# **REPORT OF BACHELOR THESIS - opponent**

**Opponent's name**:

PhDr. Edwin Mahr, Ph.D.

Leadership's name:

PhDr.Jitka Čemusová, Ph.D.

Student's name:

Thomas Egeland Lyse

## Title of diploma thesis:

Case study of a Patient with Dislocation of Acromioclavicular Joint

### Goal of thesis:

The aim of this thesis is to discuss the rehabilitation of a patient in the state after reposition of the acromioclavicular joint with temporary K-wire transfixation

## 1. Volume:

| * pages of text              | 56     |
|------------------------------|--------|
| * literature                 | 22     |
| * tables, graphs, appendices | 33,0,2 |

| 2. Seriousness of topics:         | above average | average | under avarage |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| * theroretical knowladges         |               | Х       |               |
|                                   |               |         |               |
| * input data and their processing |               | Х       |               |
|                                   |               |         |               |
| * used methods                    | Х             |         |               |
|                                   |               |         |               |

|  | evaluation |           |              |                |
|--|------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| 3. Criteria of thesis classification   | excellent  | very good | satisfactory | unsatisfactory |
| degree of aim of work fulfilment       |            | Х         |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |
| depth of analysis of thesis            |            | Х         |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |
| logical constutruction of work         | Х          |           |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |
| work with literature and citations     |            | Х         |              |                |
|  |            | -         |              |                |
| adequacy of used methods               | Х          |           |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |
| design of work (text, graphs, tablels) |            | Х         |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |
| stylistic level                        | Х          |           |              |                |
|  |            |           |              |                |

4. Usefulness of the thesis outcomes:

under average average

### 5. Comments and questions to answer:

I would like to see more photos...

| 6. Recomendation for defence:     | YES NO                 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 7. Designed classificatory degree | 1<br>according defence |

Date: 9.5.2012

signature of the oponent