## REPORT ON THE MASTER THESIS

GPS – Geopolitical Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Title of the thesis:	The Balkans after 1991 through the prism of geopolitics
<b>Author of the thesis:</b>	Mario Sharevski
Referee (incl. titles):	Michael Romancov, PhD.

**Remark:** It is a standard at the FSV UK that the Referee's Report is at least 500 words long. In case you will assess the thesis as "non-defendable", please explain the concrete reasons for that in detail.

# **SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED** (for details, see below):

CATEGORY		POINTS
Theoretical backgro	und (max. 20)	18
Contribution	(max. 20)	18
Methods	(max. 20)	17
Literature	(max. 20)	20
Manuscript form	(max. 20)	18
TOTAL POINTS	(max. 100)	91
The proposed grade (1-2-3-4)		1

You can even use a decimal point (e.g. giving the grade of 2.5 for 60 points).

Comments of the referee on the thesis highlights and shortcomings (following the 5 numbered aspects of your assessment indicated below).

1) **Theoretical background**: Author tried to ground his thesis with solid and fundamental theoretical background, as there is a whole chapter devoted to geopolitical theories. In my opinion this chapter was elaborated carefully and because of that whole thesis acquired proper theoretical framework.

## 2) Contribution:

Submitted thesis deals with relevant and important issue. Author's declared ambition, clearly indicated by title of his thesis, was successfully carried out. Mario's text is very satisfyingly elaborated from formal as well as from contentual point of view

#### 3) Methods:

Without any significant problems.

### 4) Literature:

I would like to stress not only very broad list of academic literature (written in several languages) which was used, but also author's familiarity and deep knowledge of research literature.

## 5) Manuscript form:

Without any significant problems.

DATE OF EVALUATION: June 18th, 2014	
	Referee Signature

#### The referee should give comments to the following requirements:

1) THEORETICAL BACKGROUND: Can you recognize that the thesis was guided by some theoretical fundamentals relevant for this thesis topic? Were some important theoretical concepts omitted? Was the theory used in the thesis consistently incorporated with the topic and hypotheses tested?

Strong Average Weak

20 10 0 points

**2) CONTRIBUTION:** Evaluate if the author presents **original ideas** on the topic and aims at demonstrating **critical thinking** and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and relevant empirical material. Is there a distinct **value added** of the thesis (relative to knowledge of a university-educated person interested in given topic)? Did the author explain **why** the observed phenomena occurred? Were the policy implications well founded?

Strong Average Weak

20 10 0 points

**3) METHODS:** Are the **hypotheses** for this study clearly stated, allowing their further verification and testing? Are the theoretical explanations, empirical material and **analytical tools** used in the thesis relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the aspiration level of the study? Is the thesis **topic comprehensively analyzed** and does the thesis not make trivial or irrelevant detours off the main body stated in the thesis proposal? More than 10 points signal an exceptional work, **which requires your explanation "why" it is so**).

Strong Average Weak

20 10 0 points

**4)** LITERATURE REVIEW: The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and **command of recent literature**. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way and disposes with a representative bibliography. (Remark: references to Wikipedia, websites and newspaper articles are a sign of **poor research**). If they dominate you cannot give more than 8 points. References to books published by prestigious publishers and articles in renowned journals give much better impression.

Strong Average Weak

20 10 0 points

**5) MANUSCRIPT FORM:** The thesis is **clear and well structured**. The author uses appropriate language and style, including academic **format** for quotations, graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables, is easily readable and **stimulates thinking**.

Strong Average Weak

20 10 0 points

#### Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:

e verall grading contents at veral				
TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Czech grading	US grading	
81 – 100	1	= excellent	= A	
61 – 80	2	= good	= B	
51 – 60	3	= satisfactory	= C	
41 – 50	3	= satisfactory	= D	
0 – 40	4	= fail	= not recommended for defence	