LEADERSHIP REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS

Leadership's name:	PhDr. Lenka Satrapová, Ph.D.							
Student's name:	Maxence Mortreux							
Title of diploma thesis name:								
Case study of physiotherapeutic treatment of a patient after fracture of distal right								
	tibia and distal right radius							
Goal of thesis:								
The aim of this thesis is to initially get an overview of all the parameters that act or are related to the present patient's problem. A review of anatomy, kinesiology, physiology and biomechanics to assess, understand the problem								
and provide the adapted rehabilitation program. Practically, the aim of the thesis is to show the relation with the theoretical knowledge and the application of the range of physiotherapeutic treatment learnt during the three years of								
studies. The practical part show the ability to identify the restricted components, to apply the needed treatment and								
assess the progress of the therapy applied.								
1. Volume:								
* pages of text	82							
* literature	31							
* tables, graphs, appendices	10 tables, 25 figures, 5 appendicies							
	,			•				
2. Seriousness of topics:	above average	average	under avarage					
* theroretical knowledges		X						
* input data and their processing		Х						
* used methods		X						
				_				
3. Criteria of thesis classification	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory				
degree of aim of work fulfilment	X							
			aim of wor	rk was fulfilled				
independence of student during process of thesis		X						
logical construction of work	Х							
		work fullfiles	the requiremets fo	r bachelor's thesis				
work with literature and citations		X						
			lower nun	nber of literature				
adequacy of used methods	X	t fama famandus	tion the atherma	affa at lev matiant				
I appreciate the question design of work (text, graphs, tables)	naire, that studen	t form for evalua	ting the therapy	errect by patient				
some headlines of the main chapters are not or	ragnized on the to	n of the pages (nago 11 AA 02); not standart				
some neadimes of the main chapters are not of			Subchapters (5 nu					
stylistic level	X	bering or some s	abenapters (5 me	inibers in a rowy				
•	nar and typing mis	stakes, that have	n't reduce the qu	ality of the work				
				,				
4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:	under average	average	above average					
5. Comments and questions to answer:								
1) How you would like to continue with your patien	nt, if you will have	the possibility o	f more therapies:	2				
6. Recomendation for defence:	yes	no						
or recomendation for defence.	yes	IIO						
7. Designed classificatory degree	excellent -							
Date: 3.5.2018	aco	cording the defer	ice					
		PhDr I	enka Satrapova	á. Ph.D				