Průběh obhajoby:

The student introduced the main improvements in the updated version of his master thesis. The opponents' reports shared the view that the new version is of higher quality, especially in terms of critical work with the secondary sources. The flaws were still seen in the lack of a more substantial primary source analysis. The student explained exhaustively none of his omissions. The discussion revolved around the validity of some conclusions made and the terms of completion and correctness were applied. The committee concluded that the thesis is acceptable yet still with many flaws and decided to grade it by the grade GOOD.