

Report on Bachelor / Master Thesis

Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

Student:	Jan Harrer
Advisor:	Tomáš Richter
Title of the thesis:	Economic and law aspects of reorganization and <small>bankruptcy liquidation in the case of Kardama</small>

OVERALL ASSESSMENT (provided in English, Czech, or Slovak):

A thoroughly well researched and written thesis, a significant contribution to our current understanding of how the new reorganization option provided to business debtors by the new Czech Insolvency Act 182/2006 works in practice.

The general part of the thesis (sections 1 to 4) shows impressive command of the relevant literature (foreign and domestic) and the key analytical concepts. Of particular note is the author's fluency in legal terms and issues, demonstrated at a depth that I would not necessarily expect of a non-legal major.

One should also not underestimate the author's proficiency in English which, at this stage of his professional development, is to be highly commended.

The specific part (sections 5 to 7) presents a number of interesting insights into the details of the case studied and attests the significant time and effort expended at the paper. I found particularly enlightening the author's findings on the direct costs of the reorganization proceedings at the parent of the group – both in terms of absolute values (and the way these were derived from a non-obvious source which I have myself not noticed when reviewing the case) and in terms of their presentation in the methodological context derived from previous foreign research on the subject matter.

A very good thesis indeed. I note that essentially the same paper was already submitted as master thesis but have no doubts that the paper will fulfil the criteria of a "rigorózní práce" as well.

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

CATEGORY	POINTS
Literature (max. 20 points)	20
Methods (max. 30 points)	25
Contribution (max. 30 points)	25
Manuscript Form (max. 20 points)	17
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100 points)	87
GRADE (1 – 2 – 3 – 4)	1

NAME OF THE REFEREE: Tomáš Richter

DATE OF EVALUATION: 10/10/2011



Referee Signature

EXPLANATION OF CATEGORIES AND SCALE:

LITERATURE REVIEW: The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and command of recent literature. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way.

Strong 20 Average 10 Weak 0

METHODS: The tools used are relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the author's level of studies. The thesis topic is comprehensively analyzed.

Strong 30 Average 15 Weak 0

CONTRIBUTION: The author presents original ideas on the topic demonstrating critical thinking and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and empirics. There is a distinct value added of the thesis.

Strong 30 Average 15 Weak 0

MANUSCRIPT FORM: The thesis is well structured. The student uses appropriate language and style, including academic format for graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables and disposes with a complete bibliography.

Strong 20 Average 10 Weak 0

Overall grading:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	
81 – 100	1	= excellent = výborně
61 – 80	2	= good = velmi dobře
41 – 60	3	= satisfactory = dobře
0 – 40	4	= fail = nedoporučuji k obhajobě