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Průběh obhajoby: Prof. Pavlík opened the defence of dissertation thesis submitted by Aida Baigarayeva at 11:45. She introduced an objective of the work, its theoretical framework, data source and methods applied in her research. The presenter also spoke about population development in Kazakhstan, stressed an issue of ethnicity, and fertility pattern in the South Kazakhstan region. Thereafter, she presented the results and conclusion of her work. Then Prof. Pavlík asked tutor Prof. Rychtaříková for her review. She spoke about high relevance of the theme and the work in the field of fertility intentions. She pointed out that Aida Baigarayeva worked independently and demonstrated that she has been able to deal with both simple and comprehensive methods of analysis. She did recommend the work for defence. Then Prof. Pavlík asked dissertation reader Dr. Kalibová for her opinion. Although she appreciated additional information about regional population development in Kazakhstan, she also mentioned that the work suffered by several technical shortcomings, which were not so serious and did not finally depreciate quality of the work, and that it would be convenient to include general information about contraceptive use in Kazakhstan. She did recommend the work for defence. Then Dr. Sivková was asked by Prof. Pavlík for her review. She pointed out the main findings. She highlighted that the work fulfils all basic requirements for qualification works in spite of the fact that the work contained some shortcomings in format, quotations, description and interpretation of data and results. She also did recommend the work for defence. Then Prof.
Pavlík asked Ph.D. candidate for her opinion on reviews. Aida Baigarayeva agreed that some technical shortcomings were present and that she considered involving a chapter about contraceptive use in Kazakhstan, but finally, she had decided that the independent chapter would be too far from the objectives of the work. In addition, she adequately commented on other issues covered in the reviews. Then Prof. Pavlík opened discussion. He asked Aida Baigarayeva for her opinion on an issue of demographic revolution in Kazakhstan with respect to her research. She discussed several specific aspects of population development in Kazakhstan. After the discussion, Aida Baigarayeva left the room. At 12:54, the commission announced the result to Aida Baigarayeva.
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