

# Report on Bachelor / Master Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

<b>Student:</b>	<b>Ganna Efros</b>
<b>Advisor:</b>	<b>Mrg. Iuliia Brushko, M.A.</b>
<b>Title of the thesis:</b>	<b>Banks' Liquidity in Ukraine Shortly before and during Political Instability of 2013-2015</b>

## **OVERALL ASSESSMENT** (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

The goal of this thesis is to study the banks liquidity before and during political instability of 2013-2015 in Ukraine. The thesis raises interesting hypotheses, which were clearly stated. Unfortunately, they were not supported by the economic arguments or existing literature.

At the same time, there is a good discussion of the banking sector of Ukraine and its peculiarities. The author also extensively covers the central bank's actions during the turbulent political period of 2014-2015 and shortly before it. The thesis would benefit greatly if the author include the review of the relevant to the topic existing literature.

From the technical point of view, the methodology is appropriate and correctly specified.

Given the above, I would recommend grade 3 ("satisfactory"/ "dobře").

## **SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED** (for details, see below):

<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>POINTS</b>
<i>Literature</i> (max. 20 points)	5
<i>Methods</i> (max. 30 points)	20
<i>Contribution</i> (max. 30 points)	15
<i>Manuscript Form</i> (max. 20 points)	10
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> (max. 100 points)	<b>50</b>
<b>GRADE</b> (1 – 2 – 3 – 4)	<b>3</b>

**NAME OF THE REFEREE:** *Iuliia Brushko*

**DATE OF EVALUATION:** 02.09.2016

---

**Referee Signature**

### **EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES AND SCALE:**

**LITERATURE REVIEW:** *The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and command of recent literature. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way.*

Strong                  Average                  Weak  
20                          10                          0

**METHODS:** *The tools used are relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the author's level of studies. The thesis topic is comprehensively analyzed.*

Strong                  Average                  Weak  
30                          15                          0

**CONTRIBUTION:** *The author presents original ideas on the topic demonstrating critical thinking and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and empirics. There is a distinct value added of the thesis.*

Strong                  Average                  Weak  
30                          15                          0

**MANUSCRIPT FORM:** *The thesis is well structured. The student uses appropriate language and style, including academic format for graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables and disposes with a complete bibliography.*

Strong                  Average                  Weak  
20                          10                          0

### **Overall grading:**

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE		
81 – 100	<b>1</b>	= excellent	= výborně
61 – 80	<b>2</b>	= good	= velmi dobře
41 – 60	<b>3</b>	= satisfactory	= dobře
0 – 40	<b>4</b>	= fail	= nedoporučuji k obhajobě