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Address the following questions in your report, please:

a) Can you recognize an original contribution of the author?
   All three chapters present original research. But since they are coauthored, I cannot judge regarding
   the contribution of each individual author.

b) Is the thesis based on relevant references?
   Yes. Besides, some incomplete references from the previous version have also been improved.

c) Is the thesis defendable at your home institution or another respected institution where you
   gave lectures?
   At CERGE-EI (with the US style doctoral program in Economics) there is an expectation
   that at least one chapter out of three chapters should be single authored. That chapter then
   typically becomes the student’s job market paper. In other institutions there is no such an
   explicit expectation. Given that all chapters are already published in very good journals,
   the dissertation is defendable.

d) Do the results of the thesis allow their publication in a respected economic journal?
   Yes. Please see my response in the previous part.

e) Are there any additional major comments on what should be improved?
   No, my comments were all well addressed. The author also followed my suggestion and
   included the abstract in the Czech language.

f) What is your overall assessment of the thesis? (a) I recommend the thesis for defense
   without substantial changes, (b) the thesis can be defended after revision indicated in my
   comments, (c) not-defendable in this form.

Overall assessment of the thesis: I recommend the thesis for defense.

(Note: The report should be at least 2 pages long.)

Previously I summarized my report with comments for the pre-defense stage. All of my
comments were carefully addressed in the new version.
Overall, the improvements in the thesis are considerable and hence the thesis can proceed to
the defense stage.
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### Address the following questions to your report, please:

1. Can you justify your title? Is it meaningful and relevant to your thesis?
2. Is the title concise and clear?
3. Are there any apparent errors in the presentation that are significant?
4. Has the thesis been written in native English?
5. Are there any missing sections or elements that are essential for completing the thesis?
6. Has the thesis been completed according to the schedule and requirements?
7. Are there any unresolved issues or concerns that need to be addressed?
8. Is the thesis well-organized and structured?
9. Are there any deficiencies in the writing style or presentation?
10. Is the thesis free from plagiarism and other ethical concerns?

### Overall assessment of the thesis:

I recommend the thesis for defense.

---

Previously, I summarized my report with comments for the reviewers. Most of my comments were carefully addressed in the new version. Overall, the improvements in the thesis are noticeable, and further the thesis can proceed to the defense stage.