

POSUDEK VEDOUČÍHO BAKALÁŘSKÉ DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE

SUPERVISOR'S REPORT OF THE BACHELOR THESIS

Supervisor's name: Mgr. Helena Vomáčková

Student's name: Saad Al Amri

The title of the bachelor thesis:
Case study patient with diagnosis after fracture of acetabulum on left side

The goal of the bachelor thesis:
The aim of the general part of the thesis is to summarise the theoretical information about anatomy of hip joint and pelvis fractures. The aim of the special part is case study of physiotherapeutic treatment of a patient after polytraumatic accident presented mostly in left lower extremity.

1. Volume:

pages of text	82			
	21			
number of references	articles	monographs	electronic sources	other
	10	6	5	0
others	figures	tables	graphs	appendices
	3	18	0	2

2. Seriousness of topics:

	above average	average	under average	unsatisfactory
theoretical knowledge			X	
input data and their processing			X	
used methods		X		

3. Criteria of thesis classification:

	degree of evaluation			
	excellent	very good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
degree of aim of work fulfilment				X
<i>The definition of aims of the thesis is completely missing, chapter 3.1 Methodology (p. 25) does not respond to the requirements for bachelor thesis</i>				
independence of student during process of thesis			X	
<i>Student worked independently without any contact with supervisor therefore he was not able to make any correction in the text based on the consultation recommendation.</i>				
logical construction of work			X	
1) the general part contents information about the hip and hip fracture; detailed description of the physiotherapeutically methods used in management of this diagnosis is missed 2) in chapter 3.2. Anamnesis – information of history of present problem, other diagnosis and surgery are completely missed (p. 26) 3) table no. 6 (p. 36) has no evidence of examination of dorsal foot flexors, detailed neurological examination is missed (table no. 8 – p. 38) 4) in chapter 3.5. Therapy process is mention „activation of hypotonic muscles on left leg (ankle plantar and dorsal flexors“ (p. 42), but those muscles were not examined in initial examination				
work with literature and citations				X
<i>There are noticeably whole paragraphs (Rehabilitation program - p. 23; Physical management - p. 22) used from webpages cited in inadequate citation norm (no. 18-21) in Bibliography (p. 81).</i>				
adequacy of used methods		X		
design of work (text, graphs, tables)			X	
<i>Chapter 2.8.3. (page 21-23) is written in different spacing, the design of the work is poor.</i>				
stylistic level			X	

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes: under average average

5. Comments and questions to answer:

The thesis doesn't fully responds to the requirements for the bachelor thesis and successful passing of this diploma work will be considered according the student defence.
 Question: Which used therapy was maximal effective for your patient?

6. Recommendation for defence: NO YES

7. Designed classificatory degree according to student's presentation/defence

Date: in Prague 10.9.2015

signature of the supervisor