

# REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS

Leadership's name:

Mgr. Michaela Stupkova

Student's name:

Murtada Al Hussain

Title of diploma thesis name:

Case of Study of a patient with Necrotizing Autoimmune Myopathy (NAM)

Goal of thesis:

To show the full kinesiological assessment, special therapy approaches and therapy effect during 7 sessions. The theoretical part explains the clinical picture, physiological, pathophysiology, etiology, categories, pharmacotherapy and surgical intervention of the yopathy. And the main goal of the practical part is to present the examinations, the therapeutic approaches and the conclusion that were made after the rehabilitation process with the patient.

1. Volume:

* pages of text	69
* literature	29
* tables, graphs, appendices	26 tables, 3 figures

2. Seriousness of topics:

	above average	average	under average
* theroretical knowledges		X	
* input data and their processing			X
* used methods			X

3. Criteria of thesis classification

	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
degree of aim of work fulfilment			X	
<i>The theroretical part is elaborate very well from the view of diagnosis and clinical feature but tthe chapter of is very basical. The practical part involves simple therapeutical techniques based on very low number of researches.</i>				
independence of student during process of thesis		X		
<i>Unfortunately, student didn't consult therapeutical techniques used in patient and processing of the theroretical part of bachelor thesis, only final version.</i>				
logical construction of work			X	
<i>The treatment was adapt to the patient's actual state but were not used other therapeutical techniques to achieve goals of therapy</i>				
work with literature and citations		X		
<i>The number of proffesional literature is low ( focused on the physiotherapeutical treatment)</i>				
adequacy of used methods				X
<i>The theroretical part is focused mainly on histopathology of NAM but less on physiotherapeutical techniques. Basic physiotherapeutical techniques were used only in the treatment of patient.</i>				
design of work (text, graphs, tables)			X	
<i>The formal .... Of the thesis is not correct - the text is moved ( heading of chapter is on the end page -p.13, the table 17 is divided, bibliography is on the same pages as conclusion)</i>				
stylistic level		X		

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:

under average      average

5. Comments and questions to answer:

*Notes: It is missed patient's independancy in long term therapeutical plan. It could be better arrange to divide goals of therapy and therapy proposal in the thesis.*

*Questions: How did you examine the joint play in talocrural joint in ventral direction? (as you describe in initial and final examination) Why did you examine the joint play on extremities only? You evaluated the gait examination:...lack of stability is seen due to marked weeknes of hip adductors. Could you explain, please,t his conclusion? You choose passive stretching and postfacilitation stretch in the patient with NAM. Could you explain why? Why you didn't use any teraputical technique to improve general and segmental stability of the patient? (except the active exercise with resistance)*

**6. Recommendation for defence:**

yes	no
-----	----

**7. Designed classificatory degree**

good
------

according to the student defence

**Date: 30.8.2017**

---

**Mgr. Michaela Stupková**

