

POSUDEK VEDOUcíHO BAKALÁŘSKÉ DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE

SUPERVISOR'S REPORT OF THE BACHELOR THESIS

Supervisor's name: Mgr. Helena Vomáčková

Student's name: Alsaud Hussain

The title of the bachelor thesis:
Case study of patient with Multiple Sclerosis disease

The goal of the bachelor thesis:
The aim of the general part of the thesis is to summarise the theoretical background of Multiple Sclerosis disease. The aim of the special part is case study of physiotherapeutic treatment of a patient suffering the Multiple Sclerosis disease.

1. Volume:

pages of text / pages of the thesis	97/113			
number of references	82			
	articles	monographs	electronic sources	other
	33	22	14	13
others	figures	tables	graphs	appendices
	10	20	0	2

2. Seriousness of topics:

	above average	average	under average	unsatisfactory
theoretical knowledge		X		
input data and their processing		X		
used methods		X		

3. Criteria of thesis classification:

	degree of evaluation			
	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
degree of aim of work fulfilment			X	
<i>The aim of the thesis was fulfilled.</i>				
independence of student during process of thesis		X		
<i>Student worked independently, he was able to handle almost all comments and suggestions in to the process of the thesis.</i>				
logical construction of work			X	
<i>The general part contents detailed information about the diagnosis; detailed description of the physiotherapeutic methods used in management of this diagnosis are missing.</i>				
work with literature and citations				X
<i>Student has used great number of resources, but the information from actual articles or other resources missing. There is no resources references mentioned in the chapter 2.4., 2.4.1., 2.4.2., 2.4.3., 2.5.2, 2.9..3, ... Some of the literar resources mentioned in the chapter 6. Bibliography does not follow the APA citation rule (for example: 67, 68, 75, ...)</i>				
adequacy of used methods			X	
design of work (text, graphs, tables)		X		
stylistic level		X		
<i>Thesis has good stylistic level, there is only few misspelling.</i>				

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes: under average average

5. Comments and questions to answer:

Despite the objections in general part, the work meets the demands of the bachelor thesis as a prove the ability to work independently with the patient.
Question: Which used therapy was maximal effective for your patient? Set up the therapy proposal to fulfil your short and long therapeutic plan with the usage of the therapy based on neurofacilitating techniques.

6. Recommendation for defence: NO YES

7. Designed classificatory degree very good/good - according to student's presentation/defence

Date: in Prague 31.8.2018

signature of the supervisor