



SECINTEL Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Student Matriculation No.	Glasgow 2222076	Charles 42799258
Dissertation Title	Short sticks and Rotting Carrots: Changing Coup-Proofing Structures in Post-Civil War Syria	

INDIVIDUAL INSTITUTION GRADING

Glasgow Marker	Charles Marker	Grade Conve	ersion	Charles Additional Info
Office Use	Office Use	UoG-CU	CU-UoG	Please advise ranking

JOINT GRADING (subject to agreement of the external examiner and approval at Joint Exam Board)

Final Agreed Mark
A5 [18] 1 [Excellent]

DISSERTATION FEEDBACK

Assessment Criteria	Rating			
A. Structure and Development of Answer				
This refers to your organisational skills and ability to construct an argument in a coherent and original manner				
Originality of topic	Excellent			
Coherent set of research questions and/or hypothesis identified	Excellent			
Appropriate methodology and evidence of effective organisation of work	Very Good			
Logically structured argument and flow of ideas reflecting research questions	Excellent			
Application of theory and/or concepts	Very Good			
B. Use of Source Material				
This refers to your skills to select and use relevant information and data in a correct manner				
Evidence of reading and review of published literature	Excellent			
Selection of relevant primary and/or secondary evidence to support argument	Excellent			
Critical analysis and evaluation of evidence	Excellent			
Accuracy of factual data	Excellent			
C. Academic Style				
This refers to your ability to write in a formal academic manner				
Appropriate formal and clear writing style	Good			
Accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation	Good			
Consistent and accurate referencing (including complete bibliography)	Excellent			
Is the dissertation free from plagiarism?	Yes			
Evidence of ethics approval included (if required based on methodology)	Not Required			
Appropriate word count	Yes			





SECINTEL Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

ADDITIONAL WRITTEN COMMENTS

Glasgow Marker

This was certainly an accomplished, engaging and very well researched dissertation, building on an original departing point to formulate a very interesting argument. I thought that the main weaknesses here were essentially structural, as the introduction (the weakest chapter) failed to offer an adequate contextualisation for the structural rationale of the thesis. I found the presentation to be engaging, although a bit colloquial at times: with more editorial attention, this could have been a truly outstanding thesis.

Charles Marker

The dissertation clearly demonstrates author's ability to find and analyse data and constructs a logical and coherent argument. The dissertation confidently builds up its argument from post-colonial insight on the issue of nation-building in the Middle East, through Ayoob's sub-altern realism to specific issues of civil-military relations in authoritarian states.

While the analysis confidently proves author's analytical competence, his presentation skills notably decrease the overall quality of the dissertation. The text is littered with typos, gramatic errors and styllistically awkward sentences. Moreover, accessibility of the text is not facilitated by lengthy paragraphs and lack of signposting. Unfortunately, it takes a lot of effort to orientate in the text and recognize its undisputable strengths.

Substance-wise, chapter 3 on structural coup-proofing represent the best of author's intelligence analysis. Nonetheless, his analysis does not seem entirely persuasive. I am not entirely convinced by author's categorisation of coup-proofing and coup-ploting units, yet this categorisation is essential for his further analysis. It seems to me that the coup-proofing category contains units that have the opportunity to protect the regime as well as to intervene in it (praetorian units). The coup-plotting units, on the other hand, are defined by their inability to play an internal role. Author's approach is perfectly consistent with his overall materialist focus. Nonetheless, this approach omits the question of politicisation of military officers as one of the chief factors for military interventions in politics. The author should address this point during the oral defence.

Please note that this grade is recorded as the provisional final grade for the University of Glagsow degree. All grades remain provisional until confirmed at the joint examination board.

The Czech State Exam/Oral Defense may make a difference to the final grade for the Charles University degree.





SECINTEL Dissertation Feedback & Mark Sheet

Notes for Markers: When grading the SECINTEL Dissertation markers are asked to reflect upon the aims and learning outcomes for the dissertation. Each dissertation should also adopt a clear security focus reflecting the relevant programme pathway

Aims: The course aims to provide students with independent research opportunities. It will include engagement with research methods training leading up to a period of independent research and the production of a substantial dissertation that builds upon themes and issues covered within the MSc International Security, Intelligence and Strategic Studies. Students will be encouraged to develop their own ideas and demonstrate their capacity for original thought and independent research. The dissertation element aims to enable students to identify and research particular issues or problems, linked to security, intelligence and strategy, at a deeper level than is possible within assessed essays and to develop a critical analysis of the existing body of academic work relating to their topic of choice. Students taking this course will be prepared for further research, study or professional careers through the development of their skills in data collection and analysis, use of original and secondary sources and the conducting and writing up of a detailed research project.

Intended Learning outcomes: By the end of the dissertation, students will be able to:

- > Devise a realistic programme of research on a topic reflecting the main themes of the programme;
- > Collect, select and critically analyse relevant background literature and arguments of a range of scholars;
- > Understand and select the appropriate methodology for dealing with information sources and data;
- > Apply these methods to gather and interrogate data in an open-minded, rigorous and undogmatic manner;
- > Be able to critically evaluate competing theories and apply relevant theoretical frameworks to guide the study
- > Organise the data collected and analyse the findings in a competent manner that allows for a fluid and logical argument to be presented;
- > Be reflexive and self-critical about findings and the limitations of analysis;
- > Work independently, organising and maintaining own programme of study to meet academic deadlines so as to produce work containing a substantial element of originality.

Word Count:

Dissertations should be 20,000 words in length for students undertaking work-placement as part of the independent study portfolio and 22,000 words in length for standard dissertation students. Word counts exclude the title page, abstract, contents, bibliography and appendices). All dissertations must display an accurate word-count including the citations, footnotes/endnotes and chapter/section titles.

Language:

The dissertation must be written in British English. A Czech Language cover page / abstract may be included

Late Submission Penalty:

Dissertations that do not have an extension or are submitted after an extension deadline are subject to a penalty of 2 secondary bands per day (this includes weekends and holidays) on the Glasgow grading Scale.

Plagiarism:

Dissertations which suffer from excessive (e.g. serious and/or deliberate) plagiarism will be subject to a grade of 0/Fail and be referred to the appropriate authorities at both universities. Dissertations that contain some elements of plagiarism, but which are deemed not to be excessive (e.g. minor instances that are not considered deliberate) based on consultation of both internal markers, should be graded accordingly and will be subject to scrutiny from the external examiner and could still result in a mark of 0 as well as referral to appropriate authorities for disciplinary action.

Consultation prior to final grading:

First marking by both institutions should be completed blind with no prior consultation. Once both markers have graded the dissertation and provided written comments, they should consult on the grading and come to a joint final grade, taking into consideration any late submission or excessive word count penalty. It is the responsibility of the Glasgow marker to oversee this. Where markers cannot come to a joint agreement then the dissertation should be referred to the Programme Convenors at Glasgow and Charles (Dr. Eamonn Butler & Dr Vít Střítecký). The external examiner will be used to moderate any dissertation in this position and the comments referred back to the internal markers for confirmation.