

Mentor evaluation of diploma thesis

Student: **Emmanouil Lioudakis**

Mentor of the thesis: Assoc. Prof. Veronika Opletalová, Ph.D.

Year of the defence: 2015

Title of the thesis:

Current trends in the development of steroidal hormone modulators

The topic of the thesis was chosen from the list of topics of department

Work with literature: very good.

Language skills: very good.

Student invention: very good.

Student worked: independently with mentor help.

Problems and issues were solved: only with mentor help.

Work in the lab was: not available, literature review.

Results interpretation: not available, literature review.

Processing of thesis was: major revision of mentor was necessary.

Graphical processing for the thesis: good.

Mentor comments to thesis and student approach:

Emmanouil Lioudakis started to work on his diploma thesis in the summer semester of the 4th year. Although he was given several reviews that could help him to manage the topic, he submitted the first version of the diploma thesis as late as on 9th April 2015. The version contained only information about estrogens, androgens and partly progestogens. No formulas and references were included. After he added these data, I helped him to correct the part about estrogens and told him to write the remaining parts accordingly.

Another version was submitted on 8th of May. It was complete, but at the first sight it was clear that it will require a lot of corrections. Hence, I recommended to postpone the defence till September. However, the student refused it and insisted on the defence in June. As some parts of the text were written on the basis of literature older than 15 years, which can hardly be considered as current trends, they had to be removed and replaced with new data. This required a lot of my help since the

students would not be able to re-write it in a few days that were left. Finally, he succeeded to submit the thesis on 22nd June.

I must say that he strived to correct everything according to my instructions, but everything was done in a hurry and not properly. More time would surely resulted in better thesis as the topic is really interesting, and there are many facts that had to be ommited.

Nonetheless, the thesis meets criteria for a review and I recommend it for the defence.

Evaluation of diploma thesis: very good, to defense: recommended

In Hradec Kralove 31st May 2015

.....
Mentor signature