Přílohy

Příloha č. 1: Transkript epizody Any Questions? z 12. srpna 2011:

Panelisté:

JD Jonathan Dimbleby

PH Peter Hitchens

SW Sayeeda Warsi

JU Julia Unwin

HH Harriet Harman

- 1 JD: Welcome to the City of York where we are close to the race course in dringhouses. The
- 2 word dring deriving from a 12th century to describe a young man who was given a free
- 3 tenancy in return for providing duties which were partly military and partly servile, thought
- 4 [unclear]. With the guests of here in the Edward the Confessor Church that is celebrating its
- 5 150th anniversary. And on our panel Sayeeda Warsi who sits in the Cabinet as a co-chairman
- of the Conservative Party, Harriet Harman who is the Shadow Deputy Prime Minister and the
- 7 Deputy Leader of the Labour Party, Julia Unwin who has been Director of the Joseph
- 8 Rowntree Foundation since 2007. The foundation, I quote: "seeks to understand the root
- 9 causes of social problems, to identify the ways of overcoming them and to show how social
- 10 needs can be met in practice." She says that the concern about the dispossessed is what gets
- 11 her out of bed in the morning. Peter Hitchens is an author and columnist for the Mail on
- Sunday who blogs this week that the majority of our and, I quote: "intelligentsia will continue
- to regard him as, I quote again, a fascist for proposing what they regard as unthinkable
- solutions for the kinds of problems manifested in the crime, violence and looting which has so
- shaken the nation this week." Our panel.
- 16 Applause
- 17 JD: Our first questions, please:
- Martin Murdoch. When it comes to keeping order on our streets, who is in charge? Police or
- 19 politicians?
- 20 JD: Harriet Harman.
- 21 HH: Well, I think the government is responsible for, and Parliament, is responsible for setting
- 22 the laws, the legal framework, and the government is responsible for ensuring the police have
- 23 the resources they need. But when it comes to actual police operations, what they do day to
- 24 day, who they arrest, then that has to be an independent decision, operationally for the police
- because we have in this country a very strong and proud tradition that the police are
- 26 independent and they are not directed by government, they are not directed by politicians.
- 27 And I think it is very worrying that the police do seem to feel that the government have kind
- 28 of trodden over the wrong side of the line on it and have given the impression that it was the
- 29 government that was directing operations to ensure order on our streets when the police have
- made it absolutely clear that it was they who chose the tactics, who built up the numbers on
- 31 the nights to finally quell the rioting that was in our streets and I think that the government

- should at this point, when there is still police officers lying injured, when the police are still
- kicking in doors in order to arrest people and looking at CC TV in order to track people down,
- at this point, the government should be confining themselves to giving the police 100 %
- 35 support.
- 36 Applause
- 37 JD: So, when Sir Hugh Orde, the chairman of ACPO, The Association of Chief Police
- Officers, said on news night, I quote: "the fact that politicians chose to come back from their
- 39 holidays is in irrelevance in terms of the tactics that were then developing...he's right. (?)
- 40 HH: Well, what he was doing is I think he was saying, that they bitterly resent, err, they felt
- 41 that David Cameron was somehow implying that it wasn't until he came back from his
- 42 holiday that the grid was got on it and that it was sorted out and I think that they feel that he
- was trying to imply that he had sorted the situation out when they know that it was they who
- had done it and also, err, that he had somehow implied that, that he was taking decisions.. I
- was quite surprised when I heard in the house of commons Theresa May saying that she had
- agreed to cancel police overtime, I mean actually those sorts of things are for chief constables,
- 47 you know they do an incredibly important job and they shouldn't be encroached on and that is
- one of the reasons why I am very worried about having elected police commissioners –
- 49 JD: Ok, we will leave that right there. Ehm -
- 50 Applause
- 51 JD: Sayeeda Warsi, the Home Office issued a statement on behalf of the Home Secretary
- saying that Theresa May, I quote: "ordered all special constables should be mobe...
- mobilized, all police leave cancelled." Did she make that order? And if she made that order,
- was she out of order?
- 55 SW: Let me go back to the question that Martin was asking and I will address that in the
- 56 question as well...It is the police's job to set operations and in this particular situation that we
- 57 have seen unfold over the last seven days it was the police that was leading those operations.
- Let me also say that those police officers that have had to stand in that front line and take
- 59 missiles, take aggression, not knowing how the situation was going to be cha... err...changed,
- 60 going day after day, night after night, in the front line, to protect all of us, we can't any of us
- say thank you enough for what they have done and to especially what -

- 62 Applause
- 63 JD: And will you now answer Martin Murdoch's question, it is a very simple question...
- 64 SW: Yes yes..
- 65 JD: No, who is in charge?
- 66 SW: But suggest that, yeah -
- 67 JD: Police or politicians?
- 68 SW: But suggest that those operations are conducted in a vacuum, without politicians being
- there is wrong...I have been at the COBRA meetings that we have been having over this last
- week. The acting commissioner for the metropolitan police is there, Sir Hugh Orde is there
- and the po—the Prime Minister asks questions, he says do you have the resources for the
- operations that you want to conduct, do you need any further resources, are there any glitches
- in the system, if you start arresting people today if that's what you're planning to do, do we
- have enough room in the cells? If we start remanding people in custody, do we have the
- prison spaces, what can we do to help further? That is what the prime minister needs to be
- doing in a COBRA meeting, he needs to be making sure that all the police services, the
- emergency services, feel completely supported and that they have the political will and
- support of the government behind them. Let me tell you an example -
- 79 JD: No no, let's not take an example -
- 80 SW: But it's important to give an example -
- 81 JD: Let's just take the -
- 82 SW: 'Cause I just spoke -
- 33 JD: Let's not..we have a lot of questions to get to, I am sure you will have chance, just answer
- this question: Did Theresa may, as the home office statement say, order for special councillors
- 85 to mobilize or not?
- 86 SW: If the home office stated that, mm, and that's the statement she issues -
- 87 JD: So she..
- 88 SW: So she must have, then.

- 39 JD: So she ordered, mm, that all special councillors should be mobilized, and I am quoting
- 90 the statement, Sir Hugh Orde says, which is the core of the question from Martin Murdoch,
- 91 the Home Secretary has, quotes: no power what so ever to make sanction order.
- 92 SW: I don't know what personal conversations took place between Hugh Orde and...
- JD: These are two public statements! These are two public statements Directly contradictory.
- 94 SW: What I can tell you to what did happen in COBRA, there was a very real discussion
- about the number of police officers that were needed on our streets and there was a very real
- discussion about making sure that we had every available resource, that meant having the
- 97 council, the council need. And who said what and what time and who said it first and who
- said it second I think it is deeply petty and I actually think that both politicians and the police
- are above this so what we should be doing at this time is not having these petty fall outs but
- actually rising above it and say police have done a damn good job and we value them for
- 101 keeping our streets safe.
- 102 JD: So hugh—
- 103 Applause
- JD: So Hugh Ward being an eminent policeman who reached great distinction in Northern
- 105 Ireland might not feel he was making a petty point, I don't know.
- 106 Audience murmuring
- JD: Mm, but..Peter Hitchens, Peter Hitchens.
- 108 PH: Well, the simple answer to the question is, of course, that when things are going well, the
- politicians are responsible and when things are going badly...look, the police are
- 110 responsible...
- 111 Applause
- 112 PH: Because the politicians are many more spin doctors than the police do. And I noticed, of
- 113 course, the baroness Warsi hiding behind the police in her response there: "Oh, well, let's all
- identify with the police" The police, of course, none of us is going to denigrate police officers
- who stand out in the street, brave and in missiles and danger, who could possibly do that? But
- what we are actually asking here is about who is in charge and whether they know what they

- are doing. And it is perfectly plain that they don't. I say who is actually in charge...Roy
- Jenkins began the process of nationalising the police back under the Harold Wilson
- government in 1964, he destroyed most of the local police forces which used to actually be
- joining the local, the awful, hideous police college in Ramsey was created which has, which
- has, which has put...put every police force in the country under the control of indoctrinated
- sociologists and the, the..
- 123 Laugh
- PH: Well, it's true, look at the chief constables, these are [unclear] invariably in social science
- degrees...is that what you want, standing up in front of those personally..no, this has been the
- whole tendency: the closure of the police stations, the withdrawal of police from foot patrol,
- the political correctness of the police, the general, the general retreat from their doing what we
- want them to do into being a neutral body which negotiates between offender and victim and
- which, let's be quite honest, were quite incapable of coping with this event when it began, and
- not because of failing of individual officers or lack of courage but because of they simply
- didn't know what to do and they were under the control of people who were fundamentally
- unprepared for what was going to happen..I could have told them what was going to happen,
- most of you could tell what was going to happen, we've seen the decline of order, morality
- and authority in this country, gathering in place over the past 25 years -
- 135 JD: So it was -
- PH: It was inevitable and they weren't ready for it because they simply -
- 137 JD: OK -
- 138 PH: Because, because they simply aren't able to understand what's wrong with the country.
- 139 JD: Julia Unwin.
- 140 Applause
- 141 PH: Thank you.
- JU: I don't see this a petty distinction at all. I think one of the fundamental strengths of the
- free democracy is that we do have a separation of the government and the police and the fact
- that the police are looked to as a professional body who can make those decisions is what we
- hold them to account for. We ask the government to hold them to account and to manage the

strategy but we absolutely require the police to set operational direction. I think what's so 146 unseemly about the debate of the last few days is that in this very sad and difficult and 147 troubling time. We're ending up with a fight about who said what about leave, all the 148 governments I've ever known find it incredibly difficult to understand the value and 149 importance of independence whether it's the police or any other body and I think we need to 150 maintain that we leave it at our peril. 151 152 JD: Thank you. **Applause** 153 154 JD: Our next question, please. 155 Geoffrey Whitaker. Should police numbers be reconsidered now in the aftermath of the riots? JD: there are proposed cuts, budget cuts, with 20 percent over the next 4 years, which is what 156 you are referring to, should this be reconsidered? 157 Geoffrey Whitacker: That's correct, I mean, when we looked at the riots starting, they were 158 awfully undermanned, which caused a lot of problems and the movement from police force to 159 police force created shortages in other areas of the country that would allow the riots then to 160 start in different areas, and how do you react if there is two or three riots at the same time? 161 162 JD: Should this be reconsidered. Peter Hitchens? PH: I don't think it's the issue, I think if you look at the numbers of police in this country, we 163 have now, both for the head of population and as an absolute number, more police officers 164 than we ever had before. What the problem is, is what they do and there are so many of them, 165 strengthen by ridiculous pieces of legislation like the Police and Criminal Evidence Act in 166 1984 and its clause of practice and the human rights laws which also restrict them, so for 167 instance, if the police officer arrests somebody on the street, he's committed to five hours 168 minimum of bureaucracy and paperwork, so he then don't do so, the police are being 169 emasculated by regulation and law and human rights so it doesn't matter how many of them 170 you have, they won't be able to do the job you want them to do so what is really necessary is 171 the repeal of these foolish laws and the replacement of this reactive police force which sits in 172 remotes buildings, most of the police stations are in close as well, sits in remote buildings far 173 away, waits for things to go wrong and then react, the replacement of that would be what we 174

actually want, what everybody wants, which is quite practical and would actually be cheaper,

the police force patrolling on foot preventing the outbreak of trouble in the first place. No more effective reform of policing has ever been devised on that, it worked when we had it, the reasons for getting rid of it were, were, were in fact non-existent and it would be very very easy and very very simple to reinstate it with a very small amount of legislation which neither the Conservative Party nor the Labour Party will even contemplate because they are wholly hostage to the politically correct, basically anti-police ideas which falls to that legislation in the first place. You'll get nothing from it -

183 JD: Julia -

- 184 PH: I promise you, nothing.
- 185 JD: Julia Unwin.
 - JU: I have no idea whether these cuts are safe ones to make or not but I do know that this is a very bad week for making these sorts of decisions and I think if we make a decision about these cuts, reinstating them or not, at a time of crisis and panic we'll most certainly make the wrong decision... We need to step back and think very carefully about the deployment of the police, the task we ask them to do, on that I strongly agree with Peter, I think we need to be really clear about the job is and where else help comes for these communities... What troubles me is that if we reinstate these numbers and I can see lots of tempting reasons to do it and my guess is it will happen, we will have to find that money elsewhere. And if we take that money from other places, if we take it out from youth service, if we take it out from where young people are entertained and diverted and given access to work, then we sure will have more trouble for ourselves. So I want to urge the government to have a long slow think-about this, it may well be the 20 % cut is it highly inappropriate and I agree with the question that it looks like that on the surface that these are extraordinary times, not times we've seen that often in our history and I think we need to take a stop and think about it more carefully.
- 200 Applause
- JD: Under the proposed cuts according to Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Police: frontline
- numbers in 42 out of 43 forces in England and Wales would fall by 2 % by 2012. The Labour
- 203 Party is...wants to totally reverse these counts, Harriet Harman?
- 204 HH: Well, we did think and we did say that we thought along with, across the different public
- services we had to look good, ensuring that the police were trying to be more efficient and

they would have to have some cuts in their budget, but what we took very carefully into account is what Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary said and what they said is that if you go beyond 12 % cuts to the police you cannot but affect front line services and we took that very seriously indeed because over the years that we were in government we built up the numbers of police and actually that provided reassurance and gave people more confidence to see them out on the street and it also played its part in cutting crime and, so we saw the number of police going up and we saw crime going down and I've always opposed even before the riots, opposed the idea that there should be a 20 % cut in the police, 16,000 fewer police officers, and I also think it's just downright rude to police, to say, sometimes the conservatives have been saying, oh, we can cut 16,000 policemen because they are all wasting their time, you won't notice the difference. They aren't wasting their time even if they 're not on the streets, some of them are doing very detailed, investigative work like the sort of investigative work which gets to the heart of unpicking and discovering the gangs that were a part of the riots, just because you can't see them on the streets doesn't mean they aren't doing good work and cutting 20 % of the police even before the riots, it was madness, afterwards surely even the government should recognise it.

222 Applause

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219220

- JD: Sayeeda Warsi.
- SW: The police aren't sitting around wasting their time, they don't want to sit around wasting
- their time but under the last govern, they were made to sit around to waste their time...
- 226 Audience murmuring
- 227 SW: They were chasing targets...
- 228 Audience murmuring
- SW: There were...let me tell you what targets they were chasing: the police imp ledge, the
- confidence targets, the PSA targets and you were... they were spending hundreds of
- 231 thousands of hours on paperwork...they were spending 11, at any one time, only 11 % of our
- police officers were only actually out on patrol and every, any individual officer was spending
- 233 22 % of their time on filling in forms and 14 % of their time on actually patrolling the streets
- 234 -
- 235 JD: Should we point out –

- 236 SW: And we think -
- JD: Should we point out that much of that paperwork originated under the last conservative
- 238 government.
- 239 SW: And we think -
- 240 Laugh. Applause
- SW: And this government believes that the police should be doing...chasing one single target
- and that's about cutting crime, now this week, sadly, opportunistically, and in such a difficult
- 243 time for the country, the Labour Party has been making much of police numbers and I think I
- should quote back to you-
- 245 HH: Yeah, but be honest, we have been saying before -
- SW: Harriet, I should actually quote back to you what your lead-, late leader Ed Milliband
- said less than six month ago: "We could have cut a billion pounds from the policing budget,
- 248 which would have been a 12 % cut in the policing budget."
- 249 HH: That's what he said-
- 250 SW: Here in North Yorkshire, here in North Yorkshire, where the budget will be cut from a
- 251 147 million to 144 million over 4 years, that's 3.5 million, that's an 11 % cut. So actually
- here, they are better off than they would have been under you. And Ben Bradshaw, your
- 253 colleague, said -
- JD: Hang on, this is..let's slow down on the quoting route, this is cutting too much of the
- 255 time..can we cut to the...there's lots of things to discuss -
- 256 SW: Yes...
- JD: And I say, not to you, Sayeeda, to the whole panel.
- 258 SW: Can I just say..?
- JD: No, you can't say anything for a moment.
- 260 Laugh

- JD: Because I am inserting, I am inserting chairman's rights I am afraid, can...you will get to
- say something, let me just put this question, the same question as I put to Harriet Harman,
- which is the heart of Geoffrey Whitaker's question: "'If our Majesty's Inspectorate says that
- police frontline numbers, front line numbers, will be reduced by 2 % by 2012, is there
- something for us to prepare to accept, against this new context, is that what prime minister
- seems to be saying?
- SW: If you look on the context in we're speaking now, we have sufficient police officers to
- deal with the situation we found on our streets. If, God forbidden, and I hope it never happens
- 269 again -
- 270 Audience murmuring
- SW: If the situation was to happen again, despite the spending restraints, we would have the
- police numbers to be able to keep us safe. That it has been said by the Prime Minister, it has
- been said by the Home Secretary: there is a huge amount of savings that can be made -
- JD: The police don't seem to think the same way, do they?
- 275 SW: Whether it's national procurement, IT equipment, cutting back bureaucracy, the whole
- series of measures can be taken and the police are crying out to be freed up, to get out and do
- what they set out to do, which is to police our streets, not to fill in forms.
- JD: I am sorry to persist with this but you say they will have enough, you say there will be
- enough even if there are less frontline officers as a result of these cuts...
- SW: The Home secretary has said over and over again that despite the change in spending
- budgets of the police there should not be an impact on frontline visible policing...it couldn't
- be any clearer than that.
- 283 Laugh
- JD: What she's saying is that...ehm, but the official body is saying this will happen. Sir Hugh
- Orde, again, says this will inevitably mean fewer police officers..
- SW: The chief constable, I mean, you don't like quotes, but the police officers, the chief
- constables, after the chief constable who have come out and said despite the spending
- restraints they do not feel...I mean the chief constable of great magistrate said, oh we have an
- obsession with the number of police officers which meant that we kept them artificially high

- and he said himself we have had lots of police officers doing admin post just to hit numbers.
- What we need is to stop doing admin work; we need them to be out keeping our streets safe.
- 292 HH: I think the idea that you can lose 16,000 thousand police officer not noticing the
- 293 difference on the front lines is absolutely ludicrous...and also, Sayeeda, I would say, that we
- said when you announced the 20 % cut in October we said this is the wrong thing to do, I
- mean we've said anyway the deficit reduction is too far too fast but on this we said it's the
- wrong thing to do..
- 297 Applause
- 298 HH: And I really, really do not thing we should be told that because there's been a riot we
- should stop saying we oppose the cuts in police numbers and I should be accused of
- opportunism for saying something again that I have been saying since October and I don't
- think that it's kind of fair politics to accuse somebody of opportunism for being consistent
- and I, against the police numbers, I –
- 303 SW: You haven't been consistent..
- 304 HH: Unemployment rising...and I am gonna carry on saying that.
- 305 Applause
- 306 JD: Mr. Hitchens.
- 307 PH: The surreality of this discussion is amazing. If Harriet Harman, if Harriet Harman, if
- Harriet Harman were in government, she would be saying exactly what Sayeeda Warsi is
- saying, if Sayeeda Warsi were in opposition, she would be saying exactly what Harriet..
- 310 Laugh
- 311 SW or HH murmuring
- 312 JD: So in that case we will -
- 313 Murmuring continues
- 314 PH: ..Anything interesting to say about how the country is policed? That is the crucial
- question which in front of us they will not address: how the country is policed? The way we
- want it done or the way that London liberal [unclear] want it done?

- 317 JD: We will -
- 318 PH: And until then, until then who is addressed? These, the lead parties will not touch, you
- will not touch, you will not hear any sense, anywhere at all. That is the question: how are we
- 320 to be policed.
- 321 JD: We could -
- 322 Applause
- 323 JD: We could continue with that precise issue if you have thoughts about that...The Any
- Answers programme after this respective broadcast of Any Questions...the number is 03700
- 325 100 444 and the email address is any.answers@bbc.co.uk. Our next, please.
- Margaret Sentamo: Is there an underlying moral deficit in our society today which led to the
- looting and public disorder we witnessed this week?
- 328 JD: Just, I am sorry before coming to the key question, is only that this is York and your name
- is Sentamo, does that suggest a relationship with any prominent figure?
- Margaret Sentamo: I am not sure whether this is a fair question to ask...
- 331 Laugh
- JD: But given that it's unfair, are you a spouse of, or in any other way related to the
- archbishop?
- 334 MS: I am connected.. Yes, I am.
- 335 Laugh
- JD: Thank you. Oh, you're like politicians so slow to answer the question. Julia Unwin on this
- 337 first. Julia?
- JU: We've been swimming in the sea, haven't we, with people talking about moral malaise
- we're facing and it's clearly something very wrong when young people behave the way they
- did. Something terrible als happened and has happened over a very long period of time. I
- think, however, to say as one simple cause is to mistake what's happened. I think we have to
- understand the detail and we have to really take a stop and focus on what's happened. Yes,
- there's clearly evidence that some young people are not well parented. They are not looked

after and raised in a way that means they know how to behave. That is the major problem. Clearly there's a problem about a number of people feeling they have no hope, they have nothing left to lose and clearly there's a sense of greed and entitlement which affected some of those people. The causes of these sorts of events are always very complicated and those of us who rush to judgement will often make mistakes. I think we have to understand that something very difficult is happening to that generation, the generation that has been scarred by unemployment and feel very little hope for the future, none of which justifies the appalling behaviour that's taken place. Whether do we call it moral malaise or each individual pathology, or individual...or request of how the society is structured? It seems to be almost a deliberate distraction. What really matters is what the solutions are. Give those people some hope and some reason to never ever behave like that again.

Applause

JD: We may, and this is unusual in Any Questions because we normally do a variety of questions, a variety of topic, because of this magnitude of the issue we're discussing a whole range of related issues on this one subject and we will come, I hope, pretty soon to the question of what to do, but the diagnosis is obviously extremely important, as you just identified. Mm, Harriet Harman.

HH: Well, I think, mm, at the heart of these terrible riots and I think it's impossible to overstate the real terror that they instilled in people, people never expected to see a complete breakdown of law and order on their streets and although order has been restored to our streets, normality hasn't because people are still absolutely in shock, and I think at the very heart of it there was some gang action and that has been something which has been developing, and in the heart of those gangs, various and moral deficit. People who have no sense of right and wrong and who are prepared to completely exploit other people that they get involved in the gangs and that is something that the police I know in London and elsewhere had really been working on, working with the local communities, to try to get to the bottom of how to deal with it. But there was also a whole load of other people around who got swept up into it, who I wouldn't say necessarily, despite the fact that they've committed and been a part of terrible criminal offences, I wouldn't say they' re part of general moral malaise. I would agree with Julia that the families are very important and bring young people up to know right and wrong but one of the paradoxes is, and I have...I've been to sit with mothers who lost their children who've been involved in gang crime, and sometimes I've gone to a

completely dysfunctional household where everything is chaotic and all the kids are in trouble and there's no sense of order or decent upbringing. Sometimes I've gone to a family where there's a mother and a father with a lovely home and the parents working, knowing right from wrong, you know, with a lovely brother and a sister, and then one child goes that is involved in gang crime, so you have bad families actually and good children finding their way through, and you can have good families, finding they've got bad children, so I agree with Julia, it is very complicated indeed, but I do think it's also important that not only do young people stay straight and narrow but they've got good parenting and we should support parents in bringing up their children, but they do need to have, and this is one of the points that David Lammy, the MP for Tottenham, have made very much. He said he was brought up by a lone parent with very little money on a very difficult estate, he sometimes felt very frustrated and felt the temptation to go off the rails, and it wasn't just his brother and his teachers that kept him on the rails, it was the hope that he might be able to make something of his life, that he might be able to go to university and really make something of his life and I think that we underestimate at our peril importance not just a family structure but hope for the future, for our young people, they all deserve that.

Applause

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389 390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

- JD: Harriet Harman, in this context, why did you feel it important to bring up the issue of cuts, government spending cuts? You said on, on news night, mm, making it clear that there is no excuse for what happened...and you went on to say that: "there's sense in young people that they are not being listened to. But when you've got the trebling of tuition fees, they should think again about that. When you bought the EMA, Educational Maintenance Allowance being taken away, when you've got jobs being lost and youth unemployment rioting and they're shutting the jobs centring council, you should think again." Why did you bring that in?
- HH: Well, because I've always thought that the Educational Maintenance Allowance that we brought in to encourage young people to stay on at school was important, I think that actually cutting the university budgets and forcing them to treble their tuition fees to 9,000 is a bad thing and we'd actually doubled the number of young people in Camberwell and Peckham, for example, who'd gone to university, that was giving people more hope-
- JD: So, Is there a relationship between what happened, a relationship, although you don't
 approve of it, and in your view the cuts of the government is initiating although in the case of

the Educational Maintenance Allowance and the university tuition fees they haven't yet come

409 in?

414

415

410 HH: I think that at the heart of this is a problem of gang activity, as I've said, but I think the

way to stop other people being swept in it is to have good activities for kids and good hope

for the future for kids, that's not to justify, that's not to excuse it, but we've got to think very

hard about how we give people such a stake in society that they wouldn't dream of taking a

sort of mad action, a terrible action of kicking a window and stealing a television so I mean I

am consistently for more opportunities for young people, I think they're entitled to that and I

416 think that that's really important.

- 417 JD: And is therefore -
- 418 Applause
- JD: And there is therefore important underlying linkage between that kind of horror on the
- 420 streets and the cuts -
- 421 HH: Well...
- 422 JD: That were associated with deprivation..
- 423 HH: When I said to my constituents, and I was, you know, down at the immediate aftermath
- of the riot, and also just this morning down on in Rye Lane where incidentally half of Rye
- Lane is still boarded up because there's a building that's tumbling down, I actually asked
- people on the street, good hard-working people of Peckham, who were the law abiding
- members of that community, I said to them: "why has this happened, why do you think things
- happened?" And they know more about it than I do, or anybody of this panel, they are the
- people of community, who've got their neighbours and friends on those estates and they said
- that actually the sense that there is less of a future now for young people is important so you
- know, Peter, no doubt, and Sayeeda can say this is a terrible thing for me to say but I am
- speaking up for what they think and they think that fewer opportunities underlines the sense
- that allows people to become victims of being drawn into gang activities, there is policing that
- needs to be done, to pick apart these gangs and to put the leaders in prison but there is also
- public policy issues of really giving hope for the future.
- 436 Applause

- 437 JD: Peter Hitchens.
- 438 PH: Well, I think, first of all, sounding rather sneaky about what Harriet is saying and
- secondly, something ridiculous, I am not going to get angry about it because it's futile getting
- angry with people like this, they don't respond to it, but—
- 441 Laugh
- PH: If you seriously want to maintain that people are going to go and kick in the window of a
- television shop and steal a plasma screen because they're worried about their future, in the
- end that's your problem, if you honestly want to stand in public and maintain that there can be
- any connection between the two, I don't know how it is that anyone who says that can survive
- in public life.
- 447 Laugh
- PH: Because it just seems to me so laughably comically absurd that...
- 449 Murmuring
- 450 PH: ..it defies belief. So if there are actually people who [unclear] you think that if they felt
- 451 their future was starting to go down, would go and slash a shop window and stealing a plasma
- screen, why is it always the TV shops that these people feel the need to kick in when their
- 453 future is so threatened?
- 454 Applause
- 455 PH: It is beyond belief that a person would come into public life and say that, there should be-
- 456 HH: I didn't say that, Peter.
- 457 PH: There should be-
- 458 HH: I didn't say that.
- 459 PH: There should be a sort of honesty, the moment of silence of sorrow that the level of our
- political debate should have been reduced so low...the problem is, play me,
- 461 Murmuring

- 462 PH: The problem is, play me, the problem is, play me a moral one, the problem is play me
- that we have over the past 15 years destroyed the moral foundation of the society, we've
- destroyed the married family, we've destroyed a particular fatherhood, and most especially
- fatherhood ..
- 466 Audience disagreeing out loud
- PH: No, I'll tell you, I'll tell you who has..successive government by making the...by making
- it easier to break up a marriage turning it into a lease agreement, by encouraging and
- subsidizing, ehm, m, ehm one parent families in which there are no fathers... which, for all
- 470 they may well be single mothers who do a terrific job, as we are obliged to say or we will be
- 471 taken off and lynched, for all there are -
- 472 Audience disagreeing out loud
- 473 PH: for all there are..
- 474 JD: Do you not believe that -
- 475 PH: This is statistically the case -
- 476 JD: When you say -
- 477 PH: I just-
- 478 JD: Peter, Peter, yes.
- 479 PH: I juss...
- 480 JD: I am willing to believe that -
- 481 PH: I will just finish the sentence, don 't interrupt me.
- JD: I can interrupt as much as I like, I need the interrupting from time to time..
- 483 PH: that the outcomes for the children of such households are dramatically worse than for
- the outcomes of children of married households,
- 485 JD: Let me ask you this -
- 486 PH: Now you can interrupt.

- 487 JD: I, I –
- 488 Somebody yelling something loudly from the audience
- 489 JD: I will interrupt on my terms, not your terms, OK?
- 490 PH: I have the feeling that I do get interrupted slightly more often than others.
- 491 JD: That's cause you sometimes go on longer than some others.
- 492 PH: No-
- JD: But that's by the by. You said the people would get lynched. You meant it metaphorically
- 494 PH: I did-
- 495 JD: If you said that single mothers, are meant...also single fathers of course, do a great job,
- 496 you are saying that you don't think that many single mothers do a great job?
- 497 PH: it's, it's, it's, no doubt that there are some who do but the point is if that if there's a
- country you specifically set out to subsidize, you specifically set out to subsidize a form of, a
- form of household in which there is no father, then do not be surprised, if you have large
- number of boys growing up who lack authority and direction and example in their lives and
- who go on the streets and kick in the windows of television shops in later life, it is something
- which is likely to happen...if you simultaneously take away the authority of teachers in
- schools, if you simultaneously take away the rights of police officers to act individually on
- the streets against wrongdoing, if you take away in general the rights of adults to control
- 505 children, you will get what we have got and if also at the same time you dismantle the
- 506 Christian religion so it's no longer taught or espoused -
- 507 Audience murmuring
- 508 PH: by authority, you will get a serious moral deficit...immorality is what people do when
- they thought nobody was looking. Those people on those nights behaved as if they thought
- nobody was looking as they wished to do. That is what you will get-
- 511 JD: Ok, thank you.
- 512 PH: And it is impossible to separate these issues.
- 513 Applause

- 514 JD: Thank you.
- 515 Applause
- 516 JD: Saayeeda Warsi.
- 517 SW: The question that we have to ask ourselves is what is the thing that united a university
- student, a teacher, a care worker, a millionaire's daughter, somebody with a job, somebody
- without a job, people who were 48, people who were 18, people who were 11, what was it
- that actually, the underlying force that united all of these people to go out, route, loot, burn
- down houses, burn down buildings, attack police officers, kill people's livelihoods, kill
- people: what united them all? It was a single concept of immorality when people were no
- longer prepared to make the distinction between right and wrong. That's what it came down
- to, the fact that as a society -
- 525 Applause
- 526 SW: the fact, the fact that as a society over time we have become so dimmed down,
- we have become so indifferent, we're prepared to turn away, to look the other way on what
- passed and not to say: If you do something wrong, if you step over the line, if you go out and
- burn down somebody's house and make them homeless, if you take away the livelihood of
- somebody who has worked all their life to build it, if you go out and do killing people who
- were trying to defend their communities, then you will suffer the consequences of your
- actions, whatever that may be and I think-
- 533 Applause
- 534 SW: -we're trying...
- 535 Applause
- 536 HH: Who said there shouldn't be consequences?
- 537 SW: We're trying -
- 538 HH: Nobody said you could burn down a house and not suffer the consequences-
- 539 SW: to try to wrap that all up, to try and wrap that all up in a whole series of things. I came
- from a very difficult background, I quote that I grew up in a working class environment where
- everything was short, I grew up in an environment where actually racism was still quite wide

spread and there were barriers place in my way but I thought what I knew that if I got into 542 trouble, my parents would not tolerate it when I got home so I was worried more about my 543 parents then I was about what the police would do but I also knew that the way to work my 544 way out of that was to go to college, was to go to get a decent education, to work hard in 545 every job that was given to me, I didn't think I needed to break into a footlocker and pick 546 someone's brand new trainers! 547 JD: I am going to go back to -548 **Applause** 549 550 JD: - a question of Margaret Sentamo. 551 Margaret Sentamo: I agree with some of the comments around the table, I think we've lost that kind of moral framework and boundary, which, whether a person with faith or no faith, 552 but coming from a Christian perspective, we lost that Judeo-Christian background which 553 provided a framework and I am sure people guided by the faith wouldn't and people with no 554 555 faith would, and once you do that, it becomes a sort of pitiless slope, as it were, we no longer..we're overly focused on our individual needs rather than the needs of our community 556 so it is a very complex question and I think all the institutions need to really seriously 557 consider where to go from here, we need to work together, because not one single person is to 558 blame. 559 **Applause** 560 JD: Thank you and...a quick word on that from Julia Unwin from... 561 562 JU: I think the world is much more complicated that many people...several people on this panel have said. There was no single unifying force, those people who arrived to break the 563 law, doing these terrible things, were doing it because the opportunity arose and I am with 564 Margaret, I think there is a real moral issue here but I think it's a very broad one and I think 565 there are all sorts of different factors that caused it. I think if we lose sight of that, we simplify 566 the position people are in and then we'll never develop a solution but let's not -567 JD: Thank you. 568

569

Applause

- JU: let's not lose sight of for every young person was involved in a riot, there were hundreds that were involved in the clean-up campaign and they were actually a decent hard working
- 572 young people...
- 573 Applause
- JD: I am sure you will have thoughts about this and the other matters that we are talking
- about, the Any Answers number once again 03700 100 444 and the email address is
- 576 <u>any.answers@bbc.co.uk</u>. Swiftly, please, to our next.
- Linda Maynard: Should parents of children taking part in the riots be evicted by councils from
- 578 social housing?
- JD: This possibility was one of those mooted by the Prime Minister and in fact one of the
- councils has taken its first steps to evict attempt, ehm, and I think I am right whose son was
- convicted of a crime through...in the riots. Should this happen, Peter Hitchens?
- PH: No, ehm, first of all it's completely against the principles of English laws to punish
- somebody for the actions of somebody else, secondly the idea would still seems to exist in the
- minds of some politicians that there are such things as parents in this place, so it is false.
- These people are not parents, they are adults living in the same house where they have no
- authority. The social reforms of the past 40 years, particularly the Children Act, but many
- others, have stripped parents of any authority with their children, to such an extend that if they
- try to exercise it, they can often get into quite severe trouble. And I really do not think that it
- is fair to say to parents first of all you must not exercise authority of your children and then
- they will throw out of your house because your children misbehave, it's a gimmick, it's a
- stunt, it's the kind of thing which governments of the kind that we have, the kind of
- 592 government that we had a couple of years ago, will reach for when they are in trouble to make
- themselves look tough when in reality they are neither tough nor prepared to the reality of the
- situation, I think it's a silly, gimmicky proposal and it should be rejected.
- 595 JD: Silly, gimmicky proposal.. Sayeeda Warsi.
- 596 Applause
- 597 JD: It's actually at least in one case.

SW: Yes, yes, if you are a social tenant, you have to sign up a contract which basically says that you and your family must abide by the conditions on that contract and one of those conditions is that you don't go around causing criminal damage in the local area, you don't go around terrorizing people in the local area and I think it's very basic condition which all of us abide by in the homes in the communities in which we live. If you break those conditions the consequences are that you lose your housing, that is what the contract says. What the government is consulting on at the moment, the law only allows you to evict somebody if they have terrorized their local community and cause criminal damage in their local community, but if a young person from York decides not to terrorize and do criminal damage in York but decides to go to Leeds to do it, you can't evict them because it wasn't in their local area. I don't think that's right and therefore the government is saying that we need to consult, that it should be the behaviour, not where you do that behaviour that should determine whether or not the contract is broken. Now a lot has been made of this to say, well, you're gonna throw poor people out on the street, people who are on subsidized housing and you're punishing people twice but if you go on and ask people who were privately renting some of those homes that were burnt and who are now in temporary accommodation with their kids because these people burnt their houses down, or if you go out asking some of those people who have businesses, whose businesses have now collapsed because they were burnt and therefore they can't afford to pay their mortgage, or if you go ask a looter who had a job and is now to get a custodial sentence and therefore is gonna lose their job and possibly lose their house and their mortgage, what that is saying is that if you take part in criminal activity, you will suffer the consequences of those actions and if that means losing your home, poor or rich, you had it coming.

- JD: Thank you and-
- 622 Applause

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

624

625

626

627

628

- 623 JD: Harriet Harman.
 - HH: Well, I think first and foremost, the punishment for the terrible crimes that have been committed has to metered out by the courts and I think this very important work that's going on now with arresting and with the prosecutors and going through the courts and I hope and I am sure that the courts will recognize that they will need to pass sentences which make people think that not only will they be caught, but when they are caught, they will receive even very severe punishment and I think that's the job of the criminal courts to punish people for

- criminal offences, now there is, as Sayeeda has said, provision that councils can evict people, 630 or at least they can go to courts and ask for an eviction order so it's a matter for the courts, if 631 they've being creating a menace in their area, like if they've been running a crack den a from 632 a council place or they've been terrorizing their neighbours, so there's already that provision 633 in the law to go to courts and I know that if people have been in menace in area where they 634 live and that's what some councils including people in my own council now are thinking that 635 they will probably be doing in relation to those who've been rioting and creating mayhem in 636 their own areas but it's for the courts to decide, they have to look at each-637
- 638 JD: Ok.
- 639 SW: and every circumstance.
- 640 JD: And Julia Unwin?
- JU: I am delighted to say I completely agree with Peter Hitchens on this -
- 642 Laugh
- JU: this is not a penalty that will work, it's wrong in ethical terms, it's wrong in legal terms
- and it's absolutely wrong in practice to evict people from their homes for something that one
- member of the family has done.
- 646 Applause
- JD: And we can squeeze in one more.
- Susan Colio: In a week of disheartening news at home and abroad, what has given you most
- 649 hope?
- JD: Very brief answers, I am afraid, really, just a sentence or so. Mm, Sayeeda Warsi:
- 651 SW: Tarred Djahaam, the father of the family I spoke to earlier this week, who, having lost
- the dearest that most of us have in our lives, which is our children, said: "Don't lose your sons
- too. Use this moment of sadness as a way of bringing the communities together..."
- 654 Applause
- 655 SW: He showed the ultimate...

Applause 656 657 JD: Only a phrase Peter, I am afraid, only a phrase, I am afraid. PH: Well, it's easy. Nothing. 658 Laugh 659 JD: Julia. 660 661 JU: I hope Tarred Djaham is knighted for what he did for Britain this week, I think he did an extraordinary thing. 662 JD: And Harriet. 663 HH: I totally agree on this with Sayeeda and the way she expressed it and Julia, so totally 664 agree. 665 Applause 666 JD: At which point we come to the end of this week's programme. Thank you, to Peter 667 Hitchens, Baroness Warsi, Harriet Harman and Julia Unwin. Next week we are going to be in 668 Lancashire in Nelson with the Leader of Blue Labour, Maurice Glasman, the former 669 newspaper editor Eve Pollard, the journalist and political activist Julie Bandel and the 670 economist Ruth Lee. Join us there. But from here, in Sir Edward the Confessor Church in 671 York, goodbye. 672 Applause 673