

Abstract

This thesis seeks to answer the question, if it is possible to create the concept of "dual image of Spain" in the second half of the twenties of 20th century or the dictatorship of Primo de Rivera. A prerequisite for this double division are opinions of the Czech historian and diplomat Vlastimil Kybal, who worked as ambassador in Madrid between 1927-1933 and Spanish historians who examined this period. Based on comparative method the work analyzes the economic, social and political events, on one side as recorded by Kybal and on the other by historians. The primary objective is the research and formulation of different concepts and ideas and secondly their same opinions. The issue of legitimacy of the constitution, new constitution, the collaboration of PSOE, economic protectionism, causes of the anti-regime resistance (military, students) or causes of downfall of the dictatorship belong to the basic topics of the research.

For comparison were used archival documents of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (political news) and secondary literature (J. M. Galán, C. F. Marroyo, M. Tuñón de Lara, J. Tusell, etc.).

The result of this work is the claim that the period under review can not define "dual image of Spain", because there are many views among Spanish historians about Primo de Rivera's dictatorship.