

## The absolute immanence in Deleuze

Daeseung Park

### Abstract

The plane of immanence is not unique. Deleuze and Guattari suppose a multiplicity of planes. Each great philosopher draws new planes on his own way, and these planes constitute the “time of philosophy”. We can, therefore, “present the entire history of philosophy from the viewpoint of the institution of a plane of immanence” or present the time of philosophy from the viewpoint of the superposition and of the coexistence of planes. However, values of these institutions of planes are not equivalent. Since the plane of immanence cannot take off illusions surrounding the plane, and only a few philosophers are free from the illusions. We could, for this reason, say that a plane is “better” than the others. First of all, it is a question of the “illusion of transcendence” that makes the immanence “to” something. Plato, Christian philosophers and philosophers of the cogito, they correspond with the three ages of the illusion of transcendence. On the other hand, there are some philosophers of the immanence who have nothing to do with the illusion and know the immanence itself, namely, the “best” plane of immanence or the absolute immanence. This thesis’ objective is to deal with problems about the absolute immanence according to a question which moves the philosophy of Deleuze : *quid juris?*, “what belongs to the thought by right?”.