

This dissertation is concerned with Building Code, No. 183/2006 Sb., and especially in its amendment No. 350/2012 Sb. It specializes in territorial procedure itself which is covered in sections 84 till 96a of Building Code. This dissertation tries to find out what pros and cons of territorial procedure are after the aforementioned amendment.

Chapter one lays down the basic terms and documents of territorial procedures on state (“Politika územního rozvoje”), regional (“Zásady územního rozvoje”) and urban (“Územní plán”) levels. It also covers special institute of “Regulační plan” which can be used on regional and/or urban level. Other parts of chapter one are “Územní rozhodnutí” which specifies the type of given intention in given place and “Veřejnoprávní smlouva” which can be translated as “public (law) contract”. This contract enables applicants to make their intention come to effect on their own strength with Building Authority only checking the final state of the contract. At the end this contract has the same power and authority as standard legal act by the Building Authority.

Chapter two is the crucial part of this dissertation. It takes separately individual instruments of territorial procedures that are concerned with positioning particular intention(s) and the procedure that is given to this intention and describes them, examines them and compares them to the state before amendment No. 350/2012 Sb. And the old Building Code, No. 50/1976 Sb., both substantive and procedural law. There are several instruments in Building Code that are completely new for example Joint territorial and building procedure (Section 94a) and there is description of their possible flaws if they are seen by the author for the future use in building processes.

Due to the fact that 1<sup>st</sup> January 2014 new Civil Code, No. 89/2012 Sb., came into force, there are notes about changes and modifications involving Building Code in this dissertation.