



Diploma Thesis Evaluation Form

Author: **Tomáš Pavlíček**

Title: **The Eurasian Economic Union: Towards Economic Prosperity, or Towards Power Gains?**

Programme/year: MV/ 2016

Author of Evaluation (supervisor/external assessor): **Irah Kučerová**

Criteria	Definition	Maximm	Points
Major Criteria			
	Research question, definition of objectives	10	8
	Theoretical/conceptual framework	30	30
	Methodology, analysis, argument	40	30
<i>Total</i>		80	68
Minor Criteria			
	Sources	10	10
	Style	5	5
	Formal requirements	5	5
<i>Total</i>		20	20
TOTAL		100	88



Evaluation

Major criteria:

To the definition of research - both research questions are similar; hypotheses No. 2 and No. 3 are analogous.

In what parts of the thesis are the research questions and hypotheses summarized and answered? Even in the part of the operationalization, even in the conclusion - text is not entirely clear.

Minor criteria:

No comments – fine.

Overall evaluation:

I appreciate the conducted qualitative research of the speeches of the presidents, although their informative value about the real motives of integration is considerably lower due to a diplomatic framework. I consider the choice of the theoretical framework as a suitable.

Suggested grade:

Excellent

Signature: