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1. Heuristic (please, evaluate by grade 1, 2, 3, 4 – in case of grading 3 and 4 the reviewer is obliged formulate critical points)

| 1.1 Evaluation of the selection of literature and sources | 1 (A) |
| 1.2 Complexity of used sources from the perspective of the state of the art | 1 (A) |

Short evaluation:
The selection of literature related to the theoretical framing and methodology is very careful. The sources were many, very diverse, and it was difficult to narrow down and chose the focus which would allow the analysis of the district and answer the research question.

2. Research problem and its solution (please, evaluate by grade 1, 2, 3, 4 – in case of grading 3 and 4 the reviewer is obliged formulate critical points)

| 2.1 Choice of the formulation of the research issue respects the task given to the student | 1 (A) |
| 2.2 The relevance of the goal from the perspective of research area methodology | 1 (A) |

Short evaluation:
Within the urban studies became the research of processes of identification, cultural transfers, etc. on the scale of an urban district quite frequent. The main research question is very important and relevant, correctly formulated. The methodology is appropriate. – the combination of approaches stemming from history, urban ethnology, sociology (written sources of associations, interviews, statistics) were mastered correctly.

3. Thesis’ structure evaluation (please, evaluate by grade 1, 2, 3, 4 – in case of grading 3 and 4 the reviewer is obliged formulate critical points)

3.1 Is the structure of the thesis logical?  
1 (A)

3.2 Does the thesis’ structure work along the methodology and methods declared in the introduction  
1 (A)

Short evaluation:  
The structure is well thought, well presented and respected in the analysis.

4. Quality of analysis and interpretation evaluation (please, evaluate by grade 1, 2, 3, 4 – in case of grading 3 and 4 the reviewer is obliged formulate critical points)

4.1 Analysis of sources and literature  
1 (A)

4.2 Interpretation of sources and literature in their interaction  
1 (A)

Short evaluation:  
The analysis of sources, framing it on the background of the theoretical frame was the author’s main concern during her work on M2, and she has achieved very good result.

5. Quality of the text (please, evaluate by grade 1, 2, 3, 4 – in case of grading 3 and 4 the reviewer is obliged formulate critical points)

5.1 Style and grammar  
1 (A)

5.2 Use of terminology  
1 (A)
Short evaluation:
Excellent. The thesis reads very well, I have always admired Alicia’s written expression.

6. Synthetic evaluation (500 signs):
Alicia Bouchot has touched in her thesis a very sensitive issue: integration of migrant’s communities in tension with efforts to upgrade the urban infrastructure through gentrification. This topic particularly important and specific in France, has strong comparative potential. Not in a banal direct way. The questioning, the results, the conclusions can enter into the discussion of analogical situations and also in discussion of very different types of integration of migrants in European cities.

7. Questions and comments which should the candidate answer and discuss during the defense:
Alicia, you cannot obviously generalize on the grounds of analysis of one quartier in Toulouse, but still, would Arnaud Bernard fit into some existing typology of urban districts dealing with similar problems?

Suggested grade: 1 (A) – 19/20
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