

Opponent's assessment of the diploma thesis of Maxat Kulzhanbekov:
Development of lung cancer mortality in the European Union from 1980 to 2006

The diploma thesis of student Maxat Kulzhanbekov *Development of lung cancer mortality in the European Union from 1980 to 2006* consists of 111 pages. Seven pages out of 111 ones are appendixes. The text is organized in seven numerated chapters including the introduction plus conclusion which is not numerated. In addition, there are the lists of abbreviation, tables, figures plus the list of references and the appendixes as a part of the thesis.

Lung cancer and related diseases like malignant neoplasm of trachea and bronchus together as one of relatively frequent causes of death among neoplasms went through specific development of its prevalence and fatality, including the growth of mortality within past two or three decades. The title characterizes the overall cognitive goal of the submitted thesis. It is an analysis and assessment of mortality caused by lung cancer. The author formulated three main objectives at the beginning of his work. He decided to describe (and to analyze) development of that kind of mortality in the countries under question and during the given period, to identify main factors and to identify and present the effort of the EU countries with the aim to decrease mortality related to this group of diseases.


The text submitted by Maxat Kulzhanbekov has a standard structure of a final thesis. He is first defining the problem to be solved, formulate the aim and objectives of his work, discuss the relevance of the study and present the structure of the entire text. After this introductory chapter he moves to literature review which untraditionally incorporates also a brief specification and history of a cancer *per se* plus summarization of our basic knowledge about lung cancer. Also rather brief is his conceptual part, especially the subchapter trying to define basic concepts. Here one can find explained only two demographic terms – mortality rate and age standardized mortality rate. The remaining items describe only the main kinds of cancer. As a theoretical base of his research, the author introduces the theory of epidemiological transition and theory of demographic transition which he tries to see in mutual interaction or maybe better in mutual context. Formulated research questions are only listed missing any explanation or advocacy; research hypotheses are relatively well formulated regardless the fact they are a bit hidden in the text introducing them. They do not fully and necessarily correspond with research questions since there is a discrepancy between the number research question (7) and hypotheses (5). In the fifth chapter, Maxat Kulzhanbekov first presents the sources of used data trying to discuss the quality of data itself at the same time. In the methodological subchapter which is closing the technical part of his thesis he introduces two basic methods applied in the following research: direct standardization and cluster analysis.

The content part of the thesis is represented by two different chapters. The less extensive one is devoted to overview and discussion of the risk factors and prevention measures. It provides a potential base for explanatory analysis which should logically follow description and basic analysis of mortality in the question and its patterns. Lung cancer mortality patterns description and basic analysis is a main but only partial topic of the core chapter 7. The leading theme of the entire thesis is “packed” into the overviews of mortality by causes of death and mortality referring malignant neoplasms. Lung cancer mortality is analyzed in its differentiation by sex and age. The obtained results are further used for classification of countries according to the level and development of lung cancer mortality. In the closing part, Maxat Kulzhanbekov summarizes main results of his diploma research and tries to formulate basic conclusions.

Regarding its quality, the assessed diploma thesis is divided into two different parts. Its text until the chapter 6 documents a substantial progress made by M. Kulzhanbekov during his work on the theme. Text is mostly written in understandable English and has relatively solid logical structure. In some parts the text could be reduced since problem discussions are sometimes too extensive but in principle the author compiled an interesting and relevant material. The main critical comments could address still frequently missing proper quotations and references (e.g. in the literature review), and especially when some facts which do not belong to own research results are presented (e.g. page 17, par. 2; p. 24, last paragraph; p. 28, par. 1, etc.). Some sentences still should be reformulated to increase comprehensibility of some ideas and findings presented by the author (e.g. page 12, par. 2; p. 24, last paragraph; p. 27, par. 3; p. 41, par. 3; etc.). The title of Tab. 1 is not understandable (p. 26). On the p. 29 is a poorly arranged presentation of the formula describing principles of standardization: poor layout and not all symbols are defined. In his generalization in the end of the text on the page 35 the author probably has forgot to formulate corresponding conclusions for males. In Fig. 3 on page 36 Austria is missing.

More attention of the author required comments on data presented particularly in tables and figures. His "highlights" do not always correspond with the data presented (e.g. text to the tables 3, 4 and 5, fig. 14, 15 and 16). In some cases, the data presented in tables are not commented at all (e.g. tab. 15a-f). In the same tables the words "maximum" and "minimum" in the legend are switched. Frequent discrepancies between data and text are the principal problem of the core chapter 7 and probably the most serious defect of the entire diploma thesis.

If there would not appear the last mentioned problem, the overall assessment of the Maxat Kulzhanbekov diploma thesis would be positive even taking into account other, mostly minor imperfections mentioned above. Under the given conditions, however, my recommendation to accept this diploma thesis for defense is not "doubts free". Regardless this fact I recommend the diploma thesis of Maxat Kulzhanbekov *Development of lung cancer mortality in the European Union from 1980 to 2006* for defence.



RNDr. Tomáš Kučera, CSc.
tutor

Prague, 18 September 2011