

Report on Bachelor / Master Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Student: | Marek Krečmer |
| Advisor: | PhDr. Ing. Jiří Skuhrovec |
| Title of the thesis: | Expenditures of Public institutions on Purchase of Goods and Services |

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

The work examines a very technical and specific yet important topic of measurement how institutions are able purchasing under public procurement regulation. It provides reasonable literature overview, and analysis of legal environment – which however might benefit from deeper examination of procurement law, public accounting and budget forming rules. Although these are normally only of minor interest to economic researcher, they play vital role from the subject of the work which definitely belongs to the Law and Economics field.

Author did quite demanding piece of groundwork with public data collecting and processing, and demonstrated ability to analyze them, formulate methodology of their evaluation, and to apply the methodology.

The overall contribution of work is not above standard bachelor thesis level, although the outputs may have their use in NGO sector. The underlying analysis could have been done more thoroughly, yet I am aware that its core is a bit distant from hardcore economics, and might not have been very tempting to study.

Marek managed to deal with topic and with some flaws he fulfilled the goal of the thesis, therefore I propose grade **satisfactory**.

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

| CATEGORY | POINTS |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Literature (max. 20 points) | 15 |
| Methods (max. 30 points) | 15 |
| Contribution (max. 30 points) | 15 |
| Manuscript Form (max. 20 points) | 15 |
| TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points) | 60 |
| GRADE (1 – 2 – 3 – 4) | 2 |

NAME OF THE REFEREE: Jiří Skuhrovec

DATE OF EVALUATION: 11.6.2012



Referee Signature

EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES AND SCALE:

LITERATURE REVIEW: *The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and command of recent literature. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

METHODS: *The tools used are relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the author's level of studies. The thesis topic is comprehensively analyzed.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

CONTRIBUTION: *The author presents original ideas on the topic demonstrating critical thinking and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and empirics. There is a distinct value added of the thesis.*

Strong Average Weak
30 15 0

MANUSCRIPT FORM: *The thesis is well structured. The student uses appropriate language and style, including academic format for graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables and disposes with a complete bibliography.*

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0

Overall grading:

| TOTAL POINTS | GRADE | | |
|--------------|-------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 81 – 100 | 1 | = excellent | = výborně |
| 61 – 80 | 2 | = good | = velmi dobře |
| 41 – 60 | 3 | = satisfactory | = dobře |
| 0 – 40 | 4 | = fail | = nedoporučuji k obhajobě |