

Summary:

Kritika monismu v díle Karla Statečného

The Critique of Monism in the Work of Karel Statečný

Michal Vejvoda

The dissertation, "The Critique of Monism in the work of Karel Statečný", has led to a systematic point view on the issue of monism and its outline in Karel Statečný's work.

It was found out, that Karel Statečný discerned a danger in this point of view, not only for the Church and the Christianity, but also for the whole society.

The biggest danger of the monistic teaching lies in the fact, that the monistic principle is based on human nature. Karel Statečný realizes, that monistic tendency is deeply rooted in human nature, and thus is also objectively correct.

With regards to Karel Statečný's analysis on monistic teaching, was discerned its danger to human religious sentiments, particularly in ethics: emptying of religion, in the case of removing of the element the personal God.

Further on was found out, that monistical issues elaborated by Karel Statečný, speaks also today, because this monistic tendency is natural to human thinking. Pantheism – a belief in impersonal God, is also widespread, and this have a connection with spiritual instability and empty ethics.

Therefore, the study of former monistic endeavors, may be useful to contemporary theology, theological philosophy and ethics. Further on, it was discovered, that monistic philosophy, in some cases, quite precisely captured the weakness of the Christian world view.

Monism blames Christianity and its ethics from ambiguity and hypocrisy, the desire for power, selfish motives, and stresses on the area ethics of common good.

Another right emphasis is on the principle of the divine presence in the world and humanity, because this assumption should be rooted in Christian theology-God's presence in the world in Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit, should be a spring of its power, and to display God as present, can be a foundation of a meaningful direction. However, the monistic view is wrong, in the fact, that you can't identify God with the world, because then the power of his presence would drain out, considering that he would be also involved in participation and held responsible for the evil in the world.