

Report on Bachelor Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

Student:	Efros Ganna
Advisor:	Mgr. Iuliia Brushko M.A.
Title of the thesis:	Bank's Liquidity in Ukraine shortly before and during Political Instability of 2013-2015

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

In the bachelor thesis, Efros aim to study liquidity of Ukrainian banks during the period 2013-15. In the introductory part, Efros motivates the thesis by the question why so many banks were liquidated and suggests several potential explanations (inefficient policies of the central bank, financial crisis, etc). In the rest of the thesis, the author uses sophisticated econometric methods to study the determinants of bank liquidity. However, it is not clear how such analysis relates to the motivation and what the aims of the analysis are. From the title of the thesis, one would expect to see comparison of the determinants before and during the period of political instability, but this is not the case. The author writes down several specific hypotheses, but it is not clear why it is important and interesting to study them, no references to relevant literature are made. Also, my expectation would be that these relationships between variables have been studied before in the literature, but literature review is completely missing.

Despite these drawbacks, I believe the thesis fulfills the requirements for a bachelor thesis at IES FSS, Charles University, and suggest grade C (3).

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Literature</i> (max. 20 points)	5
<i>Methods</i> (max. 30 points)	15
<i>Contribution</i> (max. 30 points)	15
<i>Manuscript Form</i> (max. 20 points)	15
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points)	50
GRADE (1 – 2 – 3 – 4)	3

NAME OF THE REFEREE: Julie Chytilová

DATE OF EVALUATION: August 4, 2016



Referee Signature

EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES AND SCALE:

LITERATURE REVIEW: *The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and command of recent literature. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

METHODS: *The tools used are relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the author's level of studies. The thesis topic is comprehensively analyzed.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

CONTRIBUTION: *The author presents original ideas on the topic demonstrating critical thinking and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and empirics. There is a distinct value added of the thesis.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

MANUSCRIPT FORM: *The thesis is well structured. The student uses appropriate language and style, including academic format for graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables and disposes with a complete bibliography.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

Overall grading:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE		
81 – 100	1	= excellent	= výborně
61 – 80	2	= good	= velmi dobře
41 – 60	3	= satisfactory	= dobře
0 – 40	4	= fail	= nedoporučuji k obhajobě