Posudek na bakalářskou práci	
<ul><li>☐ školitelský posudek</li><li>☑ oponentský posudek</li></ul>	Jméno posuzovatele: Mgr. Zuzana Krchňáková
	<b>Datum:</b> 17.5.2018
Autor: Tereza Hubáčková	
Název práce: Role of the ubiquitin-like protein, h	Hub1, in the pre-mRNA splicing regulation
Práce obsahuje navíc i vlastní	
Cíle práce (předmět rešerše, pro	acovní hypotéza…)
Hub1 protein studied in the yeast detailed review of the available lite supported by experimental data.	nmary of the function and interacting partners of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The author provides a erature focusing on the role of Hub1 protein The proposed model of Hub1 function suggests g via modulating splice site recognition in the early
Struktura (členění) práce:	
	s is well organized. The chapters are ordered mation for the reader to follow and understand
Jsou použité literární zdroje dosta Použil(a) autor(ka) v rešerši releva	itečné a jsou v práci správně citovány? antní údaje z literárních zdrojů?
relatively recently, so the presente	ly. The majority of cited studies were published ed thesis provides up to date summary on the author used several review papers which were ork.
Pokud práce obsahuje (nadstanda adekvátním způsobem získány, z	ardně) i vlastní výsledky, jsou tyto výsledky hodnoceny a diskutovány?
Formální úroveň práce (obrazová	dokumentace, grafika, text, jazyková úroveň):
grammatical issues or typos. How	igh level of English. There were only a few vever, this did not degrade the overall quality of the erently helping the reader to orient in the text. The

## Splnění cílů práce a celkové hodnocení:

The aim of bachelor thesis was fulfilled. The author used available literature appropriately and organized it very well showing that she can work with available sources. Additionally, I would like to stress that I appreciate that the author decided to write the thesis in English since writing scientific papers in English has become a necessity in recent years. The final evaluation of the thesis is excellent.

Even though the thesis is written at a high quality, I have a few minor points and questions.

## Minor points:

- 1. On page 3 (and elsewhere), I would recommend emphasizing the specific nucleotides within a sequence by italicized or underlined the letter but not changing the color.
- 2. On page 3, there is a detailed overview how snRNAs are loaded onto premRNAs. However, one would benefit from a figure depicting particular interactions to easier understand complex network of interactions that are made during spliceosome assembly.

## Questions:

- 1. On page 12, the author says that in the absence of Hub1 protein, spliceosomes assembled on suboptimal substrates are stalled at the stage of H-complex in the earliest steps of the spliceosomal cycle. Is it known something more about how these stalled complexes are dissociated from mRNAs and eventually recycled?
- 2. On page 19, the author mentions the error-prone mechanism as a possible explanation for Hub1 function in the cell. As an example of such mechanism was given the usage of translesion polymerases. Can the author briefly describe how translesion polymerases could have positive effects on the synthesis and how this can be applied for putative error-prone Hub1-induced splicing?
- 3. On page 22, the author states that there is no sequence similarity of splice sites of influenced introns after UBL5 depletion in humans which suggests that UBL5 is not working through the sequence recognition. How would the author explain that in *S. cerevisiae*, there were found several Hub1-dependent 5' splice sites?

Návrh hodnocení školitele nebo oponenta (bude zveřejněn)	
Podpis školitele/oponenta:	