1228$ w, 1015 w, 731 w, 677 w cm$^{-1}$. EI MS: 623 (M ${ }^{+\cdot}, 22$ ), 428 (44), 181 (9), 91 (100), 75 (11), 43 (11). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{ON}$ Ni 623.2080 , found 623.2096 .

## rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-3-iodo-4-\{1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-[tris(1-methylethyl)silyl]but-3-yn-1-yl\}-aniline 79



A Schlenk flask was charged with a solution of alkynol rac-78 ( $5.831 \mathrm{~g}, 9.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 65 mL ) and 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.940$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.), and chloromethyl methyl ether ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) were subsequently added, the flask was closed with a glass stopper and a solution was stirred at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Afterward, the reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 30 mL ), the layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give MOM-ether rac-79 (5.682 g, 93\%) as a white solid. M.p.: $89-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-$ 0.98 (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.65,137.80,130.79,128.89,128.22$, 127.31, 126.87, 122.39, 113.06, 104.75, 100.51, 94.76, 82.32, 79.40, 55.89, 54.14, 28.18, 18.79, 11.43. IR (neat): $3087 \mathrm{vw}, 3063 \mathrm{vw}, 3027 \mathrm{vw}, 2940 \mathrm{~m}, 2864 \mathrm{~m}, 1462 \mathrm{w}$, $1149 \mathrm{~m}, 1097 \mathrm{~m}, 1026 \mathrm{~s}, 919 \mathrm{w}, 882 \mathrm{~m}, 809 \mathrm{~m}, 753 \mathrm{w}, 677 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$. EI MS: $667\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$,
1), 472 (9), 412 (7), 145 (7), 117 (7), 91 (100), 71 (11), 57 (11), 45 (2). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NISi} 667.2337$, found 667.2387 .

## rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)-3((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)aniline 80



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodo compound rac79 (134 mg, 0.2 mmol$), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and flushed with nitrogen. Then diisopropylamine ( 2 mL ) was added and the mixture was degassed by three freeze pump thaw cycles. (Trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $0.034 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacou and the crude product purified by column chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 10:1) to give diyne rac-80 (127 mg, 99\%) as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.63 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (s, 4H), 3.39 (s, 3H), $2.87-2.59$ (m, 2H), $1.10-0.92$ (m, 21H), $0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.88,138.09,130.67,128.82$, 127.21, 127.16, 126.97, 122.68, 115.31, 113.73, 111.23, 110.36, 105.70, 95.09, 81.54, $74.44,55.78,54.01,28.23,18.78,11.43,0.09$. IR: $3058 \mathrm{vw}, 3017 \mathrm{vw}, 2941 \mathrm{~m}$, 2860 m, 2170 w, 1601 m, $1249 \mathrm{~m}, 1150 \mathrm{~m}, 1030 \mathrm{~m}, 842 \mathrm{~s}, 730 \mathrm{w}, 696 \mathrm{~m}, 660 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$. EI MS: 637 (M+•, 18), 442 (32), 382 (2), 338 (2), 310 (2), 246 (1), 219 (1), 149 (2), 145 (9), 117 (9), 91 (100), 73 (45), 59 (16), 45 (23). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{28} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ 637.3766, found 637.3753.

## rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-3-ethynyl-4-\{1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-[tris(1-methylethyl)silyl] but-3-yn-1-yl\}-aniline 81



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodide rac-79 (668 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and flushed with nitrogen. Then diisopropylamine ( 10 mL ) was added and the mixture was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. (Trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added via a syringe
and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude trimethylsilyl alkyne was filtered through a small pad of silica gel (ethyl acetate), and evaporated to dryness. Then the protected alkyne was dissolved in methanol ( 6 mL ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(207 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution (10 mL ), the layers were separated, and the aqueous one extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 10:1) to furnish alkyne rac-81 ( $549 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$, after 2 steps) as a yellow solid. M.p.: 103-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.37-7.27$ (m, 6 H ), $7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.03 - 0.97 (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.49,138.20,131.21$, 128.84, 127.69, 127.17, 126.78, 121.82, 115.82, 113.64, 105.23, 94.91, 82.22, 82.03, 81.06, 74.20, 55.81, 54.01, 28.18, 18.74, 11.41. IR (neat): $3284 \mathrm{w}, 2936 \mathrm{~m}$, 2860 m, 2174 w, 1602 s, 1452 m, 1357 m, 1234 m, 1149 m, 1096 m, 1030 vs, 962 m, $882 \mathrm{~m}, 732 \mathrm{~s}, 696 \mathrm{~s}, 660 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. El MS: 565 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+\cdot}, 44$ ), 370 (100), 310 (9), 280 (2), 248 (2), 155 (3), 91 (44), 71 (9), 57 (15). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NSi}$ 565.3371 , found 565.3370 .

## 1-(Allyloxy)naphthalene $89^{120}$



To a stirred solution of 1-naphthol $90(1.441 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in acetone ( 50 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.764 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and allyl bromide ( $1.038 \mathrm{~mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) were added at room temperature and the mixture was heated under reflux for 2.5 h . After filtration through a small pad of silica gel (hexane), allyl ether 89 (1.767 $\mathrm{g}, 96 \%)$ was obtained as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.37-8.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20$ (ddt, $J=$ $17.2,10.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.54 (ddd, $J=17.3,3.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.36 (dd, $J=10.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.48,134.70,133.50$, 127.58, 126.52, 125.92, 125.74. 125.32, 122.24, 120.53, 117.49, 105.22, 69.09.

## 2-(Prop-2-en-1-yl)naphthalen-1-ol $88^{120}$



Allyl ether 89 ( $1.336 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diethylaniline ( 18 mL ) and heated in a microwave reactor at 250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was treated with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}, 300$ mL ) and extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined org. layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 20:1) to afford naphthol $88(1.190 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a brownish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.37-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 6.20 (ddt, $J=17.2,10.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.54 (dd, $J=17.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36$ (dd, $J$ $=10.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 149.67$, $136.25,133.89,128.54,127.66,125.88,125.41,124.99,121.44,120.51,118.00$, 117.03, 35.79.

## 2-AllyInaphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $91{ }^{145}$



Naphthol 88 ( $3.684 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $3.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv.) and dichloromethane ( 54 mL ) were placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $4.031 \mathrm{~mL}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to ambient temperature and stirred for 20 h . The mixture was evaporated to dryness, the residue dissolved in dichloromethane and all insoluble solids filtered off with a frit. The filtrate was dry-loaded on silica gel and purified by flash chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 20:1) affording triflate 91 ( $4.958 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.24-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.28$, 134.98, 133.94, 130.57, 128.67, 127.99, 127.97, 127.88, 127.29, 126.85, 121.47, 118.91 (q, JCF $=320 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 117.73, 34.68.

## 2-(2,3-Dihydroxypropyl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 92



To a solution of olefin 91 ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NMO (66 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 0.5 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}\left(0.2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.245 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ mol\%) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 h . Then $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ ( $690 \mathrm{mg}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.9$ equiv.) was added and the mixture stirred for another 30 min . Afterward, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 1:1) to afford diol 92 ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) as a beige solid. M.p.: 81 $-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (dd, $J=11.2,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.15-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.88,134.08,129.09,128.74,128.56,127.99$, 127.96, 127.18, 127.01, 121.37, 118.84 (q, J = 320 Hz ), 71.96, 66.25, 34.37. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (282 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta$-73.05. IR: 3356 w, 2928 w, 1402 m, 1207 s, 1134 s, 1020 m, 891 m, $813 \mathrm{~s}, 765 \mathrm{w}, 637 \mathrm{w}, 593 \mathrm{w}, 502 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EI MS: 350 ( ${ }^{++}, 7$ ), 290 (20), 239 (7), 200 (7), 191 (9), 186 (20), 169 (7), 157 (100), 141 (7), 128 (20), 99 (2), 85 (4), 69 (7), 57 (7), 43 (7). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}$ 350.0430, found 350.0423.

## 2-(2-Oxoethyl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $87{ }^{146}$



Diol 92 ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) and a solution of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(214 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The emulsion was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 5:1) to give aldehyde 87 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.11 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.05 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.04,142.77,134.56,129.18$,
128.26 (2C), 128.11, 127.55, 127.31, 123.50, 121.60, 118.79 (q, J = 320.0 Hz ), 45.39.

## rac-2-(2-Hydroxy-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 86



To a solution of diol 92 ( $1.121 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 19 mL ) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\left(1.369 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo to give crude aldehyde 87.
(triisopropylsilyl)acetylene ( $1.436 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and THF ( 14.5 mL ) were added to an oven-dried Schlenk flask and the stirred mixture cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then ethylmagnesium bromide ( 1 M in THF, $6.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h . Afterward, a solution of crude aldehyde 87 in THF ( 5 mL ) was added over a period of 10 min . After stirring the reaction mixture for an additional hour, the reaction was poured into ice water, the mixture was acidified with 1 M HCl sol., the layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined org. layers were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ sol., dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether $5: 1$ ) to obtain alcohol rac-86 ( $1.120 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ after 2 steps) as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10$ (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.78(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.98,134.25,128.69,128.48,128.02,127.93,127.83$, $127.28,127.02,121.65,118.85(q, J=320 \mathrm{~Hz}), 107.20,87.39,62.66,38.77,18.54$, 11.15. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ס-73.12 (s). IR: $3050 \mathrm{vw}, 2921 \mathrm{~m}, 2869 \mathrm{~m}, 1729$ w, 1458 w, 1406 m, 1382 w, $1214 \mathrm{~s}, 1137 \mathrm{~m}, 1028 \mathrm{~m}, 975 \mathrm{w}, 884 \mathrm{~m}, 814 \mathrm{~m}, 758 \mathrm{~s}$, $665 \mathrm{~m}, 585 \mathrm{w}, 503 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$ SSi 523.1557 , found 523.1569.
(R)-1-(1-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-2-yl acetate 94, (S)-2-(2-Hydroxy-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1$y l) n a p h t h a l e n-1-y l$ trifluoromethanesulfonate 86 and (R)-2-(2-Hydroxy-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 86

Alcohol rac-86 (12.740 g, 25.447 mmol ), Novozyme 435 ( 3.65 g ) and molecular sieves $(4 \AA, 25 \mathrm{~g})$ were added to an oven-dried Schlenk flask, which was then flushed with argon. Toluene ( 100 mL ) and isopropenyl acetate ( $13.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 127.235$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) were added subsequently, the flask was sealed with a glass stopper and the reaction mixture stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of celite, the solvent removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 20:1 to $10: 1$ ) to obtain acetate ( $R$ )-94 (6.390 g, 46\%) colorless oil and alcohol (S)-86 (6.05 g, $48 \%$, $>99 \%$ ee) as yellow oil. The absolute configurations of products were established by analogy. ${ }^{31}$

## (R)-1-(1-(((Trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)oxy)naphthalen-2-yl)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-

 2-yl acetate 94

Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}=+36^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.298, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.88$ (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.64,143.03$, 134.33, 128.60, 128.31, 127.95, 127.92, 127.30, 127.17, 127.16, 121.72, 118.86 (d, $J=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 103.26, 88.35, 63.64, 35.87, 21.00, 18.49, 11.10. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 377 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$-73.14 (s).
(S)-2-(2-Hydroxy-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 86


Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=+21^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.315, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Chiral HPLC:
Chiral Art Amylose-SA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, YMC), mobile phase: hexane-isopropanol (95:5), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 6.8 min .


An oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with acetate $(R)$-94 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The solids were suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 3 h at ambient temperature. Hexane ( 5 mL ) was added, the solvents removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (haxane-ethyl acetate 20 :1 to 10 : 1) to afford alcohol ( $R$ )-86 (77 mg, 81\%, >99\% ee) as a colorless oil. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-22^{\circ}$ (c $0.240, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Chiral HPLC: Chiral Art Amylose-SA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{YMC}$ ), mobile phase: hexaneisopropanol (95:5), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 6.3 min .

## (S)-2-(2-(Methoxymethoxy)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate 85



Alcohol (S)-86 (6.048 g, 12.08 mmol$)$ and activated molecular sieves ( $4 \AA, 7.250 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were placed in an ovendried Schlenk flask and flushed with nitrogen. Then dichloromethane ( 24 mL ) and dimethoxymethane ( 2.14 mL , $13.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) were added. Afterward, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred for additional 2 h . After completion (checked by TLC), sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ sol. ( 60 mL ) was added, the phases were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether, 10:1) to afford ether $(S)-85(5.060 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%)$ as a yellow amorphous solid. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-$ $52^{\circ}$ (c 0.303, CHCl3). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (d, J $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.73 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.48-3.29$ (m, 2H), 3.19 (s, 3H), $1.00-0.93$ (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.99,134.21,129.06,128.32$, 128.24, 127.89, 127.77, 127.26, 126.96, 121.67, 118.89 (d, J = 320.0 Hz), 104.81, 94.07, $88.14,65.54,55.72,36.83,18.56,11.18 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-73.14$ (s). IR (CHCl3): $3062 \mathrm{vw}, 2957 \mathrm{~m}, 2945 \mathrm{~s}, 2867 \mathrm{~s}, 2827 \mathrm{w}, 2171 \mathrm{vw}, 1629 \mathrm{vw}, 1604$
w, 1571 vw, 1506 w, 1463 m, $1442 \mathrm{vw}, 1420 \mathrm{~m}, 1406 \mathrm{~s}, 1385 \mathrm{w}, 1366 \mathrm{w}, 1245 \mathrm{~m}$, 1152 m, 1137 vs, 1098 m, 1045 s, 1023 s, 924 w, 885 s, 865 w, 816 s, 680 m, 662 m, $619 \mathrm{kw}, 592 \mathrm{w}, 575 \mathrm{w}, 504 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaSSi}$ 567.1819 , found 567.1819 .

## (-)-N,N-Dibenzyl-4-[(1RS)-(methoxymethoxy)but-3-yn-1-yl]-3-(\{2-[(2S)-2-(methoxy-methoxy)-but-3-yn-1-yl]naphthalen-1-yl\}ethynyl)aniline 96

## Synthesis with iodo building block (S)-83:

A Schlenk flask was charged with iodide (S)-83 (1.229 g, 2.352 mmol$), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and flushed with argon. Then diisopropylamine ( 25 mL , degassed by the three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula. Another Schlenk flask was charged with diyne rac-81 ( $1.597 \mathrm{~g}, 2.822 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.), flushed with argon, and diisopropylamine ( 25 mL , degassed by the three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula. Then a diyne solution was added dropwise via cannula to the iodide solution over 10 min and the resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude intermediate was filtered through a small pad of silica gel (ethyl acetate), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 30 mL ) and a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate ( 1 M in tetrahydrofuran, 7 mL , $7.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then quenched with methanol ( 10 mL ). The solvents were removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $10: 1$ ) to afford triyne ( $R S, S$ )-96 ( $1.412 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$, after 2 steps) as a yellow oil. The product was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture.

Synthesis with triflate building block ( $S$ )-85:
Rac-81 ( $1.839 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask, flushed with argon and dissolved in toluene ( 6 mL ). Ethylmagnesium bromide ( 1 M in THF, $3.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.53$ equiv.) was added slowly at ambient temperature and the mixture stirred for 1 h . In a second oven-dried pressure reactor triflate (S)-85 $(1.089 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed, flushed with argon and dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ). The solution of Grignard reagent was then added to the pressure reactor and the resulting mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . Then the reaction was quenched with
sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ sol. ( 30 mL ), the phases were separated, the aqueous layer extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined org. layers dried over $\mathrm{MaSO}_{4}$ and the solvents removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 26 mL ) and a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate ( 1 M in tetrahydrofuran, 6 $\mathrm{mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and then quenched with methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvents were removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexaneethyl acetate 10:1) to afford triyne ( $R S, S$ )-96 ( $830 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$, after 2 steps) as a yellow oil. The product was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture.


Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-43^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.168, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (a mixture of diastereoisomers): $\delta 8.44$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $7.61-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.80 (dd, J = 8.7, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.53-5.44$ (m, 1H), $4.84-$ 4.73 (m, 2H), $4.73-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.09 - 2.00 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (a mixture of diastereoisomers): $\delta$ 148.65, 138.30, 138.25, 133.67, 132.26, 130.29, 128.87, 128.68, 128.15, 127.49, $127.25,127.00,126.63,126.11,123.02,122.99,120.43,115.85,113.75,97.41$, 94.58, 94.53, 94.28, 94.25, 90.00, 89.95, 82.51, 81.70, 81.65, 74.18, 73.92, 73.78, 69.98, 69.93, 65.82, 55.81, 55.41, 55.39, 54.27, 54.23, 41.72, 27.57. IR (neat): 3288 w, $3059 \mathrm{vw}, 2928$ w, $1599 \mathrm{~m}, 1504 \mathrm{~m}, 1449 \mathrm{w}, 1360 \mathrm{w}, 1204 \mathrm{w}, 1148 \mathrm{~m}, 1097 \mathrm{~m}$, 1019 s, 963 m, 913 m, 810 m, 731 s, 696 m, 646 m, 564 w, 450 w cm${ }^{-1}$. El MS: 647 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+\cdot}, 13\right), 608$ (44), 575 (4), 532 (18), 502 (11), 441 (13), 364 (7), 308 (9), 289 (38), 276 (27), 267 (20), 239 (40), 211 (16), 199 (44), 191 (24), 155 (16), 141 (16), 127 (15), 99 (20), 91 (100), 84 (31), 71 (16), 57 (18), 45 (47). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ 647.3036, found 647.3038.
(+)-N,N-Dibenzyl-4-[(1RS)-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(4-methylphenyl)but-3-yn-1-yl]-3-(\{2-[(2S)-2-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(4-methylphenyl)but-3-yn-1-yl]naphthalen-1yl\}ethynyl)aniline 97


4-Iodotoluene ( $1.413 \mathrm{~g}, 6.483 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(76.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and Cul $(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.216 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were placed in a Schlenk flask and flushed with argon. Diisopropylamine ( 25 mL , degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula and a mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Another Schlenk flask was charged with triyne ( $R S, S$ )-96 (1.4 $\mathrm{g}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), flushed with argon, and diisopropylamine ( 25 mL , degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula. Then the triyne solution was added dropwise to the mixture of 4-iodotoluene and catalyst via cannula over 10 min . The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $5: 1$ ). The desired triyne ( $R S, S$ ) -97 ( $1.675 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) was obtained as a white solid. The product was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture. M.p.: $75-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=+18^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.264, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{a}$ mixture of diastereoisomers): $\delta 8.48$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.82 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.8$ Hz, 1H), $5.03-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.50$ (m, 1H), $3.66-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (a mixture of diastereoisomers): $\delta 148.52,148.45$, 138.48, 138.32, 137.52, 133.67, 132.22, 131.80, 131.60, 129.06, 128.96, 128.81, 128.07, 128.04, 127.48, 127.18, 127.17, 126.99, 126.98, 126.63, 126.01, 123.02, 121.02, 120.49, 119.73, 115.85, 113.66, 97.33, 94.52, 94.30, 90.04, 87.24, 86.72, 86.36, 82.13, 74.29, 74.23, 66.63, 55.77, 55.38, 54.27, 41.84, 28.63, 21.59, 21.53. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3061$ w, 3031 w, 2825 w, 2226 w, 1601 m, 1568 w, 1557 w, 1510 s, 1495 m, 1471 w, 1466 w, 1453 m, 1298 w, 1180 w, 1150 m, 1118 w, 1099 m, 1060 m, 1028 s, 1022 m, $954 \mathrm{w}, 866 \mathrm{w}, 819 \mathrm{~m}, 697 \mathrm{~m}, 543 \mathrm{w}, 459 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ESI MS: $829\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ 828.4047, found 828.4048.

## (-)-(M,5RS,9S)-N,N-Dibenzyl-5,9-bis(methoxymethoxy)-7,8-bis(4-methylphenyl)-5,6,9,10-tetrahydrohexahelicen-2-amine 98



A microwave vial was charged with triyne ( $R S, S$ )-97 (166 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.220 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.), closed with a crimp seal, and flushed with argon. Toluene ( 20 mL ) was added and argon was kept to bubble through the stirring mixture for 10 min . Then it was immersed in an oil bath and stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min. The reaction mixture was cooled down, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the crude product purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give the tetrahydrohelicene (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 (136 mg, 82\%) as a yellow solid. The product was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture. M.p.: $98-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexaneethyl acetate). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-183^{\circ}$ (c 0.360, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.26,2.27,2.28(3 \times \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$, $2.92(2 \times$ $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.98 (dd, $J=16.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.34 (dd, $J=15.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.35, 3.36 ( $2 \times$ $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.81,3.83,3.98,3.99(4 \times \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.221,4.224(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $4.31-4.36$ (m, 4H), 4.58 (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.67 (dd, $J=3.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.80, 4.83 ( $2 \times \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.7,4.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.23 (dd, $J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.80,6.84-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.27$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 7.33, $7.34(2 \times \mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.544,7.546(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.19,21.20,21.23,34.81,35.33,36.90,53.76$, $53.83,55.18,55.43,55.50,69.43,73.05,73.13,73.98,92.65,95.66,96.61,96.73$, 109.46, 110.56, 113.48, 113.60, 121.80, 122.93, 124.20, 124.21, 124.98, 125.08, 126.17, 126.25, 126.40, 126.47, 126.84, 126.85, 127.34, 127.47, 127.55, 127.62, 127.63, 127.65, 127.87, 127.91, 127.95, 127.99, 128.26, 128.31, 128.35, 128.45, 129.48, 129.83, 129.92, 129.94, 130.03, 130.10, 130.12, 130.15, 130.17, 130.22, 130.90, 130.95, 132.41, 132.75, 133.00, 133.04, 133.19, 133.82, 133.96, 134.08, 134.17, 134.59, 135.46, 135.52, 135.67, 135.79, 135.96, 136.06, 136.41, 136.53, 136.68, 136.96, 137.30, 138.41, 138.71, 139.98, 140.07, 140.22, 140.54, 146.10, 147.24. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3080 \mathrm{vw}, 3063 \mathrm{w}, 3051 \mathrm{w}, 3031 \mathrm{w}, 2948 \mathrm{w}, 2927 \mathrm{w}, 2890 \mathrm{w}$, 2845 vw, 2825 w, 1611 m, 1605 m, 1559 w, 1542 vw, 1517 w, 1511 m, 1494 m, 1465
w, 1451 m, 1442 w, 1390 w, 1361 m, 1184 vw, 1147 m, 1120 w, 1095 m, 1076 w, 1035 vs, 1002 vw, 911 w, $864 \mathrm{vw}, 819 \mathrm{w}, 696$ w cm${ }^{-1}$. MALDI MS: 827 ([M] ${ }^{+}$). HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 827.3974$, found 827.3974.

## (-)-(M)-N,N-Dibenzyl-7,8-bis(4-methylphenyl)hexahelicen-2-amine 99



A flask was charged with tetrahydrohelicene (-)-(M,RS,S)-98 ( $2.328 \mathrm{~g}, 2.811 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $5.347 \mathrm{~g}, 28.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv.), and flushed with argon. Toluene ( 75 mL ) was added, the flask sealed with a stopcock, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvents were removed in vacuo, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 40:1) to furnish helicene (-)-(M)-99 (1.863 g, 94\%, >99\% ee) as a yellow solid. Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane-dichloromethane ( $90: 10$ with $0.1 \%$ diethylamine), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 10.28 min . M.p.: $145-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-ethyl acetate). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-1409^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.260, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.92-7.85$ (m, 3H), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.23-7.05$ (m, 14H), 7.03 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.86 (ddd, $J=$ $8.4,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.83-6.76$ (m, 4H), 6.73 (dd, $J=8.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.12-3.98$ (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta 146.25,138.42$, 137.95, 137.29, 137.02, 136.80, 135.94, 135.87, 132.35, 132.02, 131.75, 131.72, $131.50,131.03,130.80,130.73,130.70,128.54,128.46,128.45,128.44,128.40$, 128.36, 128.32, 127.74, 127.64, 127.51, 127.50, 127.20, 126.82, 126.71, 126.60, 126.27, 125.82, 125.56, 124.83, 124.33, 124.10, 120.86, 113.89, 109.25, 53.93, 21.44. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3086 w, 3063 w, 3052 w, 3033 w, 2958 m, 2871 m, 1615 s, 1605 m, 1586 vw, 1564 w, 1521 vs, 1494 m, 1466 m, 1452 m, 1444 w, 1413 vw, 1388 m, 1360 m, 1298 w, 1189 m, 1167 w, 1080 w, 1028 w, 1110 w, 1022 m, 835 m, 830 m, $822 \mathrm{~m}, 700 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. MALDI MS: $703\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}$
703.3239, found 703.2334. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=253$ (4.83), 275 (4.74), 315 nm (4.58). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\operatorname{exc}}=345 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=480 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## $(-)-(M)-7,8-B i s(4-m e t h y l p h e n y l) h e x a h e l i c e n-2-a m i n e ~ 69$



A flask was charged with the protected helicene (-)-(M)-99 ( $739 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium formate ( $1.324 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0$ mmol, 20.0 equiv.), and palladium ( $10 \%$ on activated charcoal, $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). Then ethanol ( 105 mL ) was added and the mixture was sonicated at room temperature for 1 h . Afterward, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was filtered through a short pad of celite (ethyl acetate) to provide aminohelicene ( - )-(M)-69 (533 mg, 97\%, $>99 \%$ ee) as a yellow solid. Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane-dichloromethane ( $3: 1$ with $0.1 \%$ diethylamine), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 16.69 min . M.p.: $299-302{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (heptane). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-2074^{\circ}$ ( C $0.114, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 7.96-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.84$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ $-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (dd, $J=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta$ 144.87, 138.32, 137.74, 137.48, 137.26, 136.71, $136.63,132.75,132.48,132.17,132.14,131.82,131.50,131.43,131.10,131.07$, 130.94, 129.14, 128.92, 128.85, 128.83, 128.75, 128.27, 127.95, 127.90, 127.87, 127.52, 126.94, 126.80, 126.68, 126.17, 126.13, 125.77, 125.12, 124.54, 121.55, 116.76, 111.55, 21.54. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3480 \mathrm{vw}, 3449 \mathrm{vw}, 3379 \mathrm{w}, 3052 \mathrm{w}, 2957 \mathrm{~m}, 1625$ s, 1605 m, 1519 s, 1463 m, 1426 w, 1382 m, 1363 w, 1339 w, 1306 w, 1282 w, 1272 w, 1253 m, 1237 m, 1183 m, 1146 w, $1109 \mathrm{~m}, 1079 \mathrm{w}, 1052 \mathrm{w}, 1040 \mathrm{w}, 1022 \mathrm{~m}, 959$ w, $875 \mathrm{w}, 834 \mathrm{vs}, 818 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ESI MS: $524\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. MALDI MS: $523\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}$ 523.2300, found 523.2295. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=207$ (4.95), 210 (4.94), 248 (4.93), 270 (4.93), 314 (4.65), 335 nm (4.54). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=430 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=487 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## (+)-(P)-7,8-Bis(4-methylphenyl)hexahelicen-2-amine 69



Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane-dichloromethane (3:1 with $0.1 \%$ diethylamine), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 8.14 min (>99\% ee). M.p.: $300-303{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (heptane). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=+2179^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{0.118}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-Bromo-3-iodoaniline $105^{147}$



To a solution of 3-iodoaniline 75 ( $5.476 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 25 mL ) a solution of NBS ( $4.450 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in DMF ( 25 mL ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . Afterward, it was poured into water ( 25 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 4:1) affording bromo aniline 105 ( $5.529 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ) as a brown solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $401 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 146.28, 132.54, 125.96, 116.91, 116.54, 101.42.

## Di-tert-butyl (4-bromo-3-iodophenyl)imidodicarbonate 106

$(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{~N} \quad$ lodoaniline $105(5.065 \mathrm{~g}, 17.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 100 mL ). Subsequently di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $14.841 \mathrm{~g}, 68.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 2 h . After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction was quenched by the addition of brine ( 100 mL ). The phases were separated and an aqueous layer extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $4: 1$ ) affording aniline derivative 106 ( $8.048 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta 7.65$ (d, J = $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (dd, J = 8.5, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.43 (s, 18H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.29,139.77$, 138.90, 132.46, 129.33, 128.72, 100.28, 83.60, 28.06. IR (CHCl3): $2984 \mathrm{~m}, 2873 \mathrm{w}$,

1830 w, 1810 m, 1788 s, 1748 vs, 1709 s, 1578, w, 1558 s, 1476 w, 1458 s, 1395 m, 1372 vs, 1362 m, 1307 s, 1279 s, 1252 s, 1149 vs, 1120 vs, 1104 s, 1078 s, 949 w, $875 \mathrm{~m}, 844 \mathrm{~m}, 816 \mathrm{w}, 657 \mathrm{vw}, 638 \mathrm{vw}, 464 \mathrm{vw}, 436 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. ESI MS: $520\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right.$, with ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$ ). HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{79} \mathrm{BrINa} 519.9591$, found 519.9592.

## Di-tert-butyl (4-bromo-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)imidodicarbonate 107



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodo compound 106 (100 mg, $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( 1.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and flushed with nitrogen. Then diisopropylamine ( 2 mL , degassed by 3 freeze pump thaw circles) and trimethylsilylacetylene ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) were added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed in vacou and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to obtain alkyne 107 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as a white solid.
M.p.: $124-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(401 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.33,138.46,133.07,132.76,129.57,125.91,124.72,102.39$, 100.46, 83.38, 28.05, -0.08. IR: 3020 w, $2983 \mathrm{~m}, 2904 \mathrm{vw}, 2871 \mathrm{vw}, 2161 \mathrm{w}, 1790 \mathrm{~s}$, 1780 m, 1748 s, 1718 m, 1705 m, 1602 w, 1591 w, $1568 \mathrm{vw}, 1476$ w, 1464 m, 1395 m, 1371 s, 1364 m, 1278 s, $1252 \mathrm{~s}, 1152 \mathrm{~s}, 1104 \mathrm{~s}, 1038 \mathrm{w}, 866 \mathrm{~m}, 849 \mathrm{vs}, 701 \mathrm{vw}$, $613 \mathrm{vw}, 618 \mathrm{w}, 466 \mathrm{vw}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{BrC}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ 490.10197, found 490.10225.

## Di-tert-butyl (4-bromo-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)imidodicarbonate 108



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodo compound 106 ( 200 mg , $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cul}(3$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and flushed with nitrogen. Then diisopropylamine ( 4 mL ,) was added and the mixture was degassed by three freeze pump thaw cycles. Triisopropylsilylacetylene ( 0.034 ml , $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacou and the crude product purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to obtain alkyne 108 ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $401 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
7.28 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.95 (dd, $J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.43 (s, 18H), 1.14 (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 151.44,138.34,133.28,132.70,129.35,126.25$, 124.60, 104.17, 97.07, 83.40, 28.03, 18.77, 11.39. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3050 \mathrm{w}, 2982 \mathrm{~s}, 2960$ vs, 2945 vs, 2866 vs, 1789 vs, 1780 vs, 1748 vs, 1721 s, 1705 s, 1601 w, 1592 m, 1567 w, 1479 s, 1465 vs, 1460 s, 1394 s, 1383 s, 1370 vs, 1364 vs, 1277 vs, 1253 vs, 1152 vs, 1120 vs, 1104 vs, $1075 \mathrm{~m}, 1038 \mathrm{~s}, 997 \mathrm{~m}, 883 \mathrm{~s}, 864 \mathrm{~s}, 703 \mathrm{w}, 679 \mathrm{~s}$, $645 \mathrm{~m}, 614 \mathrm{~m}, 464 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{79} \mathrm{BrNaSi} 574.19587$, found 574.19593.

## 4-Bromo-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)aniline 109



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodoaniline 105 (4.47 g, 15.0 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( 115 mg , $0.600 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and flushed with argon. Then diisopropylamine $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was degassed by three freeze pump thaw cycles. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (trimethylsilyl)acetylene ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added via syringe. The cooling bath was removed, the reaction mixture allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and stirred for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $5: 1$ ) to give alkyne 109 ( $3.962 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as a brownish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.50 (dd, J = 8.6, $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 145.39,132.94,125.51,119.74,117.05,113.83,103.40,98.90,0.01$. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3491 w, 3460 vw, 3403 w, 3380 w, 2900 w, 2160 w, 1620 s, 1594 m, 1571 w, 1466 s, 1424 w, 1410 w, 1262 w, 1251 s, 1138 m, 1121 vw, 1032 m, 857 vs, 846 vs, 814 m, 699 w, 465 m cm$^{-1}$. EI MS: 267 (M,$\left.~ 83\right), ~ 252(100), 224$ (5), 172 (13), 158 (10), 130 (28), 109 (9), 89 (4), 77 (5). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ON}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrSi} 267.0079$, found 267.0073.

## tert-Butyl (4-Bromo-3-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)carbamate 110



A flask was charged with alkyne 109 ( $233 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), flushed with argon, and the compound was dissolved in ethanol ( 1.7 mL ). Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), all volatiles were removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give carbamate 110 ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a beige solid. M.p.: $134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $401 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.57$ (d, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.44 (s, 1H), 1.52 (s, 9H), 0.26 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ס 152.47, 137.55, 132.76, 125.74, 123.12, 119.87, 118.90, 99.78, 85.34, 81.24, 28.43, -0.04. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3438 m, 3032 w, 2984 m, 2903 w, 2161 w, 1729 s, 1597 m, 1575 m, 1513 s, 1479 m, 1466 m, 1397 s, 1372 s, 1307 vw, 1263 m, 1251 s, 1156 vs, 1143 s, 1121 s, 1038 w, 854 s, $846 \mathrm{~s}, 813 \mathrm{w}, 702 \mathrm{w}, 467 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{79} \mathrm{Br} 367.0603$, found 367.0607.

## tert-Butyl (4-Bromo-3-ethynylphenyl)carbamate 111



A flask was charged with alkyne 109 ( $2.792 \mathrm{~g}, 10.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), flushed with argon, and the compound was dissolved in ethanol (21 mL ). Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $3.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.615 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), all volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in methanol ( 65 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 15.615$ mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added, and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 20 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give carbamate 111 ( $2.742 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$, after 2 steps) as an off white solid. M.p.: $129-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 152.44$,
137.69, 132.90, 124.74, 123.64, 120.34, 118.65, 81.89, 81.83, 81.35, 28.42. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~s}, 3306 \mathrm{~s}, 2982 \mathrm{~m}, 2120 \mathrm{vw}, 1728 \mathrm{vs}, 1597 \mathrm{~s}, 1576 \mathrm{~s}, 1514 \mathrm{vs}, 1478 \mathrm{~s}$, 1467 s, 1394 vs, 1377 s, 1370 s, 1270 s, $1241 \mathrm{~s}, 1156$ vs, $1036 \mathrm{~m}, 867 \mathrm{~m}, 814 \mathrm{~m}$, $658 \mathrm{~m}, 630 \mathrm{~m}, 608 \mathrm{vw}, 462 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{Cl}$ MS: 296 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$with $\left.{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right)$. HR CI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br} 295.0208$, found 295.0210 .

## (+)-tert-Butyl (S)-(4-bromo-3-((2-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-

 yn-1-yl)naph-thalen-1-yl)ethynyl)phenyl)carbamate 112

A Schlenk flask was charged with iodide (-)-(S)-83 ${ }^{31}$ $(1.045 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and flushed with argon. Then diisopropylamine ( 20 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula. Another Schlenk flask was charged with alkyne 111 ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ ), flushed with argon, and diisopropylamine ( 25 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via cannula. Then the alkyne solution was added dropwise via cannula over 10 min to the iodide solution and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give diyne (S)-112 (1.259 g, 91\%) as a yellowish oil. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}$ $=+21.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.288, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (ddd, $J=8.0,6.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (d, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 9H), $1.04-0.99$ (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 152.52,139.03,137.84,133.66,133.03,132.23,129.09,128.51$, 128.14, 127.05, 126.54, 126.13, 126.08, 122.88, 119.93, 119.73, 118.50, 106.03, 97.32, 94.21, 90.59, 87.37, 81.21, 66.47, 55.61, 41.80, 28.46, 18.71, 11.28. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3438 \mathrm{~m}, 2866 \mathrm{~s}, 2826 \mathrm{w}, 2208 \mathrm{w}, 2169 \mathrm{w}, 1728 \mathrm{~s}, 1596 \mathrm{~m}, 1574 \mathrm{~m}, 1515 \mathrm{~s}$, 1478 s, 1463 m, 1383 w, 1370 s, 1245 w, 1155 vs, 1146 s, 1097 w, 1033 s, 1027 s, $998 \mathrm{~m}, 919 \mathrm{w}, 911 \mathrm{w}, 884 \mathrm{~m}, 867 \mathrm{w}, 831 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. ESI MS: 712 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$with ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$ ). HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{79} \mathrm{BrNaSi} 712.2428$, found 712.2430.

## (-)-tert-Butyl (S)-(2-((2-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)-2'-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4yl)carbamate 114



A flask was charged with diyne (S)-112 (1.24 g, 1.795 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.885 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.), boronic acid 113 (705, 2.334 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), and flushed with argon. Then a solvent mixture of toluene ( 32 mL ), npropanol ( 32 mL ), and water ( 8 mL ) was added and argon was bubbled through the stirred mixture for 10 min. Afterward, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvents were removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give triyne (S)-114 ( $1.526 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a yellowish oil. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-41.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.437, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.74-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), $4.94(d, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78$ (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), $3.46-3.29$ (m, 2H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 9H), 1.05 - $1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $)^{2}$ : $\delta 152.70$, 143.65, 137.97 (2 C), 137.95, 133.55, 133.01, 132.07, 130.91, 130.29, 128.80, 128.22, 127.80, 127.76, 127.33, 126.70, 126.48, 125.78, 123.74, 123.70, 121.78, 120.42, 118.49, 106.12, 105.97, 98.48, 94.38, 94.35, 88.91, 87.23, 80.82, 66.54, 55.67, 41.69, 28.49, 18.71, 18.63, 11.35, 11.28. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3440 \mathrm{w}, 3306 \mathrm{vw}, 3058$ w, 2958 s, 2943 s, 2865 s, 2827 w, 2175 vw, 2157 w, 1728 m, 1607 w, 1595 w, 1574 w, 1569 vw, 1563 vw, 1516 m, 1502 m, 1465 m, 1441 w, 1400 w, 1395 w, 1382 w, 1369 m, 1156 s, 1098 m, 1028 m, 997 m, 919 w, 883 m, $867 \mathrm{vw}$,816 w, $710 \mathrm{vw}, 679$ $\mathrm{m}, 663 \mathrm{~m}, 502 \mathrm{vw}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. MALDI MS: $890\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 890.4970$, found 890.4977.

## (-)-tert-Butyl (S)-(2'-ethynyl-2-((2-(2-(methoxymethoxy)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate 115

 A flask was charged with silylated compound (S)-114 (1.493 $\mathrm{g}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 22 mL ). Then a solution of $n$-tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate ( 1 M in tetrahydrofuran, $5.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with methanol ( 10 mL ) and the solvents were removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $5: 1$ ) to obtain triyne (S)-115 ( $890 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a white solid. M.p.: $66-67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-24.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.262, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ $7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (ddd, $J=8.3,6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.4,6.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 152.74,143.59,138.05,137.94,137.48,133.54$, 133.37, 132.09, 130.94, 130.66, 128.72, 128.68, 127.99, 127.91, 127.47, 126.67, 126.50, 125.95, 123.62, 122.10, 121.95, 120.36, 118.40, 98.44, 94.44, 88.95, 82.86, 82.50, 80.98, 80.66, 74.39, 65.93, 55.63, 41.53, 28.50. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3437 \mathrm{~m}, 3307 \mathrm{~m}$, 3059 w, 2982 w, 2932 m, 2826 w, 2208 vw, 2116 vw, 2017 vw, 1727 s, 1607 m, 1595 w, 1575 m, 1563 w, 1516 vs, $1504 \mathrm{~s}, 1473 \mathrm{~m}, 1455 \mathrm{w}, 1441 \mathrm{~m}, 1412 \mathrm{~m}, 1400 \mathrm{~m}$, 1394 m, 1369 m, 1300 w, 1155 vs, 1054 m, 1028 s, 951 w, 940 vw, 922 w, 909 m, 868 w, 821 w, 663 m, 651 m, 640 m, 616 w, $437 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ESI MS: 578 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 578.2302$, found 578.2301. HR APCI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 556.2482$, found 556.2482 .

## (-)-tert-Butyl (S)-(2-((2-(2-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(triisopropylsilyl)but-3-yn-1-yl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)-2'-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4yl)carbamate 116



A flask was charged with diyne (S)-115 (1.24 g, 1.795 $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.885 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.), boronic acid $10^{26}$ ( $705,2.334 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.), and flushed with argon. Then a solvent mixture of toluene ( 32 mL ), $n$ propanol ( 32 mL ), and water ( 8 mL ) was added and argon was bubbled through the stirred mixture for 10 min. Afterward, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvents were removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give triyne (S)-116 $(1.526 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a yellowish oil. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-41.7^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.437, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (ddd, $J=8.2,6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78$ (t, $J=7.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), $3.46-3.29$ (m, 2H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 9H), 1.05 - $1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 152.70$, 143.65, 137.97 (2 C), 137.95, 133.55, 133.01, 132.07, 130.91, 130.29, 128.80, 128.22, 127.80, 127.76, 127.33, 126.70, 126.48, 125.78, 123.74, 123.70, 121.78, 120.42, 118.49, 106.12, 105.97, 98.48, 94.38, 94.35, 88.91, 87.23, 80.82, 66.54, 55.67, 41.69, 28.49, 18.71, 18.63, 11.35, 11.28. IR (CHCl3): 3440 w, 3306 vw, 3058 w, 2958 s, 2943 s, 2865 s, 2827 w, 2175 vw, 2157 w, 1728 m, 1607 w, 1595 w, 1574 w, $1569 \mathrm{vw}, 1563 \mathrm{vw}, 1516 \mathrm{~m}, 1502 \mathrm{~m}, 1465 \mathrm{~m}, 1441 \mathrm{w}, 1400 \mathrm{w}, 1395 \mathrm{w}, 1382 \mathrm{w}$, 1369 m, 1156 s, 1098 m, 1028 m, 997 m, 919 w, 883 m, $867 \mathrm{vw}$,816 w, $710 \mathrm{vw}, 679$ $\mathrm{m}, 663 \mathrm{~m}, 502 \mathrm{vw}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. MALDI MS: $890\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{73} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 890.4970$, found 890.4977.

## (-)-(M)-tert-Butyl (S)-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-17,18-di-p-tolyl-1,2-dihydrobenzo[g]naphtha-[2,1-c]chrysen-10-yl)carbamate 117



A microwave vial was charged with triyne $(S)$ - 116 ( 206 mg , $0.280 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}, 30$ mol\%), closed with a crimp seal, and flushed with argon. Toluene ( 20 mL ) was added and argon was kept to bubble through the stirring mixture for 10 min . Then the mixture was immersed in an oil bath and stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The mixture was cooled down, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 5:1) to give dihydrohelicene (-)$(M, S)-117$ ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%,>99 \% \mathrm{de}$ ) as a beige solid. Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: heptane-i-PrOH (95:5), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 6.55 min . M.p.: $172-175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Optical rotation: $[\mathrm{d}]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-320^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.096, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 8.36$ (d, $J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (dd, $J=8.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (ddd, $J=8.1,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-$ 6.87 (m, 2H), $6.86-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.68 (s, 1H), $4.51(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.33 (dd, $J=15.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3 H ), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 152.47,140.60,139.68,138.23,137.18,136.41$, 136.00, 135.87, 135.84, 133.56, 133.32, 132.57, 132.09, 131.88, 131.83, 131.57, 131.53, 131.52, 131.21, 130.64, 130.13, 130.01, 129.57, 128.99, 128.86, 128.82, 128.38, 128.33, 127.90, 127.82, 127.74, 126.45, 126.04, 125.84, 125.77, 124.90, 124.74, 123.93, 122.95, 118.52, 117.56, 97.12, 80.51, 73.67, 55.85, 37.53, 28.40, 21.42, 21.40. IR (CHCl3): $3438 \mathrm{w}, 3054 \mathrm{w}, 2982 \mathrm{~m}, 2825 \mathrm{w}, 1725 \mathrm{~s}, 1615 \mathrm{~m}, 1595$ vw, 1586 w, 1565 w, 1515 vs, 1472 m, 1441 w, 1413 m, 1393 m, 1369 m, 1309 w, 1155 vs, $1111 \mathrm{w}, 1095 \mathrm{~m}, 1034 \mathrm{~s}, 959 \mathrm{vw}, 910 \mathrm{~m}, 860 \mathrm{w}, 828 \mathrm{~m}, 809 \mathrm{w}, 541 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. MALDI MS: $735\left([M]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{4} 735.3348$, found 735.3354. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} 758.3241$, found 758.3248 .

## (-)-(M)-5,6-Bis(4-methylphenyl)benzo[f]hexahelicen-16-amine 118



The protected dihydrohelicene (-)-(M,S)-117 (30 mg, 0.041 mmol ) was placed in a Schlenk flask, flushed with argon, and dissolved in dioxane ( 1.2 mL ). Then an HCl solution ( 4 M in dioxane, $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 60.0$ equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 19 h . The orange mixture was poured into a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on reversed phase C-18 silica gel (methanol) to afford the crude aminobenzohelicene (-)-(M)-118 (20 mg, 83\%) as a yellow solid. An analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization from dichloromethaneheptane upon which an impurity precipitated. Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column (250 $\times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane-chloroform (9:1), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 6.44 min , optical purity: >99\% ee. M.p.: $215-217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (heptane). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-931^{\circ}\left(c 0.016, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 8.42$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.89$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.71 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.61 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (ddd, $J=8.2,7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.92$ (m, 3H), 6.82 (ddd, $J=8.4,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (dd, $J=7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66-$ $6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 145.26,140.33,139.25,137.53,137.49,136.53,136.47,132.92,132.83$, 132.53, 132.49, 131.95, 131.14, 131.06, 130.74, 130.72, 130.23, 130.14, 129.98, 129.21, 129.09 (2 C), 128.54, 128.43, 128.26, 128.16, 127.98, 127.28, 126.64, 126.57, 126.34, 126.09, 125.70, 124.69, 124.18, 123.50, 122.81, 121.93, 115.91, $112.49,21.53,21.51$. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3530 \mathrm{vw}, 3484 \mathrm{vw}, 3442 \mathrm{vw}, 3397 \mathrm{w}, 3053 \mathrm{~m}, 1620$ vs, $1581 \mathrm{w}, 1516 \mathrm{vs}, 1404 \mathrm{vw}, 1388 \mathrm{~m}, 1381 \mathrm{~m}, 1183 \mathrm{~m}, 1112 \mathrm{~m}, 1022 \mathrm{~m}, 827 \mathrm{~m}$, $541 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MALDI MS: 573 ([M] ${ }^{+}$). HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N} 574.2529$, found 574.2528. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=211$ (4.62), $295 \mathrm{~nm}(4.46)$. Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=430 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=496 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## 2-Bromo-1-ethynyInaphthalene 128



A Schlenk flask was charged with iodonaphthalene 124 ( 2.997 g , $9.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and Cul ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), then dissolved in diisopropylamine ( 14 mL ) and degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. (Triisopropylsilyl)acetylene ( $2.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 18 h . Afterward, the mixture was filtered through a small pad of silica gel and eluted with dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvents were removed in vacuo and a solution of $n$ tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate ( $4.706 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 12 mL ) and methanol ( 1.0 mL ) was added into the flask. After stirring at room temperature for 4 h , the reaction mixture was filtered through a small pad of silica gel (dichloromethane) and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to afford bromo alkyne 128 ( $1.528 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$, after 2 steps) as a brownish solid. M.p.: $57-59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.36$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ (ddd, $J=8.3,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (ddd, $J=8.1,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 134.90,131.72,130.08,129.60,128.39,128.05,126.85$, 126.33, 125.27, 121.42, 87.22, 80.43. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3304 \mathrm{~s}, 3061 \mathrm{w}, 2106 \mathrm{vw}, 1619 \mathrm{w}$, 1579 m, 1568 w, 1560 w, 1501 m, 1478 vw, 1450 w, 1427 w, 1375 w, 1317 w, 1265 m, $1121 \mathrm{~m}, 1041 \mathrm{~m}, 654 \mathrm{vs}, 624 \mathrm{~m}, 616 \mathrm{~m}, 564 \mathrm{~m}, 435 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ESI MS: 230 ([M] ${ }^{+}$, with ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$ ). HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br} 229.9731$, found 229.9737
rac-1-(4-(Dibenzylamino)-2-iodophenyl)-3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol 125


A solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, 2.755 mL , $6.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added slowly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 min to a solution of (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene ( 1.545 mL , $6.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in tetrahydrofuran ( 7.870 mL ). After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and at room temperature for 20 min , a solution of benzaldehyde $77(2.803 \mathrm{mg}$, 6.56 mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 6.6 mL ) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirred for 30 min . After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with an HCl solution ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The layers were separated and the
aqueous layer extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-methyl tert-butyl ether 10:1) to give the secondary alcohol rac-125 (3.810 g, 95\%) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23$ (d, $J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 150.27,137.64,130.59$, 129.00, 128.90, 127.30, 126.72, 122.73, 112.50, 106.89, 100.59, 88.15, 68.73, 53.94, 18.77, 11.33. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3086 \mathrm{vw}, 3062 \mathrm{vw}, 3028 \mathrm{w}, 2941 \mathrm{~m}, 2863 \mathrm{~m}, 1594$ s, $1495 \mathrm{~s}, 1226 \mathrm{~m}, 1017 \mathrm{~s}, 729 \mathrm{~s}, 694 \mathrm{~s}, 675 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EI MS: 609 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 11$ ), 566 (2), 217 (2), 91 (100), 65 (7), 43 (7). HR EI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40}$ NISi 609.1924, found 609.1945.

## rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-3-iodo-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)aniline 126



A Schlenk flask was charged with a solution of alkynol rac125 ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 7 mL ) and subsequently 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(0.244 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.), and chloromethyl methyl ether ( $0.114 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) were added, the flask was closed with a glass stopper, and the formed solution was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Afterward, the reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), the layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 15$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give MOM-ether rac-126 ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.27$ (m, 6H), 7.25-7.18 (m,5H), $6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-$ 1.04 (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 150.36,137.68,130.10,128.89$, 128.87, 127.28, 126.74, 122.44, 112.70, 104.88, 101.01, 94.41, 89.19, 72.26, 56.81, 53.90, 18.78, 11.34. IR (CHCl3): 3088 w, 3065 w, 3032 w, 2958 m, 2945 s, 2866 s, 2826 w, 2170 w, 1597 vs, 1586 m, 1503 s, 1495 s, 1463 m, 1453 m, 1441 w, 1396
m, 1384 w, 1361 m, 1352 m, $1312 \mathrm{vw}, 1149 \mathrm{~s}, 1091 \mathrm{~m}, 1076 \mathrm{w}, 1039 \mathrm{~s}, 1028 \mathrm{~s}$, $1011 \mathrm{~s}, 883 \mathrm{~m}, 810 \mathrm{w}, 697 \mathrm{~m}, 679 \mathrm{~m}, 659 \mathrm{w}, 616 \mathrm{vw} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. ESI MS: $654\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NISi} 654.2258$, found 654.2261 .
rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-3-((2-bromonaphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triisopro-pylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)aniline 130


A Schlenk flask was charged with iodide rac-126 ( 505 mg , $0.772 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and flushed with argon. Then diisopropylamine ( 6 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via syringe. Another Schlenk flask was charged with alkyne $128^{27}$ ( 214 mg , $0.926 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.), flushed with argon, and diisopropylamine ( 8 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via syringe. Then the alkyne solution was added dropwise via syringe to the iodide solution over 3 min and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give diyne rac130 (468 mg, 80\%) as a yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.39-8.33$ (m, 1H), $7.82-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10$ (s, 1H), $5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.31 (s, 3H), $1.07-1.05$ (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.15,138.16$, 134.45, 131.81, 129.72, 129.64, 129.46, 128.89, 128.48, 128.29, 127.81, 127.23, 126.83, 126.75 (2 C), 125.13, 123.19, 122.55, 116.01, 113.92, 105.38, 97.78, 94.37, 89.99, 88.36, 66.26, 56.11, 54.43, 18.78, 11.37. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3087 w, 3065 w, 3033 w, 2958 s, 2944 s, 2866 s, 2825 w, 2208 vw, 2170 w, 1601 vs, 1586 m, 1578 m, 1568 m, 1559 m, 1511 s, 1501 s, 1495 s, 1463 m, 1453 s, 1440 m, 1428 w, 1395 m, 1384 $\mathrm{m}, 1362 \mathrm{~m}, 1352 \mathrm{~m}, 1317 \mathrm{~m}, 1298 \mathrm{~m}, 1251 \mathrm{~m}, 1163 \mathrm{~m}, 1149 \mathrm{~s}, 1106 \mathrm{~m}, 1092 \mathrm{~m}$, $1080 \mathrm{~m}, 1040 \mathrm{~s}, 1010 \mathrm{vs}, 1028 \mathrm{~s}, 964 \mathrm{~s}, 921 \mathrm{~m}, 883 \mathrm{~m}, 867 \mathrm{vw}, 811 \mathrm{~s}, 697 \mathrm{~s}, 679 \mathrm{~m}$, $660 \mathrm{~m}, 651 \mathrm{~m}, 614 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ESI MS: 779 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, with ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$ ). HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{79} \mathrm{BrSi} 756.2867$, found 756.2870.
rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-3-((2-(2-((triiso-propylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)aniline 131


A flask was charged with arene rac-130 ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 0.551$ mmol ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.579 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.), boronic acid $10^{17}$ ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 0.716 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.), and flushed with argon. Then a solvent mixture of toluene ( 10 mL ), n-propanol (10 mL ), and water ( 2.5 mL ) was added and argon was bubble through the stirred mixture for 10 min . Afterward, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo, the crude was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give triyne rac-131 ( $453 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a yellowish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.50$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ (ddd, $J=$ $8.4,6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.03 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.83-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 149.33, 144.04, 142.39, 138.16, 133.44, 132.75, 132.62, 130.45, 129.59, 128.87, 128.03, 127.97, 127.94, 127.83, 127.69, 127.41, 127.20, 127.12, 126.92, 126.86, 126.39, 124.04, 123.30, 119.93, 115.29, 113.09, 106.00, 105.57, 96.20, 94.25 , 94.20, $90.65,87.94,66.12,56.03,53.60,18.81,18.50,11.40,11.23$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : 3087 w, 3063 w, 3033 vw, 2958 vs, 2944 vs, 2866 vs, 2826 w, 2205 vw, 2178 w, 2156 w, 1600 vs, 1585 m, 1562 m, 1511 s, 1495 m, 1469 s, 1463 s, 1453 s, 1443 m, 1397 m, $1383 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{~m}, 1354 \mathrm{~m}, 1175 \mathrm{w}, 1149 \mathrm{~s}, 1091 \mathrm{~s}, 1076 \mathrm{~m}, 1046 \mathrm{~m}, 1028 \mathrm{~s}$, $1010 \mathrm{~s}, 996 \mathrm{~s}, 920 \mathrm{~m}, 883 \mathrm{~s}, 868 \mathrm{w}, 818 \mathrm{~s}, 700 \mathrm{~s}, 679 \mathrm{~s}, 660 \mathrm{~m}, 613 \mathrm{w}, 498 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. MALDI MS: $934\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} 934.5409$, found 934.5405.
rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-3-((2-(2-ethynylphenyl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-prop-2-yn-1-yl)aniline 132


A flask was charged with the silylated compound rac-131 (453 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.455 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 6.3 mL ). Then a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride trihydrate (1 M in tetrahydrofuran, $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.455 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the reaction was quenched with methanol ( 10 mL ) and the solvents were removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $5: 1$ ) to obtain the deprotected triyne rac-132 (222 mg, 74\%) as a yellowish solid. M.p.: $59-61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.56$ (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.93$ 7.83 (m, 2H), $7.67-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.61 (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.32,144.04,141.98,138.06,133.41$, 133.10, 132.58, 130.79, 129.09, 128.90, 128.20 (2 C), 127.91, 127.88, 127.54, 127.35, 127.24, 127.16 (2 C), 126.80, 126.64, 123.62, 121.74, 119.85, 115.31, 113.28, 96.03, 94.17, $90.79,82.85,82.28,80.52,74.71,65.16,56.03,53.75$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3307 \mathrm{~m}, 3087 \mathrm{w}, 3064 \mathrm{w}, 3032 \mathrm{w}, 2955 \mathrm{~m}, 2826 \mathrm{w}, 2205 \mathrm{vw}, 2116 \mathrm{w}, 2107$ w, 1600 vs, $1562 \mathrm{~m}, 1511 \mathrm{~s}, 1495 \mathrm{~m}, 1486 \mathrm{~m}, 1465 \mathrm{w}, 1453 \mathrm{~m}, 1442 \mathrm{~m}, 1361 \mathrm{~m}$, 1262 w, $1150 \mathrm{~m}, 1094 \mathrm{~m}, 1028 \mathrm{~s}, 1021 \mathrm{~s}, 811 \mathrm{w}, 697 \mathrm{~m}, 556 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. APCI MS: 622 $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HR APCI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ 622.2741, found 622.2741.
rac-N,N-Dibenzyl-4-(1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(p-tolyl)but-2-yn-1-yl)-3-((2-(2-(3-(p-tolyl)prop-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl)naphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)aniline 133


4-lodotoluene ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and Cul ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ mol\%) were placed in a Schlenk flask and flushed with argon. Diisopropylamine ( 1 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via syringe and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Another Schlenk flask was charged with triyne rac-132 (93 mg, 0.150
mmol ), flushed with argon, and diisopropylamine ( 1 mL , prior degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) was added via syringe. Then the triyne solution was added dropwise to the mixture of aryl halide and catalyst via syringe over 15 min . The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 5:1). The desired triyne rac-133 ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained as a yellow solid. M.p.: $71-73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.62$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.63-7.52$ (m, 4H), $7.45-$ 7.41 (m, 1H), $7.39-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-$ $6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.03 (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.25,143.39,142.34,138.44$, 138.16, 138.14, 133.54, 132.56, 132.10, 131.82, 131.33, 130.89, 129.34, 129.05, 128.98, 128.88, 128.36, 128.13, 128.09, 127.56, 127.54, 127.53, 127.24, 127.21, 127.12, 126.85, 126.53, 123.73, 123.05, 120.43, 119.96, 119.93, 115.35, 113.28, 96.20, $94.17,92.99,90.94,88.61,87.01,86.82,65.97,56.01,53.71,21.60,21.58$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3063 \mathrm{w}, 3012 \mathrm{w}, 2926 \mathrm{w}, 2825 \mathrm{w}, 2218 \mathrm{w}, 1600 \mathrm{~s}, 1586 \mathrm{w}, 1563 \mathrm{w}, 1510 \mathrm{~s}$, 1495 m, 1485 w, 1465 w, 1453 m, 1361 m, 1258 w, 1149 m, 1092 m, 1028 s, 1020 m, $992 \mathrm{w}, 819 \mathrm{~s}, 807 \mathrm{w}, 697 \mathrm{~m}, 556 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. APCI MS: $802\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. HR APCI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ 802.3680, found 802.3682.

## N,N-Dibenzyl-7-(methoxymethoxy)-5,6-di-p-tolyl-7H-fluoreno[4,3-g]chrysen-10amine 134.



A microwave tube was charged with triyne rac-133 (11 mg, 0.014 mmol ) and 1-butyl-2,3-dimethylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ( 45 mg ), flushed with argon, and dissolved in tetrahydrofuran ( 4 mL ). Then a solution of $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ ( 0.5 M in tetrahydrofuran, $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was heated in a microwave reactor at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min. The solvent was removed and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1) to give the crude helical compound 134 (8 $\mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as an off white amorphous solid. As all attempts at separation of diastereoisomers failed, the diastereomeric ratio of 1.7:1.0 was determined by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR analysis (from the comparison of the well resolved signals of the methoxy groups at $\delta 3.11$ and 3.21 ppm$).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): The both diastereomers decompose during purification process and all attempts at obtaining clear spectra of the both compounds failed. ESI MS: $824\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HR APCI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ 802.3680, found 802.3681.

## (+)-(P,P)-1,3-Bis(5,6-di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-1H-imidazol-3-ium chloride 135



A flask was charged with aminohelicene $(+)-(P)-69 \quad(262 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 0.5$ equiv.) and paraformaldehyde ( 15 mg , $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.), flushed with argon, toluene ( 4 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Then another portion of aminohelicene (+)-( $P$ )-69 ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) as a sol. in toluene ( 4 mL ) was added, the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aq. sol. of $\mathrm{HCl}(3.3 \mathrm{~N}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv.) was added. After allowing the mixture to warm up to room temperature aq. glyoxal sol. ( $8.8 \mathrm{M}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, the flask sealed with a glass stopper and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue dry-loaded on silica gel and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane-methanol 40:1 to 10:1). Imidazolium chloride (+)-( $P, P$ )-135 (400 $\mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained as a beige solid. M.p.: $297.5-303{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dichloromethanemethanol). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=+1583^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.116, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 401 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.94-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20-7.08$ (m, 16H), 6.77 (ddd, $J=8.5,6.9,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta$ 138.90, 138.31, 136.40, 136.37, 135.83, 135.75, 133.77, 133.07, 131.89, 131.78, $131.49,131.39$ (2C), 131.13 (2C), 131.08, 130.77, 130.68, 130.42, 129.57, 129.42, 128.60, 128.47 (2C), 127.95, 127.29 (2C), 127.21 (3C), 127.00, 126.93, 126.79, 126.70, 126.55, 125.61, 123.33, 120.84, 120.68, 119.96, 21.30 (2C). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3137 w, 2959 m, 1619 w, 1605 w, 1574 w, 1516 w, 1494 w, 1463w, 1422 m, 1380 w, 1364 w, 1308 w, 1274 w, 1242 w, 1184 w, 1111 w, 1022 w, 815 w cm¹. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{83} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 1081.4521, found 1081.4516. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }$
$(\log \varepsilon)=270(5.45), 328 \mathrm{~nm}(5.22)$. Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=380 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\text {max }}=450 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## $(+)-(P, P)-1,3-B i s(5,6-d i-p-t o l y l b e n z o[g] n a p h t h o[2,1-c]$ chrysen-16-yl)-1H-imidazol-3-ium chloride 136



A flask was charged with aminohelicene (-)-(M)-118 (262 mg, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) and paraformaldehyde ( 15 mg , 0.5 mmol ), flushed with argon, toluene ( 4 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Then another portion of aminohelicene (-)-(M)-118 (262 mg, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.) as a solution in toluene ( 4 mL ) was added, the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aq. sol. of $\mathrm{HCl}(3.3 \mathrm{~N}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL} 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added. After allowing the mixture to warm up to room temperature, aq. glyoxal sol. ( $8.8 \mathrm{M}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, the flask sealed with a glass stopper and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue dry-loaded on silica gel and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethane-methanol 10:1). Imidazolium chloride $(-)-(M, M)-136$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was obtained as a beige solid. M.p.: $288-291{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dichloromethane-methanol). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-860^{\circ}$ (c $0.097, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58-7.54$ (m, 2H), 7.52 (dd, $J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (d, J = $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.30-$ 7.27 (m, 2H), $7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-$ 6.78 (m, 4H), 6.65 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.9 Hz, 2H), 6.34 (s, 2H), 2.39 (s, 6H), 2.32 (s, 6H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.30,138.93,137.49,136.31,136.15,136.12$, 133.80, 132.54, 132.05, 131.95, 131.52, 131.41, 131.39, 131.25, 131.19, 131.00, 130.46, 130.29, 130.24, 130.09, 129.86, 129.61, 129.01, 128.88, 128.82, 128.56, 128.27, 127.94, 127.44, 127.17, 127.13, 127.04, 126.98, 126.85, 126.74, 126.66, 126.35, 126.18, 126.06, 123.63, 122.73, 120.37, 120.10, 120.00, 21.32, 21.31. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 3138 w, 3050 w, $1605 \mathrm{~m}, 1571 \mathrm{w}, 1546 \mathrm{~s}, 1515 \mathrm{~s}, 1405 \mathrm{~m}, 1296 \mathrm{w}, 1274 \mathrm{w}$, 1184 m, 1142 m, 1111 w, 1022 m, $964 \mathrm{vw}, 825 \mathrm{~m}, 715 \mathrm{w}, 583 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{91} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 1181.4829, found 1182.4861. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }$ (log
$\varepsilon)=303$ (5.29), 258 nm (5.27). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=380 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=$ 453 nm .

## (-)-(M)-3-(5,6-Di-p-tolyIhexahelicen-11-yl)-1-mesityl-1H-imidazol-3-ium perchlorate 140



Formamide $137^{73}$ ( $349 \mathrm{mg}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv.) was dissolved in acetic anhydride ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 23.8$ equiv.) under argon atmosphere. Separately, $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ (70 wt-\% aq. solution, $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.96 equiv.) was mixed with acetic anhydride ( 1.0 mL ) under cooling with an ice bath. This solution was added dropwise to the solution of formamide 137 resulting a brown mixture which was stirred at ambient temperature for 8 h . Ether ( 8 mL ) was then added, resulting in the formation of a brownish precipitate or occasionally in a two phase system. The supernatant liquid was removed by syringe and the residue triturated with ether ( $3 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The remaining volatiles were removed with a flow of argon to furnish the oxazolium salt 138 as an off-white solid. It was immediately reacted in the next step by suspending crude 138 in toluene ( 2.0 mL ) and adding a solution of $(-)-(M)-69(524 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(7.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 21 h , followed by an addition of $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(70 \mathrm{wt}$ - \% aq. solution, $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.70 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.7 equiv.). The mixture was then stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, all volatiles were removed in vacuo, the crude residue was dry-loaded on silica gel and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (dichloromethanemethanol 160:1 to $10: 1$ ) to furnish the imidazolium salt (-)-(M)-140 (409 mg, 52\%) as an off-white solid. M.p.: $218-220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dichloromethane-methanol). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-1171$ (c 0.100, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.99$, 2.04 (2 $\left.\times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Mes}\right), 2.37,2.38\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Tol}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, Mes), 6.84 (ddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{19,20}=8.4, J_{19,18}=6.9, J_{19,17}=1.3, \mathrm{H}-19\right), 7.04,7.07(2 \times \mathrm{bs}, 2$ $\times 1 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Mes}$ ), $7.08-7.18$ (m, 9H, H-2(Im), o- + m-H, Tol), 7.32 (ddd, 1H, J18,17 = $\left.7.9, J_{18,19}=6.9, J_{18,20}=1.0, \mathrm{H}-18\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=J_{3,5}=1.7, \mathrm{H}-3(\mathrm{Im})\right), 7.68-7.72$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-17,20$ ), 7.77 (dd, 1H, $\mathrm{J}_{2,3}=8.5, \mathrm{~J}_{2,26}=2.3, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), $7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{11,12}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ 11), $7.80-7.82$ (m, 2H, H-15,26), 7.85 (d, 1H, J6,5 $=8.9, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $7.86-7.89$ (m, 2H, H$5,12), 7.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{14,15}=8.6, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 8.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 8.12\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{5,2}=\right.$
$\left.J_{5,3}=1.7, \mathrm{H}-5(\mathrm{Im})\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.42,17.49$ (o-CH3 , Mes), 21.20, 21.29, $21.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, Tol and $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, Mes), $119.78(\mathrm{CH}-2), 122.00(\mathrm{CH}-26), 122.63$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-2(\mathrm{Im})$ ), 123.29 (C-23), $124.83(\mathrm{CH}-3(\mathrm{Im})), 125.78(\mathrm{CH}-19), 126.67(\mathrm{CH}-11)$, 126.70 (C-22), 126.74 ( $\mathrm{CH}-18$ ), $126.84(\mathrm{C}-24), 126.95(\mathrm{CH}-12), 127.28(\mathrm{CH}-17)$, 127.35 (CH-15), 127.37 (CH-14), 127.44 (CH-5), $127.67(\mathrm{CH}-6), 128.15(\mathrm{CH}-20)$, 128.47, 128.49 (2C), 128.62 ( $m-\mathrm{CH}$, Tol), 129.53 (C-25), 129.71 (C-21), 129.90, 129.93 ( $m-\mathrm{CH}$, Mes), 130.22 (ipso-C, Mes), 130.39, 130.63 (o-CH, Tol), 130.82 (C1), 130.97 (CH-3), 131.27, 131.31 (C-10,13), 131.33, 131.48 (o-CH, Tol), 131.75 (C16), 132.11 (C-4), 133.18 (C-7), 134.06, 134.09 (o-C, Mes), 134.57 (CH-5(Im)), 135.68, 135.76 (ipso-C, Tol), 136.41, 136.44 ( $p-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Tol}$ ), 138.35 (C-8), 139.11 (C-9), 141.70 (p-C, Mes). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3134 \mathrm{w}, 3021 \mathrm{w}, 2921 \mathrm{w}, 1605 \mathrm{w}, 1575 \mathrm{w}, 1543 \mathrm{~m}$, 1516 m, 1494 w, 1485 w, 1461 w, 1422 w, 1402 w, 1380 w, 1295 w, 1250 w, 1216 m, 1183 w, 1147 w, 1093 s, 1009 w, 930 w, 853 m, 837 m, 819 m, 735 m, 639 w, 632 w $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 693.3264, found 693.3237. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=327$ (4.49), 272 (4.38). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\text {exc }}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=445 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## (-)-(M)-3-(5,6-Di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-1-mesityl-1H-imidazol-3-ium chloride

142


A Pasteur pipette was used as a column and filled with Amberlite IRA-400 (650 mg) and successively flushed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. NaCl sol. ( 10 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ methanol (1:1, 10 mL ) and methanol (10 mL ). Then a solution of Imidazolium perchlorate $(-)-(M)-140(185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was run through the column using gravity force. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to furnish imidazolium chloride (-)-(M)-142 (165 mg, 97\%) as a brownish solid. M.p.: 261-263 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-1360$ (c 0.132, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ ): 10.27 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$-imid), 8.47 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,3}=8.7, J_{2,26}=2.4, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), 8.10 (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=8.7, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 7.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{14,15}=8.6, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{12,11}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-12\right)$, $7.85-7.87$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5,15$ ), 7.83 (bd, 1H, J26,2 $=2.4, \mathrm{H}-26$ ), 7.81 (d, 1H, J6,5 = 8.9, $\mathrm{H}-6), 7.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{11,12}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-11\right), 7.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{17,18}=8.0, J_{17,19}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-17\right)$, $7.72\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{20,19}=8.4, \mathrm{~J}_{20,18}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-20\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{18,17}=8.0, \mathrm{~J}_{18,19}=6.9\right.$,
$J_{18,20}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-18$ ), $7.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=J_{3,5}=1.7, \mathrm{H}-3\right.$-imid), $7.09-7.10,7.12-7.18$ (2 $\times \mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{m}$-Tol), 7.05 (bs, 1H, H-m-Mes), 7.02 (t, 1H, $\mathrm{J}_{2,3}=J_{2,5}=1.7$, H-2-imid), 6.99 (bs, 1H, H-m-Mes), 6.85 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{19,20}=8.4, J_{19,18}=6.9, J_{19,17}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-19$ ), 2.37, $2.38\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Tol}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-p-\mathrm{Mes}\right), 1.98,2.17(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times$ $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mes}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 141.38 (C-p-Mes), 138.86 (C-9), 138.39 (C-8), 136.98 (CH-5-imid), 136.40, 136.36 (C-p-Tol), 135.83, 135.73 (C-i-Tol), 134.31, 133.92 (C-o-Mes), 133.12 (C-7), 131.93 (C-4), 131.75 (C-16), 131.50, 131.37 (CH-o-Tol), 131.27 (C-1), 131.17 (C-10,13), 130.98 (CH-3), 130.67 (CH-o-Tol), 130.48 (C-i-Mes), 130.39 (CH-o-Tol), 129.99, 129.76 (CH-m-Mes), 129.71 (C-21), 129.41 (C-25), 128.60, 128.46 ( $\mathrm{CH}-m$-Tol), 128.13 (CH-20), 127.33 (CH-14), 127.26, 127.19, 127.16 (CH-5,6,12,15), 127.10 ( $\mathrm{CH}-17$ ), 126.99 (C-24), 126.81 (C-22), 126.72 ( $\mathrm{CH}-18$ ), 126.65 ( $\mathrm{CH}-11$ ), 125.81 ( $\mathrm{CH}-19$ ), 123.86 (CH-3-imid), 123.32 (C23), 121.07 ( $\mathrm{CH}-2-\mathrm{imid}$ ), $120.63(\mathrm{CH}-26), 120.38(\mathrm{CH}-2), 21.30,21.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Tol}\right)$, 21.15 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-p$-Mes), 17.94, 17.63, ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Mes}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $3131 \mathrm{w}, 3020 \mathrm{~m}, 2919 \mathrm{~m}$, 1605 w, 1574 w, 1540 m, 1516 m, 1484, 1461 m, 1401 w, 1380 m, 1309 w, 1296 w, 1216 m, 1183 w, 969 w, 894 w, 852 m, 824 m, 816 m, 670 m, 656 m, 579 w, 534 w $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{41}{ }^{35} \mathrm{CIN}_{2}$ 728.2953, found 728.2952. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=327$ (4.52), 272 (4.83). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{e x c}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=465 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Synthesis of silver-salts

## General procedure

Imidazolium salt (+)-(P,P)-135 or (-)-(M)-140 (1.0 equiv.) was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask, dried under vacuum at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and flushed with argon. $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.8$ equiv.) and dichloromethane ( 20 mL per 1 mmol of imidazolium salt) were added, the flask sealed with a stopcock and the suspension stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h under the exclusion of light. The resulting fine suspension was filtered through a pad of celite and the solvent was removed in vacuo to furnish crude silver salt $(P, P, P, P)$-141 or ( $M, M$ )-143.

Fine beige solid (128 mg). ESI MS:
 2268 ([M-Cl] ${ }^{+}$).

Prepared according to the general procedure.
( $M, M$ )-Bis(1-(5,6-di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-3-mesityl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2ylidene)silver, chloride 143


Fine beige solid ( 180 mg ). ESI MS: 1492 ([M-Cl] ${ }^{+}$).
Prepared according to the general procedure.
(-)-(M)-1-(5,6-Di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-3-mesityl-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)(2-isopropoxybenz-ylidene)-ruthenium (II) dichloride 147


The imidazolium perchlorate $(-)-(M)-140$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask and heated at 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 1 h . The flask was then flushed with argon and toluene (0.5 mL ) was added. K-amylate ( 1.5 M solution in toluene, $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.92$ equiv.)
was added dropwise to the suspension and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 min . The suspension became clear and darkened in color. Rucomplex 144 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.115 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.88$ equiv.) was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . It was then cooled to ambient temperature, $\mathrm{CuCl}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.230 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.77$ equiv.) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $10: 1$ to $5: 1$ ) to furnish $(-)-(M)-147(42 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%)$ as a dark green solid. M.p.: $215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene-pentane) (dec.). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-1489$ (c 0.0293, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 16.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=0.8, H \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Ru}\right.$ ), 9.08 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,3}=8.3, \mathrm{~J}_{2,26}=2.3, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), $8.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=8.3, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14,15), 7.95-7.98$ (m, 2H, H-5,17), 7.91 (d, 1H, J26,2 $=2.3, H-26), 7.91\left(d, 1 H, J_{12,11}=8.5, H-12\right), 7.77\left(d d, 1 H, J_{20,19}=8.7\right.$, $J_{20,18}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-20$ ), 7.73 (d, 1H, $\mathrm{J}_{6,5}=8.9, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{11,12}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-11\right.$ ), 7.51 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J_{5,6}=8.6, J_{5,4}=6.8, J_{5,3}=2.1, H-5\left(\right.$ benzylidene)), 7.39 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J_{18,17}=$ 8.0, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{18,19}=6.8, \mathrm{~J}_{18,20}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-18\right), 7.10-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, o-+m-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tol}), 7.07,7.09$ (2× dq, 2H, $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.0,0.7, m-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Mes}\right), 6.85-6.94$ (m, 3H, H-19, H-3,4(benzylidene)), 6.85 (d, 1H, J6,5 $=8.6, H-6\left(\right.$ benzylidene)), $6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=2.1, \mathrm{H}-3(\mathrm{Im})\right.$ ), 6.21 (d, 1H, J2,3 $=2.1, \mathrm{H}-2(\mathrm{Im})$ ), 4.90 (hept., $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {vic }}=6.2, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Mes}\right), 2.37$, $2.40\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Tol}\right), 1.81,2.10\left(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Mes}\right), 1.22,1.47(2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{d}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {vic }}=6.2, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 294.86$ ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{Ru}$ ), 152.74 (C-1(benzylidene)), 174.53 (C-5(Im)), 145.06 (C-2(benzylidene)), 140.35 ( $p-$ C, Mes), 139.33 (C-1), 139.04 (C-9), 138.40 (C-8), 138.09 (o-C, Mes), 137.70 (ipsoC, Mes), 137.45 (o-C, Mes), 136.98, 137.03 (ipso-C, Tol), 136.89, 136.90 (p-C, Tol), 133.48 (C-10), 132.88 (C-16), 132.23 (o-CH, Tol), 132.05 (C-13), 131.98 (o-CH, Tol), 131.60 (C-7), 131.37 (o-CH, Tol), 131.33 (C-4), 131.26 (C-25), 131.10 (o-CH, Tol), 131.01 (CH-3), 130.32 (C-21), 129.95 ( $m-\mathrm{CH}$, Mes), 129.81 (CH-5(benzylidene)), 129.32 ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Mes}$ ), 128.86, 128.91, 128.93, 129.01 ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Tol}$ ), 128.53 (CH-20), 128.20 ( $\mathrm{CH}-15$ ), 128.17 ( $\mathrm{CH}-17$ ), 127.95 ( $\mathrm{CH}-12$ ), $127.91(\mathrm{C}-24), 127.74(\mathrm{C}-22)$, 127.69 (CH-14), 127.48 (CH-5), 126.77 (CH-18), 126.46 (CH-11), 126.41 (CH-6), 125.68 (CH-19), 125.20 ( $\mathrm{CH}-3(\mathrm{~lm})$ ), 125.20 (CH-26), 124.28 (CH-2(Im)), 124.26 (C23), 123.08 ( $\mathrm{CH}-4$ (benzylidene)), 122.79 ( $\mathrm{CH}-2$ ), 122.20 ( $\mathrm{CH}-3$ (benzylidene)), 113.55 ( $\mathrm{CH}-6$ (benzylidene)), $75.20\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 22.01, $22.44\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 21.51, 21.55, $21.57\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, Tol and $\left.p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Mes}\right)$, 18.13, $18.47\left(o-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, Mes). IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3167 \mathrm{w}$, 3072 w, 3043 w, 3021 m, 2978 m, 2863 w, 1602 w, 1576 w, 1558 w, 1516 m, 1490
w, 1486 m, 1401 m, $1385 \mathrm{~m}, 1374 \mathrm{~m}, 1311 \mathrm{~m}, 1296 \mathrm{~m}, 1265 \mathrm{~m}, 1183 \mathrm{w}, 1157 \mathrm{w}$, 1141 w, $1109 \mathrm{~m}, 936 \mathrm{~m}, 853 \mathrm{~m}, 835 \mathrm{~m}, 751 \mathrm{~s}, 745 \mathrm{~s}, 694 \mathrm{~m}, 587 \mathrm{w}, 508 \mathrm{w}, 410 \mathrm{w}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{52}{ }^{35} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{ORu} 977.2812$, found 977.2829. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=325$ (4.71), 269 (5.02). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\text {exc }}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=455 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## (+)-(P,P)-(3-Chloropyridyl)(1,3-bis(5,6-di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl)palladium(II) chloride 148



An oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with crude ( $P, P, P, P$ )-141 (60 mg, 0.026 mmol, 0.52 equiv.) and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05$ mmol ), flushed with argon and suspended in dichloromethane ( 0.5 mL ).

The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at ambient temperature under the exclusion of light. Afterward, it was filtered through celite (dichloromethane) and the solvent removed in vacuo. The resulting brown solid was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask, flushed with argon and dissolved in dichloromethane ( 0.5 mL ). 3-Chloropyridine ( $14 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added and the resulting solution stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature. Then, heptane ( 2 mL ) was added, stirred for $5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10 mL ) was added and the resulting off-white suspension stirred for 16 h at ambient temperature. It was filtered over celite, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the residue dissolved with dichloromethane and the solvents removed in vacuo. The crude product was recrystallized from methanol/dichloromethane by slow evaporation of the dichloromethane to afford $(+)-(P, P)-148(46 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$ as a beige solid. M.p.: $363{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dichloromethane-methanol) (dec.). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} D=+1567^{\circ}$ (c 0.149, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.54$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,3}=8.6, \mathrm{~J}_{2,26}=2.2, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), 8.45 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,4}=2.4, J_{2,5}=0.7, \mathrm{H}-2-p y$ ), 8.36 (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6,5}=5.6, \mathrm{~J}_{6,4}=1.3, \mathrm{H}-6-p y\right), 8.11$ (d, 2H, J15,14 $=8.6, \mathrm{H}-15), 8.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{14,15}=8.6, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 8.06$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{17,18}=8.1$, $\left.J_{17,19}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-17\right), 7.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=8.6, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{12,11}=8.8, \mathrm{H}-12\right), 7.83$ (d, 2H, J5,6 $=8.6, H-5$ ), 7.77 (ddt, $2 \mathrm{H}, J_{20,19}=8.7, J_{20,18}=1.2, J_{20,15}=J_{20,17}=0.7$, H20), 7.74 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6,5}=8.6, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $7.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{11,12}=8.8, \mathrm{H}-11\right), 7.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{26,2}=\right.$
2.2, H-26), 7.55 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{4,5}=8.2, J_{4,2}=2.4, J_{4,6}=1.3, \mathrm{H}-4-\mathrm{py}$ ), 7.42 (ddd, 2H, $\left.J_{18,17}=8.1, J_{18,19}=6.9, J_{18,20}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-18\right), 7.08-7.21$ (m, 16H, H-o,m-Tol), 7.02 (ddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{5,4}=8.2, J_{5,6}=5.6, J_{5,2}=0.7, H-5-p y\right), 6.83$ (ddd, $2 H, J_{19,20}=8.5, J_{19,18}=$ $\left.6.9, J_{19,17}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-19\right), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2,3-\mathrm{imid}), 2.37,2.38(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{Tol})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.94$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-2-\mathrm{py}$ ), 148.91 (CH-6-py), 146.86 (C-5imid), 138.35 (C-8), 138.03 (C-9), 137.66 (CH-4-py), 136.34 (C-1), 136.21, 136.27 (C-i-Tol), 136.09, 136.10 (C-p-Tol), 132.97 (C-10), 132.27 (C-3-py, C-16), 131.40, 131.60 (CH-o-Tol), 131.24 (C-13), 130.99 (C-4), 130.91 (C-7), 130.45, 130.85 (CH-oTol), 130.08 (C-25), 129.90 (C-21), 128.31, 128.38, 128.39, 128.40, 128.43, 128.44 (CH-3,20, CH-m-Tol), 127.56 (CH-14), 127.45 (C-24), 127.28 (C-22), $127.23(\mathrm{CH}-$ 15), 127.18 ( $\mathrm{CH}-12$ ), $127.13(\mathrm{CH}-17), 127.00(\mathrm{CH}-5), 126.47(\mathrm{CH}-18), 126.31(\mathrm{CH}-$ 6 ), 126.17 ( $\mathrm{CH}-11$ ), 125.24 ( $\mathrm{CH}-19$ ), 124.50 (CH-5-py), 123.92 ( $\mathrm{CH}-26$ ), 123.65 (C23), 123.61 ( $\mathrm{CH}-2,3$-imid), 123.42 ( $\mathrm{CH}-2$ ), $21.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Tol}\right)$. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 3181 \mathrm{w}, 3066$ w, 3048 w, 2920 w, 1602 w, 1572 w, 1557 w, 1516 s, 1486 w, 1444 m, 1405 m, 1285 m, 1265 w, 1146 w, 1118 m, 1108 w, 1058 w, 1022 m, 822 m, 417 w cm${ }^{-1}$. HR ESI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{83} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{35} \mathrm{CIPd}$ 1221.31614, found 1221.31804. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=326$ (4.91), 271 (5.19). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=455 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## (-)-(M)-(3-Chloropyridyl)(1-(5,6-di-p-tolylhexahelicen-11-yl)-3-mesityl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene)palladium(II) chloride 149



An oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with crude ( $M, M$ )-143 ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.55 equiv.) and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN}) 2$ ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol ), flushed with argon and suspended in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at ambient temperature under the exclusion of light. Afterward, it was filtered through celite (dichloromethane) and the solvent removed in vacuo. The resulting brown solid was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask, flushed with argon and dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ). 3-Chloropyridine ( $19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added and the resulting solution stirred for 4 h at ambient temperature. The mixture was filtered through celite (dichloromethane) to remove eventually formed precipitate and
the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was recrystallized from methanol/dichloromethane by slow evaporation of the dichloromethane to afford (M)-$(-)-(M)-149(78 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a yellow solid M.p.: $360{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dichloromethane-methanol) (dec.). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-1401^{\circ}$ (c 0.104, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ ); $8.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,3}=8.5, J_{2,26}=2.3, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ ), $8.50\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,4}=2.4, \mathrm{H}-2-\right.$ py, 8.41 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6,5}=5.6, \mathrm{~J}_{6,4}=1.5, \mathrm{H}-6-\mathrm{py}$ ), 8.07 (d, 1H, $\mathrm{J}_{3,2}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 7.98 (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{14,15}=8.7, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 7.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{15,14}=8.7, \mathrm{H}-15\right), 7.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{17,18}=8.0, J_{17,19}\right.$ $=1.4, \mathrm{H}-17), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{12,11}=8.5, \mathrm{H}-12\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{5,6}=8.9, \mathrm{H}-5\right), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J_{26,2}=2.3, H-26\right), 7.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{20,19}=8.4, \mathrm{~J}_{20,18}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-20\right), 7.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{6,5}=8.9\right.$, $H-6), 7.72\left(d, 1 H, J_{11,12}=8.5, H-11\right), 7.54\left(d d d, 1 H, J_{4,5}=8.2, J_{4,2}=2.4, J_{4,6}=1.5, H-\right.$ 4-py), 7.35 (ddd, 1H, $\left.J_{18,17}=8.0, J_{18,19}=6.8, J_{18,20}=1.2, \mathrm{H}-18\right), 7.07-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{o}, m$-Tol), 7.03 (ddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{5,4}=8.2, J_{5,6}=5.6, J_{5,2}=0.6, \mathrm{H}-5-\mathrm{py}\right), 6.97,7.00(2 \times \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-m$-Mes), 6.85 (ddd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{19,20}=8.4, J_{19,18}=6.8, J_{19,17}=1.4, \mathrm{H}-19\right), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{3,2}=2.0, \mathrm{H}-3$-imid), 6.01 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{2,3}=2.0, \mathrm{H}-2$-imid), $2.36,2.38(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-Tol), 2.34 (s, 3H, CH3-p-Mes), 2.15, 2.21 ( $2 \times \mathrm{s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Mes}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl 3 ): 150.15 (CH-2-py), 149.27 (C-5-imid), 149.20 (CH-6-py), 139.26 (C-p-Mes), 138.25 (C-9), 137.92 (C-8), 137.56 (CH-4-py), 136.73 (C-1), 136.31 (C-oMes), 136.25, 136.27 (C-i-Tol), 136.14 (C-o-Mes), 136.06, 136.07 (C-p-Tol), 134.60 (C-i-Mes), 132.87 (C-10), 132.12 (C-3-py), 132.11 (C-16), 131.39, 131.60 (CH-o-Tol), 131.33 (C-13), 131.06 (C-4), 130.87 (C-7), 130.49, 130.79 (CH-o-Tol), 130.25 (C-25), 129.84 (C-21), 129.17, 129.18 (CH-m-Mes), 128.41 (CH-m-Tol), 128.30 (CH-3,20, CH-m-Tol), 127.50 (C-24), 127.46 ( $\mathrm{CH}-14$ ), $128.40(\mathrm{CH}-15), 127.31$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-12,17$ ), 127.27 (C-22), 127.06 ( $\mathrm{CH}-5$ ), 126.25 ( $\mathrm{CH}-18$ ), 126.16 ( $\mathrm{CH}-6,11$ ), $125.17(\mathrm{CH}-19)$, 124.35 (CH-5-py), 123.99 ( $\mathrm{CH}-2$ ), 123.92 ( $\mathrm{CH}-26$ ), 123.83 ( $\mathrm{CH}-3-\mathrm{imid}$ ), 123.70 (C23), 123.53 (CH-2-imid), 21.15, 21.30, $21.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Tol}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$-p-Mes), 18.95 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-oMes). IR (CHCl $)_{3}$ : $3164 \mathrm{vw}, 3132 \mathrm{w}, 2920 \mathrm{w}, 1607 \mathrm{w}, 1574 \mathrm{w}, 1557 \mathrm{w}, 1516 \mathrm{~m}, 1486$ m, 1443 m, 1420 m, 1402 w, 1316 w, 1183 w, 1146 w, 1260 w, 1146 w, 1119 m, 1051 m, $852 \mathrm{~m}, 753 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Pd} 982.1708$, found 982.3163. HR MALDI MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Pd} 798.2232$, found 798.2237. UV/Vis (tetrahydrofuran): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=325$ (4.13), 270 (5.76). Fluorescence (tetrahydrofuran, $\lambda_{e x c}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): $\lambda_{\max }=456 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Enantioselective cyclotrimerization of enantioenriched (+)-(P)-benzo[f]naphtho[1,2-j]picene 14

## General Procedure



A Schlenk flask was charged with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac}) 2(0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 20$ mol\%) and imidazolium salt (-)-(M,M)-135 or (-)-(M,M)-136 (6.6 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 44 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The solids were dried under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and flushed with argon. Then tetrahydrofuran ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and ethylmagnesium chloride ( 0.148 M sol. in THF, $85 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 12.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 0.63 equiv.) was added, which resulted in a black solution. After 2 min , triyne $11^{26}(6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and the mixture stirred for 4 h at ambient temperature. After completion (checked by TLC), the solvent was evaporated and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (hexanedichloromethane 7 to 1 ) to furnish dibenzo helicene $(+)-(P)-14$ as a white solid. Analytic data were according to the literature. ${ }^{26}$

Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: heptane-chloroform (7:3), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 5.28 min (for (+)-isomer) and 8.54 min (for (-)-isomer).

## With imidazolium chloride (-)-( $M, M$ )-135

Yield: $7 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$. Optical purity: 35\% ee.
Prepared according to the general procedure.

## With imidazolium chloride (-)-(M,M)-136

Yield: $5 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$. Optical purity: 10\% ee.
Prepared according to the general procedure.

## Experimental details for activity tests with achiral substrates

General procedure for activity tests
Solutions of the corresponding metathesis precursor 150 , 152 or $154(0.117 \mathrm{M}$ in $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and the appropriate catalyst 156 or $(-)-(M)-147(0.007 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were mixed in an NMR tube. The tube was sealed and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h at 0.5 min intervals.

Conversion of starting material was calculated from the integrals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of 150 at $2.70-2.82 \mathrm{ppm}$ and from the integrals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of 151 at 3.05 3.12 ppm.

## RCM of diethylallylmethylallylmalonate 152

Conversion of starting material was calculated from the integrals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of 152 at $2.80-2.90 \mathrm{ppm}$ and from the integrals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of 153 at 2.95 3.17 ppm.

## Enyne RCM of allyl propargyl ether 154

Conversion of starting material was calculated from the integrals of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$-signals of 154 at $4.00-4.10$ ppm and from the integrals of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$-signals of 155 at 4.40 4.55 ppm .

## (E)-4-Phenylbut-2-en-1-yl acetate 159 ${ }^{148}$

## General procedure



An oven-dried Schlenk was charged with Ru-catalyst (-)-(M)147 or 156 ( $4.43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2butene ( $1.273 \mathrm{~g}, 7.398 \mathrm{mmol}, 16.7$ equiv.) and flushed with argon. The reactants were dissolved in toluene ( 2.2 mL ), allylbenzene ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.443$ mmol ) was added rapidly and the resulting mixture was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Afterward, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate $10: 1$ ) to furnish olefin 159 as brownish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.17$ (m, 3H), $5.99-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.00,139.68,134.69$, 128.73, 128.64, 128.63, 128.51, 126.39, 125.36, 65.06, 38.79, 21.16.

The $E / Z$ ratio was calculated from the integrals ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ ) of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of $(E)$ 159 at $3.42 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ) and from the integrals of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-signals of $(Z)$ $159{ }^{149}$ at $3.48 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## With Ru-catalyst (-)-(M)-147:

Yield: $63 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$. $E / Z=9: 1$.
Prepared according to the general procedure.

## With Ru-catalyst 156:

Yield: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \% . E / Z=10: 1$.
Prepared according to the general procedure.

## ARCM of allyl ether 160

## Without addition of halides:

An oven-dried Schlenk flask was flushed with argon and solutions of triene 160 (0.1 $\mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and (-)-(M)-147 (5 $\mu \mathrm{M}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in the respective solvent listed in Table 1 were added. The mixture was stirred at the temperature and for the time span noted in Table 1. After evaporation of all volatiles, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the conversion was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. The NMR-sample was filtered through a short pad of neutral alumina and submitted to GC-MS measurement for determination of the enantiomeric excess (ee).

## With addition of halides:

An oven dried Schlenk-flask was charged with the corresponding halide ( 0.01 mmol ) listed in Table 1 and flushed with argon. A solution of $(-)-(M)-147(5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in the respective solvent was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. A solution of triene $160(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in the respective solvent was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After evaporation of all volatiles, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the conversion was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR-spectroscopy. The NMR-sample was filtered through a short pad of neutral alumina and submitted to GC-MS measurement for determination of the enantiomeric excess (ee).

## Determination of conversion:

NMR-data of $(R)-161$ were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{105}$ Conversion of starting material 160 was calculated from the integral of the $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$
signal of 160 at $5.85-6.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ and from the integral of the $=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-signal of $(R)-161$ at $4.70-4.82 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Determination of ee-values and assignment of absolute stereochemistry:

A correlation of the sign of optical rotation with absolute configuration has previously been established by Hoveyda, Schrock and coworkers ${ }^{150}$ via independent synthesis by using a Sharpless epoxidation step, and by Grubbs and coworkers. ${ }^{105}$ The latter group reported for $(S)-161$ with $90 \%$ ee: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+116.5$ (c $\left.=0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{105}$
Chiral GC-MS: CP-Chirasil-Dex CB column ( $25 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 7$ inch cage), conditions: $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, retention time 30.5 min (for major isomer) and 31.8 min (for minor isomer). Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane, flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 8.02 min (for major (-)-isomer) and 8.59 min (for minor (+)-isomer)

The polarimetric traces from HPLC analysis for entry 5, Table 1 ( $60 \%$ ee) were analyzed and compared with the chiral GC-MS analysis. The major isomer was found to be (-)-161, which concludes an absolute configuration of $(R)$ for product 161.

## AROCM of bicycloalkene 162

## Experimental procedure:

Bicycloalkene $162(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 50.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an ovendried Schlenk flask. Styrene 163 ( $23 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) was added, followed by (-)-(M)-147 ( $0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The reaction vessel was closed and stirred at ambient temperature for 20 h . A small sample of the reaction mixture was submitted to HPLC on chiral stationary phase and to GC-MS. To determine the conversion, the reaction mixture was evaporated, dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and submitted to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy.

## Determination of conversion:

NMR-spectroscopic data of 164 were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{107}$ Conversion of starting material 162 was calculated from the integral of the signal of 162 at $1.74-1.81 \mathrm{ppm}$ and from the integral of the signal of 164 at 6.50 -6.56 ppm .

## Determination of ee:

A correlation of the sign of optical rotation and the absolute configuration has not been established. For these reasons the structure of 164 shown herein has not been specified. Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Chiral Technologies), mobile phase: hexane-isopropanol (4:1), flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 8.57 min (for major (-)-isomer) and 9.62 min (for minor (+)-isomer).

## 2-Methoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene $168{ }^{151}$



Chiral HPLC: Chiracel OJ-H column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Daicel Corporation), mobile phase: hexane-isopropanol (4:1), flow rate: 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time: 8.84 min and $15.01 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (401 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.75,134.67,134.40,133.83,133.08,129.61$, $129.15,128.57,128.36,127.93,127.88,126.51,126.31,125.99,125.83,125.71$, 125.64, 123.71, 123.36, 113.97, 56.93.

## With commercial catalyst 60

1-bromo-2-methoxynaphthalene 165 ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), boronic acid 167 ( 6.5 mg , $38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), catalyst $60(0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{KOH}(4 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 3 equiv.) were placed in a flask, and it was flushed with argon. Then dioxane (1.8 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. Afterward, the solvent was removed and the crude product purified by flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 5:1) to afford biaryl 168 (24 $\mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a white solid.

## With catalyst (-)-(M)-149

1-iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene 166 ( $4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), boronic acid $167(3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 23$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), catalyst ( - )-(M)-149 ( $0.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and KOH ( 2.5 mg , $75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv.) were placed in a flask, and it was flushed with argon. Then a mixture of dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1,300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, prior degassed by bubbling with argon) was added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature. A GC-MS analysis of the crude mixture showed a conversion of $27 \%$ to biaryl 168. Prior
injection into the chiral HPLC the sample was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl $1: 0$ to $5: 1$ ).

## With catalyst (-)-(P,P)-148

1-iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene $166(4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, boronic acid $167(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 2.0$ equiv.), catalyst (+)-(P,P)-148(1.0 mg, $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and KOH ( 2.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv.) were placed in a flask, and it was flushed with argon. Then a mixture of DMPU/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1, $330 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, prior degassed by bubbling with argon) was added, and the reaction mixture stirred for 18 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A GC-MS analysis of the crude mixture showed a conversion of $90 \%$ to biaryl 168. Prior injection into the chiral HPLC the sample was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate 1 : 0 to 5 : 1).

## Computational methods and full results for DFT-structure optimization

The geometry of complexes $(-)-(M)-147, \quad(+)-(P, P)-148$ and $(-)-(M)-149$ were optimized with dispersion corrected RI-DFT and implicit solvent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ model at PBEO ${ }^{152 / d e f 2-T Z V P ~}{ }^{153} /$ GD3 ${ }^{154} /$ Cosmo $^{155}$ level using the Turbomole 7.1. program package. ${ }^{156}$
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