## Report on the Bachelor thesis 'Characterization of functions vanishing at the boundary' by Hana Turčinová

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , denote by d the distance function given by  $d(x) = \text{dist } (x, \partial \Omega)$  and let  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be the familiar Sobolev space;  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  will stand for the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . It is well known that if  $\partial \Omega$  has a mild regularity property, then  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  if and only if  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \text{ and } u/d \in L^p(\Omega)$ . The usefulness of this classical characterisation led to various attempts to weaken the condition  $u/d \in L^p(\Omega)$ : first it was shown that it is enough to require that u/d should belong to the weak  $L^p$  space  $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega)$ ; and then, very recently, that  $u/d \in L^1(\Omega)$  will do. The thesis takes this process of discovery a step further in the special case when n = 1 and  $\Omega$  is an interval (a, b), showing that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(I)$  if and only if  $u' \in L^p(I)$  and u/dbelongs to the Lorentz space  $L^{1,p}(I)$ . By means of a counterexample it is shown that it is not sufficient to require that u/d should belong to  $L^{1,\infty}(I)$ .

The style of writing is effective and to the point: the necessary definitions and basic results are given in just the right amount of detail, and the proofs of the new material given in reassuring but not overwhelming detail. I have a most favourable opinion of the thesis, which displays mastery of the material together with considerable originality and ingenuity: the main result is new and of definite interest.

I find the thesis to be well above the standard expected of a Bachelor thesis and strongly recommend its acceptance.

D. E. Edmunds May 2017