

Report on Bachelor / Master Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Student: | Pavel Martiník |
| Advisor: | JUDr. Mgr. Tomáš Richter, LL.M., Ph.D. |
| Title of the thesis: | Czech Cross-Border Insolvency Rules in Comparison with the UNCITRAL Model Law |

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

The Bachelor thesis is a work on cross-border insolvency rules, with general 46 pages of a descriptive survey, 15 pages of analytical work, and 2 pages of data annex.

The introduction of the cross-border insolvency rules was provided in the Chapters I-III, following different sources. Although in this Chapters did not include:

- Clear specification of the research questions.
- The methodology description, as well as data analysis. For example, author used in his research qualitative and quantitative approach, but unfortunately it was not described properly. Only partial description of the quantitative approach, that was used for finding evidence to show the impact on international trade of the Czech Republic was described in the analytical part of the research paper in Chapter IV.
- The correct structure of the research paper (for example: introduction, purpose, research questions, methods, results, conclusions).

The analytical part of the research, represented in the Chapter IV is performed on low level, some statements are not full or completely incorrect. For example, statement on the page 12 (that universalism and contractualism that remain to be academic concepts) can be argued, because United States has the history of attempting the universalism in its cross-border insolvency proceedings.

In general, the description of the UNCITRAL Model Law is not performed in all dimensions with aim to understand the background of it. It would be useful to provide general analysis of the law implementation from the pioneer's countries point of view, that would give the author good direction in his research to perform the proper analysis and comparison of Czech Republic cross-border insolvency rules.

The Subchapter IV.4., requires more attention to data analysis and data representation in the research paper. Careful analysis of the represented data is appreciated to be done before any of statements or conclusions to be taken.

The list of references must be followed in the same (one) format.

Suggested questions for the defence are:

- What is the background of the UNCITRAL Model Law?
- Would it be possible to state a hypothesis or hypotheses for further research based on this research?

In the case of successful defence, I recommend "dobře" (good, 3).

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

| CATEGORY | POINTS |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Literature (max. 20 points) | 15 |
| Methods (max. 30 points) | 13 |
| Contribution (max. 30 points) | 12 |
| Manuscript Form (max. 20 points) | 11 |
| TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points) | 51 |
| GRADE (1 – 2 – 3 – 4) | 3 |

NAME OF THE REFEREE: Khymych Olha

DATE OF EVALUATION: 02.06.2016


Referee Signature